SEROPREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH LEPTOSPIROSIS IN DOGS
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Abstract. This study was done to determine the seroprevalence and risk factors of leptospirosis in dogs. From March to September 2004, a total of 210 dogs were randomly selected from the Small Animal Hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University. Dog sera were collected from the cephalic vein and kept at -20ºC until submitted to the National Institute of Health for a Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT). Risk factors were analysed using logistic regression modelling. The prevalence of Leptospira antibodies was 11% (23/210). The most prevalent Leptospira serogroups were Bataviae 5.2% (11/210), Canicola 2.4% (5/210), Australis 1.4% (3/210), Icterohaemorrhagiae 1.4% (3/210), Ballum 0.5% (1/210), Djasiman 0.5% (1/210), Javanica 0.5% (1/210), Mini 0.5% (1/210), and Sejroe 0.5% (1/210). Risk factors, including signalment, environment and health status, were not significantly associated with leptospirosis antibodies. However, playing in sewage, staying outdoors >50% of the time, and consumption raw meat increased the risk of leptospirosis antibodies in dogs.

INTRODUCTION

Leptospirosis is a zoonotic disease with worldwide distribution. The disease is caused by a spirochaete genus Leptospira, which is a thin spiral organism 0.1 µm width and 6-20 µm long. This organism moves by spinning or bending both ends. The genus Leptospira can be classified according to its pathogenicity into a non-pathogenic group (Leptospira biflexa) and a pathogenic group (Leptospira interrogans). More than 200 serovars of pathogenic Leptospira have been identified. Rodents and mammals, such as cattle, pigs and dogs, are the major reservoir hosts, which can be subclinically infected and bacteria can be shed in the urine for several months to years. Leptospira can survive for a long time in an optimal environment with enough humidity, shade, pH 7.2-8.0 and 28-30ºC. Hosts can be infected with Leptospira by either direct contact with the bacteria in contaminated urine and other secretions or indirect contact with a contaminated environment. This organism can be transmitted through open wounds or mucous membranes (Levett, 2001). The clinical picture of human cases of leptospirosis varies from subclinical to severe and fatal. Common clinical signs include fever, severe myalgia, red eyes, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea and intermittent fever. In acute cases, jaundice and hemorrhage in the viscera, eyes and menigitis can be found. Liver or kidney failure are the most common causes of death from this disease (Fain et al, 1999). Leptospirosis has a worldwide distribution, but a higher incidence is observed in the tropical areas, particularly in the rainy season. Moreover, activities that increase the risk of Leptospira infection, such as walking with bare feet, swimming in a canal, contact with rats or stray dogs, and herding cattle in the field are more prevalent in tropical area.

In Thailand, an outbreak of leptospirosis was first reported in Bangkok after a big flood in 1943, in which 2 of 4 cases were fatal. Since 1996, an annual outbreak of leptospirosis has been reported, which begins in the rainy season in July and lasts until October. The incidence of disease has gradually increased through the years from 358 cases in 38 provinces in 1996 to 5,933 cases in 60 provinces in 1999. Most cases (90%) are clustered in the northeastern part of
the country. Various animals and environments have had many serovars, which has never been reported in Thailand, such as bratislava, pyrogenes and sejroj. In 2001, 11,155 cases of leptospirosis were reported in Thailand; 182 patients were severe and progressed to death.

The diagnosis of leptospirosis can be achieved using either bacterial identification or serological tests for antibodies. Leptospira can be cultured and examined under the dark-field microscope. A polymerase chain reaction assay can also be used to identify the species of Leptospira with high sensitivity and specificity (Bal et al, 1994; Brown and Levett, 1997; Harkin et al, 2003a). Leptospira can be isolated from blood (Chandrasekaran and Pankajalakshmi, 1997), serum (Smythe et al, 2002), urine (Harkin et al, 2003b) and cerebro-spinal fluid (Brown et al, 1995). Application of these methods in general laboratories is limited by the nature of the bacteria and the laboratory capacity (Fain et al, 1999; Hartskeerl et al, 2001). Detection of Leptospira antibodies is much more widely used clinically. There are several techniques to detect Leptospira antibodies such as ELISA, hemagglutination test, latex agglutination test (Effler et al, 2002) and microscopic agglutination test (MAT) (Levett, 2001).

Previous studies in Bangkok, Thonburi, Chiang Mai and Pitsanulok demonstrated that rats were the most important reservoir for leptospirosis, followed by dogs. Nowadays, dogs have become popular pets with a risk for Leptospira transmission (Chandrasekaran and Pankajalakshmi, 1995). Leptospirosis in dogs commonly results from serovars canicola and icterohaemorrhagiae. Common clinical signs in dogs include fever, vomiting, diarrhea, myalgia, nose bleeding, and jaundice (Nelson, 2003). In acute cases, clinical signs will progress more rapidly, including hypothermia, bleeding and death in 2-3 hours from liver and kidney failure (Nelson, 2003). Initially, dogs develop leptospiremia for the first 1-2 weeks with an elevated serum IgM level. After the first week, the bacteria can be found in the cerebrospinal fluid and urine when the IgG level becomes elevated. IgM levels then decrease rapidly, while IgG levels will slowly decrease over one year. However, infected dogs may shed Leptospira in the urine without clinical signs after the IgG level decreases (Hartskeerl et al, 2001). A study in Italy found the prevalence of leptospirosis in companion dogs was 3.4%, while the prevalence in stray dogs was 30.3%. The most common serovars were bratislava and grippotyphosa (Scanziani et al, 2002).

Although most dogs are vaccinated, the vaccine commonly used protects against serovar canicola and icterohaemorrhagiae, which are less prevalent in Thailand. There is no cross protection with other serovars which may be more prevalent, such as bataviae. Vaccination may reduce clinical disease or severity, but cannot prevent the spread of the bacteria. This study was conducted to determine the risk factors for leptospirosis infection in dogs determined by MAT. The results of this study can be used to provide recommendations for dog owners to prevent leptospirosis in their pets and reduce the risk of infection in the owners.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A cross-sectional study design was used. Sample dogs were selected from the out-patient department of the small animal hospital, faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University from March to September 2004. A total of 210 dogs with consent from the owners participated in the study. Three milliliters of blood were collected from the cephalic vein of each dog. After centrifuging at 3,000 rpm for 5 minutes, serum was collected and stored at -20ºC until used.

Leptospira antigens were prepared according to the method provided by the WHO/FAO Collaborating Center of Reference and Research on Leptospirosis (2000). The MAT, which is a standard serological test for serovars of Leptospira was performed using the same standard. The MAT was done by the Department of Medical Science, Ministry of Public Health using 23 serogroups of Leptospira antigens (Table 1). Serum samples with a titer higher than or equal to 1:20 was classified as positive for the serogroup tested.

Information regarding risk factors associated with Leptospira infection in dogs was recorded using a pre-test questionnaire adminis-
The prevalence of leptospirosis was calculated by dividing the number of infected dogs by the total number of dogs tested. Significant associations between risk factors and leptospirosis were determined using multivariable logistic regression modeling.

RESULTS

The results of the MAT for Leptospira antibodies are shown in Table 2. Of 210 serum samples, a total of 23 serum samples were positive for Leptospira antibodies yielding a prevalence of 11%. Most serum samples were positive for 1 serogroup of Leptospira (87%). Few serum samples were positive for 2 (8.7%) and 3 (4.3%) serogroups. The most common serogroup of Leptospira found was Bataviae (5.2%) followed by Canicola (2.4%), Australis (1.4%), Icterohaemorrhagiae (1.4%), Ballum (0.5%), Djasiman (0.5%), Javanica (0.5%), Mini (0.5%), and Sejroe (0.5%).

The highest prevalence of leptospirosis was found in May (19%) as shown in Fig 1.
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Table 3
Risk factors of Leptospira infection in dogs in Chiang Mai.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Seropositive dogs</th>
<th>Seronegative dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Female)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age less than 1 year</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stay outside more than 50% of the time</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11 (52.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rats infested in household</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing in sewage</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consume raw meat</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark urine</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Multivariable logistic regression analysis of risk factors for leptospirosis infection in dogs in Chiang Mai.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Female)</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.155,1.312</td>
<td>0.1439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age less than 1 year</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.176,2.694</td>
<td>0.5914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stay outside more than 50% of the time</td>
<td>1.828</td>
<td>0.674,4.958</td>
<td>0.2360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rats infested in household</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.285,2.202</td>
<td>0.6546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing in sewage</td>
<td>5.689</td>
<td>0.803,40.297</td>
<td>0.0818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consume raw meat</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>0.234,6.342</td>
<td>0.8156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001,&gt;999.999</td>
<td>0.9851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark urine</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td>0.362,3.551</td>
<td>0.8296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seemed to be a seasonal variation with a higher prevalence in the hot season from March to May and a lower prevalence in the wet season from June to September.

Tables 3 and 4 show the risk factors for leptospirosis infection. None of the risk factors investigated were significantly associated with Leptospira infection determined by MAT. However, playing in sewage, staying outside more than 50% of the time, and consumption of raw meat may increase the risk of Leptospira infection in dogs in Chiang Mai.

Discussion

The prevalence of Leptospira antibodies in dogs has varied among different countries: 21.3% in India (Venkataraman and Nedunchelliyani, 1992) and 6.36% in Italy (Cerri et al, 2003) while the prevalence was 11% in our study. There was a high level of variation in Leptospira serovars found in each area. Our study found that the predominant serovars of Leptospira were bataviae (5.24%) and canicola (2.38%), while the most predominant serovars in Illinois, USA were icterohae-morrhagiae (65.4%), canicola (65.4%) and grippotyphosa (72.1%) (Boutilier et al, 2003). The Leptospira serovars used in the vaccine in Thailand are icterohaemorrhagiae and canicola; which are not the most predominant serovars in Thailand. Climate may be an important factor affecting the prevalence of Leptospira in each area. The suitable climate for Leptospira is the tropical climate, and the prevalence of Leptospira has been found to be the highest in the rainy season (Ward et al, 2002).
MAT cannot distinguish between antibodies to Leptospira caused by natural infection versus those caused by vaccination. Therefore, paired serum should be used to confirm Leptospira infection with MAT (Scanziani et al, 2002).

In Thailand, Leptospira serogroups found in cattle were Sarmin, Ranarum, Ballum, and Sejroe. While the serogroups found in pigs were Sarmin, Australis, and Pomona. Two of these serogroups are similar to the serogroups observed in dogs in our study, therefore there may be an association between Leptospira in dogs, pigs and cattle in Thailand. In other countries, the serogroups of Leptospira found in cattle and pigs were different from those found in Thailand. Leptospira serogroups Sejroe, Hardjo, Tarrassovi, Bratislava, and Icterohaemorrhagiae were found in cattle in Portugal (Rocha, 1998), Brazil (Guitian et al, 2001), and Mexico (Segura-Correa, 2003). Leptospira serogroups Australis, Icterohaemorrhagiae, and Pomona were found in pigs in Belize (Everard et al, 1988), Japan (Kazami et al, 2002) and Malaysia (Bahaman et al, 1987). Some of the serogroups found in dogs in this study were similar to serogroups found in other species previously reported to be sources for human infection. In Thailand, the rat (Bandicota indica) was an important source of Leptospira serovars autumnalis, bataviae, pyogenes, javanica and australis, some of which were found in this study. Therefore, the rat may be a source of Leptospira infection in dogs in Thailand.

In humans, countries in the tropics have similar types of Leptospira, since Leptospira grows well in this climate. A study in Buri Ram, Thailand in 1999 reported a 41.3% prevalence of leptospirosis in human (Phraisuwan et al, 2002), while the prevalence was 37.7% in Mexico (Leal-Castellanos et al, 2003) and 50.5% in India (Venkataraman and Neduncheliyan, 1992). A previous study in Thailand indicates that patients can be found both in the city and rural areas. Incidence in male and female were not different among the age groups. However, occupational infection occurred at a higher rate in males. Risk factors for Leptospira infection in human included plowing rice fields, fertilizing, and fishing all of which require a long duration of work in water. A study in Nakhon Ratchasima province, Thailand also reported that spending more than 6 hours in water is a risk factor for Leptospira infection (Tangkanakul, 2000). In Nicaragua, Mexico and North Andaman, Leptospira antibody titers in human were associated with keeping dogs in the household (Leal-Castellanos et al, 2003). The major serogroup found in human was Icterohaemorrhagiae (Venkataraman and Neduncheliyan, 1992) which is a similar to that serogroup found in dogs in this study, indicating that dogs may be an important source of leptospirosis in human.

In dogs, Ward et al (2000) reported that age, sex and breed were significantly associated with Leptospira antibody level. Male dogs age 4-10 years old, herding dogs, hounds, working dogs and mixed breed dogs had a higher risk of infection than female dogs age less than 1 year old and companion dogs. In this study, dogs with outdoor activities or that consumed raw meat were found to have higher risk of leptospirosis, which is similar to what was previously reported.

In conclusion, dogs may be an important source of leptospirosis in humans. Dogs with outdoor activities or that consumed raw meat have a higher risk of infection. In order to reduce the risk of human infection from dogs, companion dogs should be kept in doors and should not be fed raw meat. Improving hygiene and eradication of rodents may also reduce the risk of infection in dogs.
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