EVALUATION OF PCR AND NESTED PCR ASSAYS CURRENTLY USED FOR DETECTION OF COXIELLA BURNETII IN JAPAN
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Abstract. Detection of Coxella burnetii, the etiologic agent of Q fever, is important for diagnosis of Q fever. PCR-based methods have been widely used for the detection mostly because isolation of C. burnetii is time-consuming. Recent reports showed that PCR-positive rates of Q fever infection widely differed. We have evaluated the PCR and nested PCR assays currently used in Japan. The nested PCR assay detected as few as 6 microorganisms and was 10 times more sensitive than the regular PCR assay. The nested PCR assay did not show any non-specific bands with 12 other bacteria, whereas the PCR assay showed some extra bands for 5 of the 12 bacteria. These results suggest that the nested PCR is more sensitive and specific than the PCR in the detection of C. burnetii. However, nested PCR generally has a risk of cross-contamination during preparation of the 2nd PCR. Using blood specimens serially collected from an acute Q fever patient, the PCR and the nested PCR assays gave very similar results, suggesting that sensitivity of the PCR assay is at an achieved level of the detection for clinical specimens although the nested PCR assay is more sensitive. It is recommended that both the PCR and nested PCR assays should be performed for the detection of C. burnetii to obtain reliable results.

INTRODUCTION

Q fever is an important zoonosis in many areas of the world (Marrie, 1990). Coxella burnetii, the etiologic agent of Q fever, is an intracellular bacterium similar to rickettsia, but it can survive in a dry and harsh environment (Williams and Thompson, 1991). Prevalent sources of human infection are domestic and companion animals: cows, goats, ewes and cats (Dupuis et al., 1987; Rauch et al., 1987; Embil et al., 1990; Lyytikainen et al., 1998; Hatchette et al., 2001). C. burnetii is contained in urine, stool, and milk, and is most heavily in amniotic fluid and placenta of the infected animals. Humans are usually infected by inhalation of aerosol and dust containing C. burnetii in a contaminated environment.

Detection of C. burnetii DNA by PCR-based methods has been used for diagnosis of Q fever and investigation of infectious sources. PCR assay designed for the superoxide dismutase gene (Stein and Raoult, 1992) and nested PCR assay designed for the 29kDa outer membrane gene (Zhang et al., 1998) have been mainly used. The positive rates of C. burnetii infection determined by PCR-based methods in atypical pneumonia and healthy populations differed among reports. Interestingly the positive rates were higher in the reports from Japan than in those from other countries (To et al., 1996; Musso and Raoult, 1997; Kato et al., 1998). A more recent report has suggested that the PCR-based methods using blood samples are prone to false positive results (Maurin and Raoult, 1999). In the present study, we evaluated the sensitivity and specificity of the PCR and the nested PCR assays and examined some clinical specimens by using the two methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PCR assay

A pair of primers [CB1 (5′-ACT CAA CGC ACT GGA ACC GC-3′) and CB2 (5′-TAG CTG AAG CCA ATT CGC C-3′)] designed for the C. burnetii superoxide dismutase gene was used according to the previous report (Stein and Raoult, 1992). A total of 30 µl of reaction mixture contained 3 µl of DNA sample, 30 pmol of each
primer, 3 µl of 10xPCR buffer, 3 µl of 10xNTP mixture and 0.75 U of AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). After heating at 95°C for 10 minutes, the annealing temperature was changed from 60°C to 50°C by 1°C per cycle during the initial 10 cycles. This step called ‘touch down’ was an additional innovation to the original protocols of amplification. After the touch down step, this was followed by 35 cycles of amplification of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 50°C for 20 seconds and a final extension at 72°C for 1 minute. The amplification was performed in a thermalcycler, PC-806 (ASTEC, Fukuoka, Japan). The products of PCR were subjected to electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

**Nested PCR assay**

Two pairs of primers [OMP1 (5′-AGT AGA AGC ATC CCA AGC ATT G-3′) and OMP2 (5′-TGC CTG CTA GCT GTA ACG ATT G-3′) for 1st PCR, and OMP3 (5′-GAA GCG CAA CAA GAA GAA CAC-3′) and OMP4 (5′-TTG GAA GTT ATC ACG CAG TTG-3′) for 2nd PCR] designed from the C. burnetii 29 kDa outer membrane protein gene were used according to the previous report (Zhang *et al.*, 1998). A total of 30 µl of mixture contained 3 µl of DNA samples (1 µl of 1st PCR reaction mixture in 2nd PCR), 30 pmol of each primer, 3 µl of 10 x PCR Buffer, 3 µl of 10 x dNTP and 0.75 U of Takara EX Taq (Takara Shuzo, Shiga, Japan). PCR was performed under conditions shown in Table 1. In the 1st PCR, after heating at 94°C for 3 minutes, the annealing temperature was changed from 63°C to 53°C by 1°C per cycle during the initial 10 cycles, a ‘touch down’ step. After the touch down step, this was followed by 25 cycles of amplification consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 53°C for 30 seconds and extension at 72°C for 1 minute, and a final sequence extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. In the 2nd PCR, after heating at 94°C for 3 minutes, 35 cycles of amplification consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 53°C for 30 seconds and extension at 72°C for 1 minute, and a final sequence extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. Electrophoresis and visualization of PCR products were performed as described in the section of PCR assay.

**Growth and purification of C. burnetii**

*C. burnetii* Nine Mile phase II was propagated in Vero cells in MEM containing 3% fetal calf serum at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After the cytopathic effect was observed, a portion of the cells were collected and stained by indirect immunofluorescence assay (IF) using a convalescent serum from the acute Q fever patient and FITC-conjugated anti-human IgG antibody (BioSource International, Camarillo, California, USA). When at least 90% of the cells were positive for *C. burnetii*, all the cells were harvested and *C. burnetii* was purified according to the previous report (Ho *et al.*, 1995). The solution of the purified microorganisms was serially diluted 10-fold and 5 µl aliquot of each dilution was placed in each well of a multiwell-glass plate. The number of *C. burnetii* in each well was counted after IF staining and the concentration of the microorganism was calculated.

**Table 1**

Comparison of the PCR and nested PCR in the detection of *Coxiella burnetii* from blood samples of a Q fever patient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>47</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCR</strong>  CB1-2</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buffy coat</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nested PCR</strong>  OMP1-2 and 3-4</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buffy coat</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>b</sup>These results were obtained in the separated tests. Each symbol shows as follows; ++: both results were positive, +: one of the results was positive, -: both results were negative, NT: Not tested. <sup>1</sup>Days after onset of the disease.
Sensitivity of PCR and nested PCR assays

Solutions of purified \textit{C. burnetii} were prepared ranging from $1 \times 10^6$ to 1 microorganisms/100 µl. DNA was extracted by QIAamp tissue kit (Qiagen, Tokyo, Japan), according to the instruction manual. Two hundred µl of the solution at each microorganism concentration were used, and the extracted DNA was dissolved in 100 µl of distilled water. Three µl of the DNA solution were used in the PCR and nested PCR assays. Results are shown as number of microorganisms in one PCR-tube (microorganisms/PCR-tube) and in 200 µl of sample (microorganisms/sample).

Specificity of PCR and nested PCR assays

DNA samples of \textit{C. burnetii} and 12 other bacteria were used in the PCR and the nested PCR assays in order to evaluate specificity. Bacterial DNA were kindly provided by Dr A Wada, Department of Bacteriology 1, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan. The bacteria used in the experiments were \textit{Chlamydia pneumoniae}, \textit{Hemophilus influenzae}, \textit{Legionella pneumophila}, \textit{Mycobacterium avium}, \textit{M. gondonae}, \textit{M. intracellulare}, \textit{M. kansasii}, \textit{M. tuberculosis}, \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae}, and \textit{S. pyogenes}.

DNA extraction from clinical samples

DNA was extracted from serum and buffy coat sample of an acute Q fever patient by PUREGENE BLOOD KIT (Gentra systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA), according to the instruction manual.

RESULTS

Sensitivity of the PCR and nested PCR assays

Sensitivity was compared between the PCR and the nested PCR assays in the detection of \textit{C. burnetii} DNA. The PCR assay with the CB1 and 2 primers detected 60 microorganisms/PCR-tube, equivalent to $2 \times 10^3$ microorganisms/sample, whereas the nested PCR assay with two pairs of primers, OMP1 and 2, and OMP3 and 4, detected 6 microorganisms/PCR-tube equivalent to $2 \times 10^2$ microorganisms/sample (data not shown), indicating that the nested PCR assay was 10 times more sensitive than the PCR assay.

Specificity of the PCR and the nested PCR assays

Twelve other bacteria as well as \textit{C. burnetii} were examined by the PCR and the nested PCR assays. In the PCR assay with CB1 and 2 primers, some non-specific bands were observed with \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, \textit{Legionella pneumophila}, \textit{Hemophilus influenzae}, \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae}, \textit{Mycobacterium intracellulare}, \textit{Mycobacterium avium}, \textit{Mycobacterium kansasii}, \textit{Mycobacterium gondonae}, \textit{Chlamydia pneumoniae}, and \textit{S. pyogenes}.

Detection of \textit{C. burnetii} DNA from clinical specimens

Serum and buffy coat samples serially collected from one Q fever patient were tested for \textit{C. burnetii} DNA by the PCR and the nested PCR assays (Table 1). In the nested PCR assay, serum samples collected on 12, 14, and 15 days after onset of the disease were positive, whereas those on day 17 and 18 were positive in one of separate tests. Buffy coat sample collected on day 20 after onset was positive, although serum sample on the
same day was negative. In the PCR assay, similar results were obtained except that serum sample on day 18 after onset was negative. Non-specific bands were not observed in any of the tests.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we evaluated the PCR and the nested PCR assays that were previously reported and widely used in Japan. The nested PCR assay detected as few as 6 microorganisms/PCR-tube equivalent to $2 \times 10^2$ microorganisms/sample. The nested PCR assay was 10 times more sensitive than the PCR assay, and did not show any non-specific bands with 12 other bacteria, whereas some extra bands were observed with several bacteria in the PCR assay. These results suggest that the nested PCR assay is more sensitive and specific for detection of *C. burnetii*.

When serum and buffy coat samples serially collected from one Q fever patient were tested, *C. burnetii* DNA was detected at very similar sensitivity by either technique. This result suggests that sensitivity of PCR assay is at an achieved level of the *C. burnetii*-detection from clinical specimens. As nested PCR assay has a risk of cross-contamination during preparation of the 2nd PCR, it is recommended that the PCR assay should be performed along with the nested PCR assay to obtain more reliable results.

In our experiments using human serum samples, *C. burnetii*-DNA was detected in 50 µl of serum sample collected at acute phase. Interestingly, *C. burnetii* DNA was detected in the buffy coat sample but not in the serum sample on day 20 after onset of the illness, suggesting that detection of *C. burnetii* is more sensitive in the buffy coat than in serum. Although no non-specific band was detected in the present study, PCR-based methods sometimes amplified non-specific bands from human genome that contained in clinical specimens. A previous report has shown that false positive results are sometimes observed in PCR using blood samples (Maurin and Raoult, 1999). It is recommended that subsequent DNA-sequencing assay should be performed using positive case of PCR or/and nested PCR products to get the most reliable results.

In conclusion, it is recommended that both the PCR and the nested PCR assays should be performed for the detection of *C. burnetii* to get reliable results. In future study, further validation should be done using more clinical samples.
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