DETECTION OF SALMONELLAE IN HEN EGGS IN THAILAND

Kriengsag Saitanu¹, Chailai Koowatananukul¹, Jaowapa Jerngklinchan² and Jiroj Sasipreeyajan³

¹Department of Veterinary Public Health; ²Division of Veterinary Microbiology; and ³Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University. Bangkok 10330, Thailand

Abstract. Two thousand four hundred and ninety eggs were collected from retail markets in 6 provinces and from laying hen farms in 3 provinces. Eggs were pooled in groups of 3 to obtain 830 samples for testing. Isolation of salmonellae was made from both egg shell and egg contents. Eggs from retail markets were contaminated with salmonellae on egg shells (13.2%) and in egg contents (3.9%). Three (0.4%) samples yield positive both on egg shells and in egg contents. Of the 86 samples from laying hen farms, salmonellae were found on egg shells and in egg contents, 3.5% and 1.2%, respectively. From the 134 strains tested, twenty-four serotypes were confirmed. Salmonella cerro, S. amsterdam and S. typhimurium were predominantly encountered, 4.8%, 4.3% and 1.4%, respectively. Only two samples were contaminated with S. enteritidis, one each from open market and laying hen farm, one on egg shells and the other in egg content respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Human salmonellosis is a public health problem worldwide and food animals including avian species are considered as the major source of these infections. Recently, there have been many reports in criminating hen's eggs as the vehicle of the infection. (Chapman et al, 1988; Sharp, 1988; Anon, 1988; 1992; Cowden et al, 1989a,b; Perales and Audicana, 1989; Rodrigue et al, 1990; Humphrey, 1990). The prevalence of salmonellae in egg products, such as frozen unpasteurized eggs has ranged from 32% (Wilder and MacCready, 1966) to 54% (Garibaldi et al, 1969). However, the contamination of whole eggs either in retail markets or on farms was relatively low. Baker et al (1980) found that 3 (0.2%) eggs from 1,400 tested samples were positive. Generally, the occurrence of Salmonella contamination rarely exceeded 1% (Perales and Audicana, 1989; Humphrey et al, 1991). The highest rate of reported occurrence of salmonellae in eggs was in Egypt, where 10% of table eggs were positive (WHO, 1985). In Thailand, no confirmed egg-associated outbreaks of human salmonellosis have been reported although sporadic cases may well escape detection. In order to prevent and control the transmission of this foodborne pathogen via eggs to man, we should know the prevalence of the organism. The purpose of the present work was to assess the incidence of salmonellae in eggs collected from retail markets as well as laying hen farms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tested eggs

Two thousand four hundred and 90 eggs were collected from 14 open markets and 9 supermarkets and 7 laying hen farms from October 1991 through June 1992. Of the 14 open markets, 9 located in Bangkok and one each in Chon Buri, Chachoengsao, Lop Buri, Nakhon Ratchasima and Ang Thong Provinces. All supermarkets were in Bangkok. The seven laying hen farms were in Chon Buri (2 farms), Chachoengsao (2), Nakhon Pathom (1) and Saraburi (2). The numbers of eggs from open markets, supermarkets and farms were 1,701,531 and 258, respectively. The eggs from open markets and farms were frequently visibly contaminated with feces, while those from supermarkets were always clean. The eggs were not refrigerated.

Salmonella isolation and identification

Egg samples for salmonellae isolation were grouped in pools of 3. of a total 2,490 eggs, 830 samples were obtained for analysis. Eggs were placed in sterile bags and then 100 ml of buffered peptone water (BPW) was added. They were left in room temperature for 30 minutes and subsequently gently rubbed through the bag for 1-2minutes, in order to release bacteria attached on the shell. Eggs were then removed from the bag and placed in 95% ethyl alcohol for 1 minute and flamed to disinfect the shell. Eggs were then cracked aseptically and placed into another sterile bag. The egg contents were diluted with 300 ml BPW and homogenized for 1 minute in a Stomacher 400 (Seward Medical, England). The bags containing BPW after washing the egg shells and the emulsion of egg contents were considered as the samples for isolation of salmonellae from the egg shells and contents, respectively. The samples were incubated for 18 hours at 37°C, after which 0.1 ml was inoculated into modified semsolid Rappaport-Vassiliadis medium (MSRV) (De Smedt and Bolderdijk, 1987). The inoculated MSRV plates were incubated for 18 hours at 42°C and 3 motile colonies were stabbed and streaked on triple sugar iron agar and lysine iron agar. Typical colonies were purified and further confirmation was made as described in the previous report (Jerngklinchan and Saitanu, 1993).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the prevalence of salmonellae in eggs. Salmonellae were frequently found on the egg shells, from which the recovery rates were 12.2, 16.4 and 3.5% of the samples collected from open markets, supermarkets, and farms, respectively. The egg contents were found to be positive in 4.1%, 3.4% and 1.2% of the samples from open markets, supermarkets and farms, respectively. Only 3(0.5%) samples from open markets showed that salmonellae could be isolated from both egg shells and contents.

Table 2 demonstrates the serotypes of salmonellae. Twenty-four serotypes were confirmed from 134 tested strains. Generally, *S. cerro, S. amsterdam* and *S. typhimurium* were commonly found on egg shells and in egg contents no matter what the origins of the samples.

DISCUSSION

It would appear from the present study that the prevalence of salmonellae on egg shells and in egg contents is quite high. The rate of contamination of egg shells from the markets varied from 3.5 - 16.4% while the contamination in egg contents was ranged from 1.2 - 4.1%. The contamination of eggs may occur through transovarian passage. S. pullorum and S. gallinarum commonly infect the ovaries of laying hens and the organisms can be transmitted in the yolk of the eggs (Bryan, 1968: Pomeroy, 1984; Snoeyenbos, 1984). With other salmonellae there is some controversy as to how often this occurs. Shivapransad et al (1990) and Forsythe et al (1967) could detect S. enteritidis from the shells but not from contents of eggs delivered from experimentally infected hens. However, Cox et al (1973), Timoney et al (1989) and Humphrey et al (1989a) detected salmonellae in the egg contents in their experiments which showed that salmonellae other than S. pullorum and S. gallinarum could undergo vertical transmission. Infected hens excreted salmonellae in feces and this may contaminate eggs (Forsythe et al, 1967; Cox et al, 1973). Salmonellae contaminating the shells can multiply and penetrate into the chorioallantoic membranes and yolk sacs (Wil-

Table	1
1 aoie	

Samples location	Open markets $(n = 567)$	Supermarkets $(n = 177)$	Laying hen farm (n = 86)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Total} \\ (n = 830) \end{array}$		
Shell	69(12.2)*	29(16.4)	3(3.5)	101(12.2)		
Content	23(4.1)	6(3.4)	1(1.2)	30(3.6)		
Shell and content	3(0.5)	0.	0	3(0.4)		
Total	95(16.8)	35(14.8)	4(4.7)	134(16.1)		

Prevalence of salmonellae from hen eggs collected from retail markets and layer farms.

* Number of positive sample (%)

Т	`ab	le	2

Serotype	Open markets		Supermarket		Farm		Total	
	Shell(S)	Content(C)	S and C	S	С	S	С	
S. cerro	26*	6	_	8	-	-	_	40(4.8)**
S. amsterdam	15	12	1	8	-	-	-	36(4.3)
S. typhimurium	2	3	1	-	6	-	-	12(1.4)
S. tennessee	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	6(0.7)
S. mbanbaka	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	6(0.7)
S. singapore	2	, –	-	3	-	-	-	5(0.6)
S. emek	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	4(0.5)
S. montevideo	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3(0.4)
I.6, 7 : 1, V :-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	3(0.4)
I.6, 7 : Z_{10} :-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2(0.2)
Other serotypes	4 ^a	2 ^b	1 ^c	4 ^d	2 ^e	3 ^f	1 ^g	17(2)
Total	65	24	3	27	11	3	1	134(16.1)

Salmonellae serotypes from hen eggs collected from retail markets and layer farm.

* = Number of samples positive

** = Number of samples positive (percentage)

a = S. alachua, S. enteritidis, S. infantis and I.6, 7 : d :- (1 each)

- b = S. alachau and S. schwarzengrund (1 each)
- c = S. potsdaur
- d = S.abany, S. lexington, S. oslo and I.6, 7:- (1 each)
- e = S. agona and S. albany (1 each)
- f = S. blockey, S. enteritidis and S. thompson (1 each)
- g = S. london

liams and Dillard, 1968; Williams et al. 1968; Padron, 1990). Salmonellae remained viable and multiplied at 25°C but not at 4°C or 10°C (Clays and Board, 1991; Lock and Board, 1992). Once the organism survived and grew in the eggs contents, they were able to survive in partially cooked eggs (Humphrey et al, 1989b). Eggs in the open markets were unwashed and not refrigerated. These conditions are favorable for the growth of bacteria and penetration into the egg contents. Because of the high rate of contamination, hen eggs may be a vehicle of human salmonellosis in this country. We recommend that eggs should be washed, followed with the application of sanitizing chemicals and kept at refrigeration temperature. 4°C, for prevention the multiplication of salmonellae. Consumers should also be encouraged to cook well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Annual Research Grant, fiscal year 1991. Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University. We thank Mrs Aroon Bangtrakulnonth, WHO National Salmonella and Shigella Center, Department of Medical Science, Ministry of Public Health, for typing the strains and Prof RA Robinson for reading the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. Salmonella enteritidis phage type 4 : chicken and egg. Lancet 1988; 2 : 720 2.
- Anonymous. Institutional outbreak of salmonella gastroenteritis in Owen Sound, 1991 - 92. In : Safety

Watch Foodborne Disease Bull. Agriculture Canada, Ontario 1992; 26 : 4.

- Baker RC, Goff JP, Timoney JF. Prevalence of salmonellae on eggs from poultry farms in New York State. *Poult Sci* 1980; 59 : 289 - 92.
- Bryan FL. What the sanitarian should know about staphylococci and salmonellae in non-dairy products. II. Salmonellae. J Milk Food Technol 1968; 31 : 141 - 0.
- Chapman PA, Rhodes P, Rylands W. Salmonella typhimurium phage type 141 infections in Sheffield during 1984 and 1985: association with hens' eggs. Epidemiol Infect 1988; 101 : 75 - 82.
- Clay CE, Board RG. Growth of Salmonella enteritidis in artificiall contaminated hens'shell eggs. Epidemiol Infect 1991; 106 : 271 - 81.
- Cowden JM, Chisoholm D, Mahony MO, et al, Two outbreaks of Salmonella enteritidis phage type 4 infection associated with the consumption of fesh shell egg products. Epidemiol Infect 1989a; 103 : 47 - 52.
- Cowden JM, Lynch D, Joseph CA, et al, B, Case-contol study of infections with Salmonella enteritidis phage type 4 in England. Br Med J 1989b; 299 : 771 - 3.
- Cox NA, Davis BH, Watts AB, Colmer AR. Salmonella in the laying hen. I. Salmonella recovery from viscera, feces and eggs following oral inoculation. *Poult Sci* 1973; 52 : 661 - 6.
- De Smedt JM, Bolderdijk RF. Dynamics of salmonella isolation with modified semi-solid Rappaport-Vassiliadis medium. J Food Protect 1987; 50 : 658 - 61.
- Forsythe RH, Ross WJ, Ayres JC. Salmonellae recovery following gastro-intestinal and ovarian inoculation in the domestic fowl. *Poult Sci* 1967; 46 : 849 - 55.
- Garibaldi JA, Lineweaver H, Ijichi K. Number of salmonellae in commercially broken eggs before pasteurization. *Poult Sci* 1969; 46: 1096 - 101.
- Humphrey TJ, Baskerville A, Chart H, Rowe B. Infection of egg-laying hens with Salmonella enteritidis PT 4 by oral inoculation. Vet Rec 1989a; 125 : 531 - 2.
- Humphrey TJ, Greenwood M, Gilbert RJ, Rowe B, Chapman PA. The survival of salmonellas in shell eggs cooked under simulated domestic conditions. *Epidemiol Infect* 1989b; 103 : 35 - 45.
- Humphrey TJ. Public health implications of the infection of egg-laying hens with *Salmonella enteritidis* phage type 4. World's Poult. *Sci J* 1990; 46 : 7 - 13.
- Humphrey TJ, Whitehead A, Gawler AHL, Henley A, Rowe B. Numbers of Salmonella enteritidis in the

contents of naturaly contaminated hens' eggs. Epidemiol Infect 1991; 106 : 489 - 96.

- Jerngklinjan J, Saitanu K. The occurrence of salmonellae in bean sprouts in Thailand. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 1993; 24 : 114 - 8.
- Lock JL, Board RG. Persistance of contamination of hens' egg albumin in vitro with Salmonella serotypes. Epidemiol Infect 1992; 108 : 389 - 96.
- Padron N. Salmonella typhimurium penetration through the egg-shell of hatching eggs. Avian Dis 1990; 34 : 463 - 5.
- Perales I, Audicana A. The role of hens' eggs in outbreaks of salmonellosis in north Spain. Int J Food Microbiol 1989; 8: 175 - 80.
- Pomeroy BS. Fowl typhoid. In : Hofstad MS, Barmes HJ, Calnek BW, Reid WM, Yoder HW, Jr. eds. Diseases of Poultry. 8th ed. Ames Iowa : Iowa State University Press, 1984 : 79 - 91.
- Rodrigue DC, Tauxe RV, Rowe B. International increase in Salmonella enteritidis : A new pandemic? Epidemmiol Infect 1990; 105 : 21 - 7.
- Sharp JCM. Salmonellosis and eggs : More information needed, meanwhile avoid raw eggs. Br Med J 1988; 297 : 1557 - 8.
- Shivaprasas HL, Timoney JF, Morales S, Lucio B, Baker RC. Pathogenesis of Salmonella enteritidis infection in laying chickens. I. Studies on egg transmission, clinical sign, fecal shedding, and serological responses. Avian Dis 1990; 34 : 548 - 57.
- Snoeyenbos GH. Pullorum disease. In : Hofstad MS, Barnes HJ, Calnek BW, Reid WM, Yoder HW Jr, eds. Diseases of Poultry. 8th ed. Ames Iowa:Iowa State University Press, 1984; 66 - 79.
- Timoney JF, Shivaprasas HL, Baker RC, Rowe B. Egg transmission after infection of hens with Salmonella enteritidis phage type 4. Vet Rec 1989; 125 : 600 - 1.
- WHO. Report of the working group on the WHO veterinary public health programme on prevention and control of salmonellosis (and other zoonotic diarrhoeal diseases). VPH/85.61. World Health Organization, Geneva. 1985.
- Wilder AN, Mac Cready RA. Isolation of salmonellae from poultry products and poultry processing plants in Massachusetts. N Engl J Med 1966; 274 : 1453 - 60.
- Williams JE, Dillard LH. Penetration of chicken eggs shells by member of the Arizona group. Avian Dis 1968; 12: 645 - 9.
- Williams JE, Dillard LH, Hall GO. The penetration patterns of Salmonella typhimurium through the outer structures of chicken eggs. Avian Dis 1968; 12: 445 - 66.

Vol 25 No. 2 June 1994