# COMPARISON BETWEEN *MUSCA DOMESTICA* AND *CHRYSOMYA MEGACEPHALA* AS CARRIERS OF BACTERIA IN NORTHERN THAILAND

Kabkaew L Sukontason<sup>1</sup>, Manasanant Bunchoo<sup>2</sup>, Banyong Khantawa<sup>2</sup>, Somsak Piangjai<sup>1</sup>, Yupha Rongsriyam<sup>3</sup> and Kom Sukontason<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai; <sup>2</sup>Microbiology Section, Central Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai; <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Entomology, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

Abstract. A comparison between the common house fly, *Musca domestica*, and the Oriental latrine fly, *Chrysomya megacephala*, was assessed for their potential as carriers of bacteria in urban areas of Chiang Mai Province, northern Thailand. *C. megacephala* was significantly more likely to carry bacterial species than *M. domestica*; however, no significant difference was found between the number of positive male and female flies within the same species. A total of 42 bacterial species were isolated. The most common bacterium isolated from *M. domestica* was coagulase-negative staphylococci (n=57) followed by *Escherichia coli* (n=10) and Viridans streptococci (n=10), while that of *C. megacephala* was non-fermentative gram-negative bacilli (n=59) followed by coagulase-negative staphylococci (n=54).

#### INTRODUCTION

The common house fly, *Musca domestica* L., and the Oriental latrine fly, *Chrysomya megacephala* (Fabricius), are medically-important insects worldwide. In addition to causing annoyance and myiasis (Zumpt, 1965), they are forensically-important fly species (Smith, 1986), with both being reported as mechanical carriers and/or reservoirs of several pathogens, *ie*, bacteria, viruses, protozoan cysts and helminth eggs (Greenberg, 1973; Echeverria *et al*, 1983; Levine and Levine, 1991; Monzon *et al*, 1991; Fotedar *et al*, 1992; Sulaiman *et al*, 2000).

In Thailand, the adult *M. domestica* was reported as being the most abundant of those

flies collected, while C. megacephala was the second most abundant (Sucharit et al, 1976; Tumrasvin et al, 1978; Sucharit and Tumrasvin, 1981). When it came to mechanically carrying pathogens, C. megacephala played a more important role than M. domestica in the transmission of helminthic eggs (Monzon et al, 1991). Urban and Broce (1998) demonstrated the blow fly was twice as likely to be contaminated with enteric bacteria as any other fly. We studied the bacteria isolated from individual M. domestica and C. megacephala collected from domestic market places in Chiang Mai, northern Thailand. We also compared both fly species as mechanical carriers of the bacteria isolated.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five fresh-food markets in urban areas of Chiang Mai Province (17-21°N and 98-99°E) were selected as collection sites where numerous adult *M. domestica* and *C. mega*-

Correspondence: Dr Kabkaew L Sukontason, Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand. Tel: +6653 945342; Fax: +6653 217144 E-mail: klikitvo@mail.med.cmu.ac.th

cephala exist. These markets offer various kinds of foods, fresh (fruit, vegetables, meat, fish) and cooked food. One hundred thirty adult flies of each species were collected from these markets with insect sweep nets from April-June 1999. All fly specimens captured were separated individually into 20-ml sterile glass vials (2.5-cm diameter, 6-cm height), which were immediately covered with sterile caps. These vials were then transported to the laboratory of the Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University within one half hour. In the laboratory, all flies were killed while still in the vials by placing them in a freezer set at -20°C for 30 minutes. The two species were then identified under a stereo microscope, while remaining segregated in their glass vials, by using the keys of Greenberg (1971) and Tumrasvin et al (1979).

After identification, 1 ml of sterile physiological saline solution was added to each vial, which was shaken vigorously for 1 minute with the fly remaining inside. The solutions were then examined immediately at the Microbiology Section, Central Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, for the presence of bacteria. The saline wash solution in each vial was inoculated onto the following plates: phenyl ethyl alcohol agar plate (for the isolation of Staphylococcus spp and Enterococcus spp), a MacConkey plate (for the isolation of gram-negative bacilli, Shigella spp and Salmonella spp), Salmonella and Shigella (SS) agar plates and Selenite - F broth (for the isolation of Salmonella spp and Shigella spp), thiosulfate citrate bile salt agar plate, and alkaline peptone water (for the isolation of Vibrio spp). The inoculated plates were subsequently incubated for 24-48 hours at 37°C at normal atmosphere. The resulting isolates were characterized morphologically and further identifications were carried out following the methods of Koneman et al (1992).

A chi-square test was employed to determine if the number of positive male and female flies carrying bacteria differed significantly. A p-value of <0.05 was deemed significant. An analysis was carried out using the SPSS program version 7.5.1 for Windows.

## RESULTS

From the total of 260 flies collected from 5 markets in urban areas of Chiang Mai, and examined for bacterial carriers based on bacteriological results, *C. megacephala* (87.7%; 114/130) was significantly more likely than *M. domestica* (66.2%; 86/130) to be carrying bacterial species [ $\chi^2$  = 15.79; p < 0.05; Odds ratio = 0.27 (0.14-0.54)] (Table 1). However, no significant difference was found between the numbers of positive male and female flies within the same species [*M. domestica* ( $\chi^2$  = 0.11; p = 0.75), *C. megacephala* ( $\chi^2$  = 0.02; p = 0.898)]. Each positive fly harbored 1-8 isolated bacteria (Table 1).

A total of 42 bacteria species were isolated (Table 2). The most common bacterium isolated from *M. domestica* was coagulasenegative staphylococci (n=57) followed by *Escherichia coli* (n=10) and Viridans streptococci (n=10), while that of *C. megacephala* was nonfermentative gram-negative bacilli (n=59) followed by coagulase-negative staphylococci (n=54).

### DISCUSSION

The routes of pathogen dissemination by flies include the external surface, regurgitation of food via vomit drops (in saliva) and defecation (Kettle, 1995; Grübel *et al*, 1997; Kobayashi *et al*, 1999). Which of these routes is the most important is still in question. Adeyemi and Dipeolu (1984) indicated that the external organs of *M. domestica* (legs, wings and mouthparts) constituted a source of 76.9% of bacteria they isolated, while 23.2% of those isolated came from the midgut or crop. In contrast, McGuire and Durant (1957) found approximately 20 times more internal

| No. of bacterial species isolated from each fly | No. of <i>M. domestica</i> |        |       | No. of C. megacephala |        |       |
|---|----------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------------|--------|-------|
|   | Male                       | Female | Total | Male                  | Female | Total |
| 1   | 29                         | 27     | 56    | 11                    | 13     | 24    |
| 2   | 6                          | 8      | 14    | 10                    | 7      | 17    |
| 3   | 0                          | 6      | 6     | 12                    | 7      | 19    |
| 4   | 0                          | 3      | 3     | 10                    | 14     | 24    |
| 5   | 2                          | 4      | 6     | 4                     | 9      | 13    |
| 6   | 0                          | 1      | 1     | 4                     | 9      | 13    |
| 7   | 0                          | 0      | 0     | 1                     | 2      | 3     |
| 8   | 0                          | 0      | 0     | 0                     | 1      | 1     |
| Total positive flies <sup>a,b</sup>             | 37                         | 49     | 86    | 52                    | 62     | 114   |
| Total negative flies                            | 21                         | 23     | 44    | 7                     | 9      | 16    |
| Total flies examined                            | 58                         | 72     | 130   | 59                    | 71     | 130   |

Table 1Bacterial carrying rates for *M. domestica* and *C. megacephala* collected from an urban areaof Chiang Mai Province, northern Thailand.

<sup>a</sup>No significant difference was found between the numbers of positive male and female flies within the same species; *M. domestica* ( $\chi^2 = 0.11$ ; df = 1; p = 0.75) and *C. megacephala* ( $\chi^2 = 0.02$ ; df = 1; p = 0.898). <sup>b</sup>Significant difference was found between the total numbers of positive flies of *M. domestica* and *C. megacephala* [ $\chi^2 = 15.79$ ; df = 1; p = 0.00; Odds ratio = 0.27 (0.14-0.54)].

bacteria in M. domestica than they did external bacteria. Contrary to these investigations, Fotedar et al (1992) noted that no significant difference was observed regarding the external surface and internal organs of M. domestica carrying various microorganisms including bacteria. This controversy is possibly due to the variability of microbial species and their ability to survive on the integument of house flies (Prívora et al, 1969). In this study, individual adult M. domestica and C. megacephala were placed in sterile vials and then shaken vigorously after adding sterile physiological saline solution, which indicates possible contamination via external surfaces. However, during the identification of fly specimens under the stereo microscope, defecated substance from the flies in the vials was also frequently seen as drops of brown color, which indicate possible transmission through fly defecation. Saline solution mixed with this defecated substance before bacterial isolation was performed would suggest at least two

routes of bacterial dissemination by the fly specimens in this study, or three routes if vomitus were present.

The results of this study indicated that C. megacephala plays a greater role as a mechanical carrier of bacteria than M. domestica, which is similar to that reported by Sulaiman et al (2000). This may be explained by the research of Maldonado and Centeno (2003). These authors used the Mihályi's danger-index to quantify eight fly species as to the potential capability of transporting and passing infective pathogen. Several parameters were used to calculate this index with the viewpoint of potential pathogen transmission, including visiting human feces, feeding on feces, feeding on infectious secretions, the synanthropic status, and the body size of each fly. C. megacephala had the highest transmission, potential 5 times greater than M. domestica.

Generally, the species of bacteria isolated from adult flies in Thailand were similar to those isolated from *C. megacephala, Chrysomya* 

| Table 2                         |                          |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Bacteria isolated from M. domes | stica and C. megacepha   | la collected from an urban area of |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chi                             | iang Mai, northern Thail | and.                               |  |  |  |  |  |

|  | No. (%) of bacterial species isolated |        |                |        |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|--|--|
| Bacteria isolated                      | M. domestica                          |        | C. megacephala |        |  |  |
| Coagulase-negative staphylococci       | 57                                    | (43.8) | 54             | (41.5) |  |  |
| Non-fermentative gram-negative bacilli | 7                                     | (5.4)  | 59             | (45.4) |  |  |
| Streptococcus group D non-enterococci  | 7                                     | (5.4)  | 35             | (26.9) |  |  |
| Escherichia coli                       | 10                                    | (7.7)  | 28             | (21.5) |  |  |
| Klebsiella pneunoniae                  | 9                                     | (6.9)  | 22             | (16.9) |  |  |
| Viridans streptococci                  | 10                                    | (7.7)  | 20             | (15.4) |  |  |
| Morganella morganii                    | 3                                     | (2.3)  | 20             | (15.4) |  |  |
| Enterobacter cloacae                   | 7                                     | (5.4)  | 11             | (8.5)  |  |  |
| Providencia stuartii                   | 5                                     | (3.8)  | 13             | (10.0) |  |  |
| Enterococcus spp                       | 6                                     | (4.6)  | 10             | (7.7)  |  |  |
| Providencia alcalifaciens              | 3                                     | (2.3)  | 12             | (9.2)  |  |  |
| Providencia rettgeri                   | 1                                     | (0.8)  | 14             | (10.8) |  |  |
| Citrobacter freundii                   | 1                                     | (0.8)  | 11             | (8.5)  |  |  |
| Enterobacter agglomerans               | 5                                     | (3.8)  | 6              | (4.6)  |  |  |
| Bacillus spp                           | 2                                     | (1.5)  | 8              | (6.2)  |  |  |
| Proteus mirabilis                      | 4                                     | (3.1)  | 6              | (4.6)  |  |  |
| Mixed gram-negative bacilli            | 3                                     | (2.3)  | 6              | (4.6)  |  |  |
| Proteus vulgaris                       | 0                                     | (0)    | 9              | (6.9)  |  |  |
| Aeromonas sobria                       | 0                                     | (0)    | 4              | (3.1)  |  |  |
| Citrobacter amalonaticus               | 2                                     | (1.5)  | 2              | (1.5)  |  |  |
| Enterococcus faecalis                  | 1                                     | (0.8)  | 3              | (2.3)  |  |  |
| Klebsiella oxytoca                     | 0                                     | (0)    | 4              | (3.1)  |  |  |
| Aeromonas hydrophila                   | 0                                     | (0)    | 3              | (2.3)  |  |  |
| Enterobacter aerogenes                 | 1                                     | (0.8)  | 2              | (1.5)  |  |  |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa                 | 0                                     | (0)    | 3              | (2.3)  |  |  |
| Talumella ptyseos                      | 0                                     | (0)    | 3              | (2.3)  |  |  |
| Enterococcus fergusonii                | 0                                     | (0)    | 2              |        |  |  |
| Proteus penneri                        | 1                                     | (0.8)  | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| ,<br>Pseudomonas spp                   | 1                                     | (0.8)  | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Acinetobacter baumannii                | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Citrobacter diversus                   | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Diphtheroid bacilli                    | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Escherichia hermanii                   | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Enterococcus faecium                   | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Edwardsiella tarda                     | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Hafnia alvei                           | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Klebsiella ozaenae                     | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Micrococcus spp                        | 2                                     | (1.5)  | 0              | (0)    |  |  |
| Vibrio cholerae non-01                 | 0                                     | (0)    | 2              |        |  |  |
| Providencia rustigianii                | 0                                     | (0)    | 1              | (0.8)  |  |  |
| Staphylococci spp                      | 1                                     | (0.8)  | 0              | (0)    |  |  |
| Staphylococcus aureus                  | 1                                     | (0.8)  | 0              | (0)    |  |  |

rufifacies (Macquart), M. domestica and Musca sorbens Wiedemann, which were collected in food stalls and wet markets in downtown areas of Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (Sulaiman et al, 2000). In this study, most of the bacteria isolated were medically important, including those causing diarrhea in humans, such as, Aeromonas hydrophila (Echeverria et al, 1984), Edwardsiella tarda (Marsh and Gorbach, 1982; Vandepitte et al, 1983; Janda and Abbott, 1993; Strauss et al, 1997), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Adlard et al, 1998). The pathogenic effect of these bacterial enteric pathogens results from the enterotoxin produced by certain strains. Although the enterotoxin was not investigated in this study, there was evidence of toxin produced by E. coli (ETEC) and enterotoxin produced by V. cholerae non-01. These were isolated from M. domestica collected in rural areas of northeastern Thailand (Echeverria et al. 1983). Interestingly, no enteropathogenic bacteria, such as Shigella or Salmonella, were isolated. This is possibly because the adult flies collected in this study had not been exposed to excreta, as indicated by Bidawid et al (1978). In the urban areas of Chiang Mai Province, human excrement would not be exposed to adult flies, since latrines are used regularly. Burkholderia pseudomallei was not found in this study, corresponding with only 4.4% prevalence surveyed in northern Thailand (Vuddhakul et al, 1999). Although it was the dominant bacteria isolated from  $C_{\cdot}$ megacephala in Malaysia, Sulaiman et al (2000) remarked that it was unusual, and may be a first report of its isolation.

Besides those causing diarrhea, many bacterial species isolated in this study have been previously reported as the causative agents of several diseases elsewhere, such as infective endocarditis caused by coagulasenegative staphylococci, streptococcus group D non-enterococci, *Viridans* streptococci (Mansur *et al*, 1992; Pearlman *et al*, 1998); endophthalmitis caused by *Enterobacter cloacae* (Okhravi *et al*, 1998); spinal epidural abscesses or impetigo-like vegetating nasal lesions caused by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (Fragoulis *et al*, 2005; Kangwanprasert and Young, 2005); ecthyma gangrenosum-like eruptions and opportunistic pathogenic infections caused by *Morganella morganii* (Del Pozo *et al*, 1998; Gebhart-Mueller *et al*, 1998); empyema thoracis and lung abscesses caused by *Viridans* streptococci (Jerng *et al*, 1997); and chronic otitis media caused by *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Proteus mirabilis* (Obi *et al*, 1995).

Greenberg (1971) indicated four conditions involving the mechanical transmission of house flies: eusynanthropy, the consumption of both contaminated and non-contaminated food, great flight activity and dispersal, and the constant alternation between feces and humans. Based on the results of this study, both *C. megacephala* and *M. domestica* may play a role as mechanical carriers of bacteria in the urban areas of Chiang Mai. Fly control strategies as well as hygiene promotion programs should be promoted, as previously pinpointed out by Curtis *et al* (2000).

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work received support from Dr Natth Bhamarapravati's Fund for Science Research at Chiang Mai University. We thank the Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, for funding the cost of this publication.

# REFERENCES

- Adeyemi O, Dipeolu OO. The numbers and variety of bacteria carried by filth flies in sanitary and unsanitary city area. *Int J Zoo* 1984; 11: 195-203.
- Adlard PA, Kirov SM, Sanderson K, Cox GE. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a cause of infectious diarrhoea. *Epidemiol Infect* 1998; 121: 237-41.

- Bidawid SP, Edeson JFB, Ibrahim J, Matossian RM. The role of non-biting flies in the transmission of enteric pathogens (*Salmonella* species and *Shigella* species) in Beirut, Lebanon. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 1978; 72: 117-21.
- Chavasse DC, Shier RP, Murphy OA, Huttly SRA, Cousens SN, Akhtar T. Impact of fly control on childhood diarrhoea in Pakistan: communityrandomized trial. *Lancet* 1999; 353: 22-5.
- Curtis V, Cairncross S, Yonli Y. Domestic hygiene and diarrhoea – pinpointing the problem. *Trop Med Int Health* 2000; 5: 22-32.
- Del Pozo J, Garcia-Silva J, Almagro M, Martinez W, Nicolas R, Fonseca E. Ecthyma gangrenosumlike eruption associated with *Morganella morganii* infection. *Br J Dermatol* 1998; 139: 520-1.
- Echeverria P, Harrison BA, Tirapat C, Mcfarland A. Flies as a source of enteric pathogens in a rural village in Thailand. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1983; 46: 32-6.
- Echeverria P, Bradley Sack R, *et al.* Prophylactic doxycycline for travelers' diarrhea in Thailand. *Am J Epidemiol* 1984; 120: 912-21.
- Fotedar R, Banerjee U, Singh S, Shriniwas S, Verma AK. The housefly (*Musca domestica*) as a carrier of pathogenic microorganisms in a hospital environment. *J Hosp Infect* 1992; 20: 209-15.
- Fragoulis KN, Klouvas GD, Falagas ME. Impetigolike vegetating nasal lesions caused by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Am J Med* 2005; 118: 925-7.
- Gebhart-Mueller Y, Mueller P, Nixon B. Unusual case of postoperative infection caused by *Morganella morganii. J Foot Ankle Surg* 1998; 37: 145-7.
- Greenberg B. Flies and disease. Vol. I. Ecology, classification and biotic associations. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1971.
- Greenberg B. Flies and disease. Vol. II. Biology and disease transmission. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1973.
- Grübel P, Hoffman JS, Chong FK, Burstein NA, Mepani C, Cave DR. Vector potential of house-

flies (*Musca domestica*) for *Helicobacter pylori*. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997; 35: 1300-3.

- Janda JM, Abbott SL. Infections associated with the genus *Edwardsiella*: the role of *Edwardsiella tarda* in human disease. *Clin Infect Dis* 1993; 17: 742-8.
- Jerng JS, Hsueh PR, Teng LJ, Lee LN, Yang PC, Luh KT. Empyema thoracis and lung abscess caused by viridans streptococci. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997; 156: 1504-8.
- Kangwanprasert M, Young RS. Case report: spinal epidural abscess from *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Hawaii Med J* 2005; 64: 216-7.
- Kettle DS. Medical and veterinary entomology. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Cambridge: CAB International, University Press, 1995.
- Kobayashi M, Sasaki T, Saito N, *et al.* Houseflies: not simple mechanical vectors of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1999; 61: 625-9.
- Koneman EW, Allen SD, Janda WM, Schreckenberger PC, Winn WC Jr. Diagnostic microbiology. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: JB Lippincott, 1992.
- Levine OS, Levine MM. Houseflies (*Musca domestica*) as mechanical vectors of shigellosis. *Rev Infect Dis* 1991; 13: 688-96.
- Maldonado MA, Centeno N. Quantifying the potential pathogens transmission of the blowflies (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 2003; 98: 213-6.
- Mansur AJ, Grinberg M, Gallucci SD, Bellotti G, Jatene A, Pileggi F. Infective endocarditis: analysis of 300 episodes. *Rev Port Cardiol* 1992; 11: 1067-76.
- Marsh PK, Gorbach SL. Invasive enterocolitis caused by *Edwardsiella tarda. Gastroenterology* 1982; 82: 336-8.
- Mcguire CD, Durant RC. The role of flies in the transmission of eye disease in Egypt. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1957; 6: 569-75.
- Monzon RB, Sanchez AR, Tadiaman BM, *et al.* A comparison of the role of *Musca domestica* (Linnaeus) and *Chrysomya megacephala* (Fabricius) as mechanical vectors of helminthic parasites in a typical slum area of metropolitan Manila. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Pub*-

lic Health 1991; 22: 222-8.

- Obi CL, Enweani IB, Giwa JO. Bacterial agents causing chronic supportive otitis media. *East Afr Med J* 1995; 72: 370-2.
- Okhravi N, Ficker L, Matheson MM, Lightman S. *Enterobacter cloacae* endophthalmitis: report of four cases. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998; 36: 48-51.
- Pearlman SA, Higgins S, Eppes S, Bhat AM, Klein JD. Infective endocarditis in the premature neonate. *Clin Pediatr* 1998; 37: 741-6.
- Privora M, Vranova J, Kudova J. On the problem of mechanical transmission of microorganisms on tarsi of the fly *M. domestica* L. *Cesk Epidem Mikrobiol Immunol* 1969; 18: 353-9.
- Smith KGV. A manual of forensic entomology. London/Ithaca, NY: British Museum (Natural History)/Cornell University Press, 1986.
- Strauss EJ, Ghori N, Falkow S. An *Edwardsiella tarda* strain containing a mutation in a gene with homology to shIB and hpmB is defective for entry into epithelial cells in culture. *Infect Immun* 1997; 65: 3924-32.
- Sucharit S, Tumrasvin W. The survey of flies of medical and veterinary importance in Thailand. *Jpn J Sanit Zool* 1981; 32: 281-5.
- Sucharit S, Tumrasvin W, Vutikes S. A survey of houseflies in Bangkok and neighboring provinces. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public*

Health 1976; 7: 85-90.

- Sulaiman S, Othman MZ, Aziz AH. Isolations of enteric pathogens from synanthropic flies trapped in downtown Kuala Lumpur. *J Vector Ecol* 2000; 25: 90-3.
- Tumrasvin W, Kurahashi H, Kano R. Studies on medically important flies in Thailand. VII. Report on 42 species of calliphorid flies, including the taxonomic keys (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Bull Tokyo Med Dent Univ* 1979; 26: 243-72.
- Tumrasvin W, Sucharit S, Kano R. Studies on medically important flies in Thailand. IV. Altitudinal distribution of flies belonging to Muscidae and Calliphoridae in Doi Indhanondh Mountain, Chiengmai, in early summer season. *Bull Tokyo Med Dent Univ* 1978; 25: 201-27.
- Urban JE, Broce A. Flies and their bacterial loads in greyhound dog kennels in Kansas. *Curr Microbiol* 1998; 36: 164-70.
- Vandepitte J, Lemmens P, De Swert L. Human edwardsiellosis traced to ornamental fish. *J Clin Microbiol* 1983; 17: 165-7.
- Vuddhakul V, Tharavichitkul P, Na-Ngam N, *et al.* Epidemiology of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in Thailand. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1999; 60: 458-61.
- Zumpt F. Myiasis in man and animals in the Old World. London: Butterworths, 1965.