REVIEW

DNA-BASED DIAGNOSIS OF LYMPHATIC FILARIASIS

Surang Nuchprayoon

Lymphatic Filariasis Research Unit, Department of Parasitology, and Chulalongkorn Medical Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

Abstract. Lymphatic filariasis (LF) is still a major public health problem. The disease is ranked by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the second leading cause of permanent and long-term disability, and has been targeted for elimination by 2020. Effective diagnosis LF is required for treatment of infected individuals, for epidemiological assessment and for monitoring of the control program. Conventional diagnosis of LF depends on detection of microfilariae (Mf) in blood specimens, which has low sensitivity and specificity. Detection of specific circulating filarial antigens is regarded by WHO as the 'gold standard' for diagnosis of LF. However, the limitations of the antigen tests are cost and inconsistent availability. Although anti-filarial IgG4 antibody levels are associated with active LF infections, however, cross-reactivity with other filarial parasites is common. Not as sensitive as antigen tests, DNA-based techniques have been developed to diagnose and differentiate filarial parasites in humans, animal reservoir hosts, and mosquito vectors. These include DNA hybridization, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification using specific primers (eg Ssp I repeat, pWb12 repeat, pWb-35 repeat, and LDR repeat for Wuchereria bancrofti and Hha I repeat, glutathione peroxidase gene, mitochondrial DNA for Brugia malayi), and universal primers, multiplex-PCR, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP), PCRenzyme linked immunosorbent assay (PCR-ELISA), as well as quantitative PCR. Furthermore, because bancroftian filariasis is endemic on the Thai-Myanmar border, the potential now exists for a re-emergence of bancroftian filariasis in Thailand, and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis has proved effective to differentiate Thai and Myanmar strains of W. bancrofti.

INTRODUCTION

Lymphatic filariasis (LF), caused by mosquito-transmitted filarial worms

Tel: 66 (0) 2256 4387; Fax: 66 (0) 2252 4963 E-mail: fmedstt@md2.md.chula.ac.th Wuchereria bancrofti, Brugia malayi and Brugia timori, is the second leading cause of longterm disability and seriously affects socioeconomic worldwide. The disease affects over 120 million people in 83 countries worldwide (Molyneux *et al*, 2003). Most of infected individuals are asymptomatic, while the common chronic clinical manifestations are lymphedema, hydrocele and elephantiasis.

In Thailand, the prevalence LF has been reduced to 0.32 per 100,000 population due

Correspondence: Surang Nuchprayoon, Lymphatic Filariasis Research Unit, Department of Parasitology, and Chulalongkorn Medical Research Center (Chula MRC), Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

to the effective national control program (Filariasis Division, 2008). Bancroftian filariasis is endemic at the Thai-Myanmar border, while brugian filariasis is endemic in southern Thailand (Triteeraprapab et al, 2000; 2001b; Nuchprayoon et al, 2003b, 2005). The nocturnally subperiodic W. bancrofti (rural/ Thai strain), found in infected Thai-Karens, employs the mosquito Ochlerotatus (Aedes) niveus group as the main vector (Gould et al, 1982; Kanjanopas, 1995). It has been reported that Myanmar migrant workers in Thailand carry W. bancrofti (urban/Myanmar strain) with prevalence of 3-8% (Triteeraprapab and Songtrus, 1999; Triteeraprapab et al, 2001b; Nuchprayoon et al. 2003a), with Culex guinguefasciatus as the main vector (Macdonald, 1991). In Thailand, Cx. quinquefasciatus is prevalent in urban areas. The Thai strain of Cx. quinquefasciatus has a potential to transmit Myanmar strain of W. bancrofti in laboratory study (Triteeraprapab et al, 2000). Together with the high prevalence of W. bancrofti infection in Myanmar migrant workers, this has prompted a concern in the public health community that a reemergence of bancroftian filariasis in Thailand may be imminent.

Advances in treatment and diagnosis for LF had led to a paradigm shift in the 1990s that postulated that it might be feasible to eliminate LF. The Global Program to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis (GPELF) has targeted LF to be eliminated by 2020, by using selective diagnosis to identify endemic areas followed by repeated cycles of mass drug administration (MDA), to reduce both infection prevalence and transmission rates to levels below those required for sustained transmission (Ottesen et al. 1997; Molyneux, 2001; Ottesen, 2006). For the annual or biannual, single-dose, two-drug regimens being advocated [albendazole 400 mg plus diethylcarbamazine (DEC) 6 mg/kg; or albendazole 400 mg plus ivermectin 200 $\mu g/kg$], the period has been estimated to be 4-6 years, corresponding to the reproductive life span of the parasite.

Thailand has launched a national control program using DEC combined with albendazole for MDA in high risk areas during 2002-2006. Besides the main strategy, health education and community cooperation have been emphasized. The GPELF includes evaluation of the disease situation. before and after the program implementation, together with close monitoring and interim assessments of the input, process and output of the program by using different diagnosis tools. A report from the Ministry of Public Health (Filariasis Division, 2008) shows that ten rounds of DEC mass administration has the potential to interrupt transmission of LF in endemic areas of Thailand. as no new case was found in children aged less than 4 years.

In the past, clinical examination (hydrocele, lymphedema or elephantiasis) and detection of Mf have been implemented for diagnosis of LF. However, the clinical examination is not a sensitive indicator of changes in infection or transmission rates following MDA.

Conventionally, laboratory diagnosis of LF depends on detection of microfilariae in night blood specimens. Although sensitivity has been increased by concentration techniques and using provocative test, traditional parasitological detection methods fail to identify amicrofilaremics or individuals with very low Mf levels (Chanteau et al, 1991). Moreover, this time-consuming, labor intensive, and tedious method has difficulty to differentiate one filarial species from another (Poole and Williams, 1990). The efficacy of Mf detection is further decreased by the long pre-patency and nocturnal/ subnocturnal periodicity. Thus, there have been considerable efforts to develop other

diagnostic techniques, including immunoassays to detect specific circulating parasite antigens and anti-filarial antibodies, as well as molecular-biology-based assays to detect the parasite DNA.

Detection of anti-filarial IgG4 antibodies enhances the specificity of immunodiagnostic assay for LF (Ottesen et al, 1985; Lal and Ottesen, 1988; Kwan-Lim et al, 1990). The advantages of the antibody assays are the low cost of the tests, high sensitivity and availability for *B. malayi*. Moreover, ELISAs for anti-filarial antibody are high throughput assays and can be used with small amounts of day- or night-blood samples. However, anti-filarial IgG4 assay has limited use in cross-sectional surveys since it cannot discriminate between active infection and past exposure. Moreover, cross-reactivity to other filarial parasites is common, thereby limiting specificity of anti-filarial IgG4 assay.

Detection of circulating filarial antigen (CFA), released by adult worms of W. bancrofti into blood circulation, is regarded by WHO as the 'gold standard' for diagnosis of LF. Commercially available assays have 2 formats, ICT filariasis card test and Og4C3 ELISA, which are more sensitive than Mf detection and can be used with day- or night-blood samples. The antigen levels are related to the numbers of adult filarial worms in host and correlate with current active infection (Chanteau et al. 1994a). Another advantage of the antigen detection assay is that the test that detects CFA can also detect occult infection (Weil et al, 1987; Turner et al. 1993). However, limitations of the antigen tests are high cost, inconsistent availability, especially in developing countries, and not widely applicable for *B. malayi*. Furthermore, certain infected individuals remain antigen-positive for years after treatment, although they have no detected Mf.

Recent advances in molecular biology

techniques have been employed in designing species-specific primers for PCR-based identification of parasites including diagnosis of LF both in human and animal reservoir blood, as well as in mosquitoes (Table 1). For example, PCR techniques based on the identification of repetitive DNA sequences Ssp I (for W. bancrofti) and Hha I (for B. malayi) have been developed. Other PCRbased assays, such as DNA hybridization, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP), PCR-enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (PCR-ELISA), quantitative PCR and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) have also proved effective for diagnosis and epidemiological studies of LF.

GPELF consists of 4 phases: phase 1 (mapping and planning), phase 2 (monitoring of MDA), phase 3 (defining endpoints of MDA), and phase 4 (post-MDA surveillance and early detection of resurgence). Different assays for filariasis are recommended for use as diagnostic tools in different phases of GPELF (Weil and Ramzy, 2007). Detection of Mf and CFA are needed as primary tools in phases 1-3, while antibody detection and molecular xenodiagnosis (detection of parasite DNA in mosquitoes) are necessary as secondary tools in phases 1-3 and as primary tools in phase 4. Detection of parasite DNA by PCR in human blood samples are recommended as secondary tool in phases 1-2 (Weil and Ramzy, 2007). This article reviews current molecular diagnosis assays and their applications in the field for control of LF in Thailand as part of GPELF.

BANCROFTIAN FILARIASIS IN THAI-KAREN POPULATION

A very sensitive and specific PCR detection method, based on a highly repeated DNA sequence (*eg Ssp* I repeat), has been developed (Zhong *et al*, 1996). This PCR

Filarial species	Molecular technique	DNAtarget	Reference
Brugia malayi	DNA hybridization	Hha I repeat	(Williams et al, 1988)
	PCR	<i>Hha</i> I repeat	(Triteeraprapab et al, 2001a)
	PCR-RFLP	Hha I repeat, Glutathione	(Chansiri <i>et al,</i> 2002;
		peroxidase gene, ITS1	Nuchprayoon et al, 2005;
			Thanomsub et al, 2000)
	PCR-ELISA	<i>Hha</i> I repeat	(Fischer <i>et al,</i> 2000)
	Multiplex-PCR	Mitochondrial DNA	(Mishra <i>et al,</i> 2007)
	Real-time PCR	Hha I repeat, Glutathione	(Rao <i>et al,</i> 2006;
		peroxidase gene	Thanchomnang et al, 2008)
Wuchereria bancrofti	DNA hybridization	pWb12 repeat,	(Dissanayake <i>et al,</i> 1991;
		pWb-35 repeat	Siridewa <i>et al,</i> 1994)
	PCR	<i>Ssp</i> I repeat	(McCarthy <i>et al,</i> 1996;
			Ramzy <i>et al,</i> 1997;
			Williams et al, 2002)
	PCR-RFLP	ITS1	(Nuchprayoon et al, 2005;
			Thanomsub et al, 2000)
	PCR-ELISA	Ssp I repeat	(Fischer <i>et al,</i> 1999)
	Multiplex-PCR	Ssp I repeat	(Mishra <i>et al,</i> 2007)
	Real-time PCR	LDR repeat	(Rao <i>et al,</i> 2006)
	RAPD		(Nuchprayoon et al, 2007)

Table 1 Molecular diagnosis for lymphatic filariasis.

method can detect *W. bancrofti* genomic DNA in blood sample (Williams *et al*, 1996), infected mosquito (Chanteau *et al*, 1994b; Nicolas *et al*, 1996; Triteeraprapab *et al*, 2000), paraffin-embedded tissue (McCarthy *et al*, 1996) and urine sample (Lucena *et al*, 1998).

We previously described the assessment of bancroftian filariasis in an endemic area of Thailand, by using ELISA for Og4C3 antigen and a PCR-based assay to detect *W. bancrofti* DNA (*Sspl*) in blood samples collected from Thai-Karen population living in Tak Province (Nuchprayoon *et al*, 2001). This population had a microfilarial rate of 10%, while the antigen assay could detect 23% of the cases. PCR was positive in 12% of the population, which is less sensitive than the Og4C3 antigen assay. Although the PCR did not detect as many cases as the antigen asvectors has been successful (see below) (Triteeraprapab *et al*, 2000). Our data emphasized that MDA as control strategy, as well as continuous monitoring, is necessary for endemic areas.

BANCROFTIAN FILARIASIS IN MYANMAR MIGRANTS OF THAILAND

say, its detection of the parasite in mosquito

Recently, there has been an influx of more than one million Myanmar migrants into urban areas of Thailand. These Myanmar migrants are often infected with *W. bancrofti*, nocturnal periodic (urban) type, which has *Cx. quinquefasciatus* as the main mosquito vector. A microfilarial rate of 4.4% in 654 Myanmar migrants working in Mae Sot, Tak Province was detected (Triteeraprapab and Songtrus, 1999). Another study showed Mf observed in 8% of 371 Myanmar migrants, while 10% of the subjects were positive with Og4C3 antigen test (Nuchprayoon *et al*, 2001). An estimation of prevalence based on the demonstration of anti-filarial IgG4 in sera was a remarkable 42%. A study in 2003 showed that the Og4C3 ELISA could detect 19.1% of bancroftian filariasis while the ICT test detected 12.7% in 337Myanmar workers in Tak Province (Nuchprayoon *et al*, 2003a). Therefore, close monitoring and control of LF in Myanmar migrants are of public health importance.

TRANSMISSION OF NOCTURNAL PERIODIC (MYANMAR) STRAIN OF *W. BANCROFTI* BY THAI *CX. QUINQUEFASCIATUS*

It is possible that an urban cycle of transmission of bancroftian filariasis could become established in Thailand as Myanmar migrants are infected with the nocturnal periodic (urban) type *W. bancrofti* for which *Cx. quinquefasciatus* serves as the main vector. Feeding experiments demonstrated that Thai Cx. quinquefasciatus is permissive for the development of Myanmar W. bancrofti to the infective third-stage larvae, thus establishing the potential for establishing an urban cycle of transmission in Thailand (Triteeraprapab et al, 2000). PCR amplification for the Ssp I repeat was used to identify W. bancrofti-infective mosquitoes that was capable of detecting a single infective-stage larvae in a pool of 100 mosquitoes.

RANDOM AMPLIFIED POLYMORPHIC DNA (RAPD) FOR DIFFERENTIATION BETWEEN THAI AND MYANMAR STRAINS OF *W. BANCROFTI*

Traditionally, identification of *W. bancrofti* strains depends on morphological and morphometric studies. The Myanmar

strain of W. bancrofti has been shown to be distinct from the Thai W. bancrofti strain based on its size and the number of nuclei between cephalic space and nerve ring (Jitpakdi et al, 1999). Our study also showed that Thai and Myanmar strains of W. bancrofti are different in body length, cephalic space length and cephalic space width (Nuchprayoon et al, 2007). However, this technique is time-consuming, laborious, and consequently not suitable for large-scale application. DNA polymorphism assay based on random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis has been useful for analyzing the inter- and intra-specific genetic variations and phylogenetic relationship.

Since the high prevalence of bancroftian filariasis in Myanmar migrant workers could place risk of re-emerging in Thai people, we developed a RAPD analysis that proved to be an easy, reproducible and rapid diagnostic method to distinguish between Thai and Myanmar *W. bancrofti* strains (Nuchprayoon *et al*, 2007). Each strain of *W. bancrofti* was shown to be genetically distinct; however, to a certain extent, they shared some similar migrated DNA bands.

A study of the epidemiological aspects related to prevalence of *W. bancrofti*, both Thai and the Myanmar strains, will help the filariasis control program to design strategies to control the appropriate human and mosquito populations in endemic areas. In addition to early detection and prompt treatment of infected cases, verification of *W. bancrofti* strains in the mosquito populations by RAPD analysis can be used as a tool to monitor and evaluate GPELF.

PCR-BASED ASSAY FOR *HHA* I REPEAT OF *B. MALAYI* IN HUMANS IN NARATHIWAT PROVINCE

LF caused by B. malayi is highly preva-

lent in Narathiwat Province, southern Thailand. The conventional microscopic method is insensitive and may fail to identify amicrofilaremics, or individuals with very low Mf levels, while the antigen assays are not widely available. A PCR-based assay to detect specific Hha I repeat of B. malayi has been developed to identify infected cases with high sensitivity and specificity (Rahmah et al, 1998; Fischer et al, 2000; Triteeraprapab et al, 2001a). Although the PCR-based assay is mainly useful to detect filarial third-stage larvae in mosquito vectors (Chanteau et al, 1994b; Nicolas et al, 1996; Vythilingam et al, 1998; Triteeraprapab et al, 2000), preliminary results suggested that the PCR could be also used to diagnose active cases who are microfilaremic (Triteeraprapab et al, 2001a). The PCR assay of Hha I repeat could detect as little as 10 fg of *B. malayi* genomic DNA (Triteeraprapab et al. 2001a). As no other better tests are available, the PCR-based assay to detect specific *Hha* I repeat of *B. malayi* could be useful in field studies for GPELF.

PCR-RFLP FOR DIFFERENTIATION OF FILARIAL SPECIES

The conventional Giemsa stain to detect Mf is difficult to discriminate clearly between closely related species in human and animal reservoirs in Thailand, including *W. bancrofti, B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* or *Dirofilaria immitis, D. repens*, and *Dipetalonema reconditum.* Although histochemical staining to detect acid phosphatase activity could overcome this problem (Huynh *et al,* 2001), it requires fresh samples in order to yield optimal results. Furthermore, the staining method requires expertise to identify and confirm the species, as well as being time consuming and labor intensive (Nuchprayoon *et al,* 2001).

A previous study showed that PCR can detect *Brugia malayi* microfilariae in domestic cats (Chansiri *et al*, 2002). However, in

nature, domestic cats also carry B. pahangi, Dirofilaria immitis and D. repens infections. We have reported an assay system that employs a single-step PCR followed by RFLP analysis, which discriminates between filariae at the species level (Nuchprayoon et al, 2005, 2006). The first internal transcribed spacer (ITS1) along with the flanking 18S and 5.8S rDNA were isolated and cloned from W. bancrofti, B. malayi, and B. pahangi. Sequence analysis identified conserved sites in the 18S and 5.8S rDNA sequence that could be used as universal priming sites to generate ITS1 distinctive PCR products that are useful to distinguish filariae at the genus level. Addition of Ase I digestion of the ITS1 PCR product generated a fragment profile that allowed differentiation at the species level for W. bancrofti, B. malayi, B. pahangi, D. immitis, and D. repens (Nuchprayoon et al, 2005). Based on analysis of sequence data, the predicted patterns of Ase I digestion of the ITS sequences from O. volvulus, M. ozzardi, and D. reconditum yielded different patterns diagnostic for these filarial parasites as well (Table 2). Therefore, the PCR-RFLP of ITS1 rDNA will be useful to diagnose and differentiate filarial parasites in human, animal reservoir hosts, and mosquito vectors in endemic areas.

CONCLUSION

DNA-based diagnosis is not as sensitive as antigen tests for diagnosis of lymphatic filarial parasites, especially *W. bancrofti*. However, it is useful to differentiate among filarial parasite species in humans, animal reservoirs, and mosquito vectors. A singlestep PCR followed by RFLP analysis can distinguish almost all filarial parasites, of public health problem, at the species level. Furthermore, RAPD analysis can differentiate Thai and Myanmar strains of *W. bancrofti*. Therefore, DNA-based techniques are very

Filarial species	Undigested ITS1(bp)	PCR/RFLP products (bp) after <i>Ase</i> I digestion
Tested species		
Wuchereria bancrofti	482	12, 64, 100, 104, 202
Brugia malayi	504	133, 153, 218
Brugia pahangi	510	218, 292
Dirofilaria immitis	595	205, 390
Dirofilaria repens	602	602
Predicted from published seque	ences	
Onchocerca volvulus	513	198, 315
Mansonella ozzardi	480	20, 198, 262
Dipetalonema reconditum	446	337, 99

 Table 2

 RFLP analysis of filarial ITS1 PCR products digested with Ase I.

RFLP, Restriction fragment length polymorphism; ITS1, Internal transcribed spacer 1; PCR, Polymerase chain reaction; bp, basepairs

useful for diagnosis of filarial infections, especially in co-endemic areas, and in endemic areas where antigen tests are not available. Furthermore, DNA-based assays are essential tools for monitoring the GPELF.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Professor Yong Poovorawan and Dr Saravudh Suvannadabba for their advice and suggestion. I am thankful to the faculty and staff at Lymphatic Filariasis Research Unit, Department of Parasitology, and Chulalongkorn Medical Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, for their kind assistance. I thank all the health personnel from the Vector Borne Disease Control Center 9.3 (Tak Province), Department of Disease Contro, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand for assistance in the field. I also thank Ms Alisa Junpee and Ms Vivornpun Sanprasert for manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

Chansiri K, Tejangkura T, Kwaosak P, Sarataphan

N, Phantana S, Sukhumsirichart W. PCR based method for identification of zoonostic *Brugia malayi* microfilariae in domestic cats. *Mol Cell Probes* 2002; 16: 129-35.

- Chanteau S, Glaziou P, Luquiaud P, Plichart C, Moulia-Pelat JP, Cartel JL. Og4C3 circulating antigen, anti-*Brugia malayi* IgG and IgG4 titers in *Wuchereria bancrofti* infected patients, according to their parasitological status. *Trop Med Parasitol* 1994a; 45: 255-7.
- Chanteau S, Luquiaud P, Failloux AB, Williams SA. Detection of *Wuchereria bancrofti* larvae in pools of mosquitoes by the polymerase chain reaction. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1994b; 88: 665-6.
- Chanteau S, Plichart R, Spiegel A, Martin PM, Cartel JL. Diagnostic values of ELISA-IgG4 as compared to ELISA-IgG and indirect immunofluorescence, for the routine diagnosis of bancroftian filariasis in the South Pacific. Application on capillary blood collected on filter paper. *Trop Med Parasitol* 1991; 42: 339-42.
- Dissanayake S, Min X, Piessens WF. Detection of amplified *Wuchereria bancrofti* DNA in mosquitoes with a nonradioactive probe. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 1991; 45: 49-56.

- Filariasis Division. Lymphatic filariasis: Annual report. Nonthaburi: Filariasis Division, CDC, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand, 2008.
- Fischer P, Liu X, Lizotte-Waniewski M, Kamal IH, Ramzy RM, Williams SA. Development of a quantitative, competitive polymerase chain reaction–enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of *Wuchereria bancrofti* DNA. *Parasitol Res* 1999; 85: 176-83.
- Fischer P, Supali T, Wibowo H, Bonow I, Williams SA. Detection of DNA of nocturnally periodic *Brugia malayi* in night and day blood samples by a polymerase chain reaction-ELISA-based method using an internal control DNA. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2000; 62: 291-6.
- Gould DJ, Bailey CL, Vongpradist S. Implication of forest mosquitoes in the transmission of *Wuchereria bancrofti* in Thailand. *Mosq News* 1982; 42: 560-4.
- Huynh T, Thean J, Maini R. *Dipetalonema reconditum* in the human eye. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2001; 85: 1391-2.
- Jitpakdi A, Choochote W, Panart P, *et al.* Variation in microfilariae and infective stages of two types of *Wuchereria bancrofti* from the Thai-Myanmar border. *J Helminthol* 1999; 73: 317-21.
- Kanjanopas K. The new mosquito vector of lymphatic filariasis in Thailand. *Commun Dis J* 1995; 21:128-32.
- Kwan-Lim GE, Forsyth KP, Maizels RM. Filarialspecific IgG4 response correlates with active *Wuchereria bancrofti* infection. *J Immunol* 1990; 145: 4298-305.
- Lal RB, Ottesen EA. Enhanced diagnostic specificity in human filariasis by IgG4 antibody assessment. *J Infect Dis* 1988; 158: 1034-7.
- Lucena WA, Dhalia R, Abath FG, Nicolas L, Regis LN, Furtado AF. Diagnosis of *Wuchereria bancrofti* infection by the polymerase chain reaction using urine and day blood samples from amicrofilaraemic patients. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1998; 92: 290-3.
- Macdonald WW. Control of *Culex quinquefasciatus* in Myanmar (Burma) and India: 1960-1990.

Ann Trop Med Parasitol 1991; 85: 165-72.

- McCarthy JS, Zhong M, Gopinath R, Ottesen EA, Williams SA, Nutman TB. Evaluation of a polymerase chain reaction-based assay for diagnosis of *Wuchereria bancrofti* infection. J Infect Dis 1996; 173: 1510-4.
- Mishra K, Raj DK, Hazra RK, Dash AP, Supakar PC. The development and evaluation of a single step multiplex PCR method for simultaneous detection of *Brugia malayi* and *Wuchereria bancrofti. Mol Cell Probes* 2007; 21: 355-62.
- Molyneux DH. Vector-borne infections in the tropics and health policy issues in the twenty-first century. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2001; 95: 233-8.
- Molyneux DH, Bradley M, Hoerauf A, Kyelem D, Taylor MJ. Mass drug treatment for lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. *Trends Parasitol* 2003; 19: 516-22.
- Nicolas L, Luquiaud P, Lardeux F, Mercer DR. A polymerase chain reaction assay to determine infection of *Aedes polynesiensis* by *Wuchereria bancrofti. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1996; 90: 136-9.
- Nuchprayoon S, Junpee A, Nithiuthai S, Chungpivat S, Suvannadabba S, Poovorawan Y. Detection of filarial parasites in domestic cats by PCR-RFLP of ITS1. *Vet Parasitol* 2006; 140: 366-72.
- Nuchprayoon S, Junpee A, Poovorawan Y. Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) for differentiation between Thai and Myanmar strains of *Wuchereria bancrofti. Filaria J* 2007; 6: 6.
- Nuchprayoon S, Junpee A, Poovorawan Y, Scott AL. Detection and differentiation of filarial parasites by universal primers and polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2005; 73: 895-900.
- Nuchprayoon S, Porksakorn C, Junpee A, Sanprasert V, Poovorawan Y. Comparative assessment of an Og4C3 ELISA and an ICT filariasis test: a study of Myanmar migrants in Thailand. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol* 2003a; 21: 253-7.

- Nuchprayoon S, Sanprasert V, Porksakorn C, Nuchprayoon I. Prevalence of bancroftian filariasis on the Thai-Myanmar border. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol* 2003b; 21: 179-88.
- Nuchprayoon S, Yentakam S, Sangprakarn S, Junpee A. Endemic bancroftian filariasis in Thailand: detection by Og4C3 antigen capture ELISA and the polymerase chain reaction. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2001; 84: 1300-7.
- Ottesen EA. Lymphatic filariasis: Treatment, control and elimination. *Adv Parasitol* 2006; 61: 395-441.
- Ottesen EA, Duke BO, Karam M, Behbehani K. Strategies and tools for the control/elimination of lymphatic filariasis. *Bull World Health Organ* 1997; 75: 491-503.
- Ottesen EA, Skvaril F, Tripathy SP, Poindexter RW, Hussain R. Prominence of IgG4 in the IgG antibody response to human filariasis. *J Immunol* 1985; 134: 2707-12.
- Poole CB, Williams SA. A rapid DNA assay for the species-specific detection and quantification of *Brugia* in blood samples. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 1990; 40: 129-36.
- Rahmah N, Ashikin AN, Anuar AK, *et al.* PCR-ELISA for the detection of *Brugia malayi* infection using finger-prick blood. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1998; 92: 404-6.
- Ramzy RM, Farid HA, Kamal IH, *et al.* A polymerase chain reaction-based assay for detection of *Wuchereria bancrofti* in human blood and *Culex pipiens. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1997; 91: 156-60.
- Rao RU, Atkinson LJ, Ramzy RM, *et al.* A realtime PCR-based assay for detection of *Wuchereria bancrofti* DNA in blood and mosquitoes. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2006; 74: 826-32.
- Siridewa K, Karunanayake EH, Chandrasekharan NV, *et al.* Cloning and characterization of a repetitive DNA sequence specific for *Wuchereria bancrofti. Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1994; 51: 495-500.
- Thanchomnang T, Intapan PM, Lulitanond V, *et al.* Rapid detection of *Brugia malayi* in mosquito vectors using a real-time fluorescence

resonance energy transfer PCR and melting curve analysis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2008; 78: 509-13.

- Thanomsub BW, Chansiri K, Sarataphan N, Phantana S. Differential diagnosis of human lymphatic filariasis using PCR-RFLP. *Mol Cell Probes* 2000; 14: 41-6.
- Triteeraprapab S, Kanjanopas K, Suwannadabba S, Sangprakarn S, Poovorawan Y, Scott AL. Transmission of the nocturnal periodic strain of *Wuchereria bancrofti* by *Culex quinquefasciatus*: establishing the potential for urban filariasis in Thailand. *Epidemiol Infect* 2000; 125: 207-12.
- Triteeraprapab S, Karnjanopas K, Porksakorn C, Sai-Ngam A, Yentakam S, Loymak S. Lymphatic filariasis caused by *Brugia malayi* in an endemic area of Narathiwat Province, southern of Thailand. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2001a; 84 (suppl 1): S182-8.
- Triteeraprapab S, Nuchprayoon I, Porksakorn C, Poovorawan Y, Scott AL. High prevalence of *Wuchereria bancrofti* infection among Myanmar migrants in Thailand. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 2001b; 95: 535-8.
- Triteeraprapab S, Songtrus J. High prevalence of bancroftian filariasis in Myanmar-migrant workers: a study in Mae Sot district, Tak Province, Thailand. *J Med Assoc Thai* 1999; 82: 735-9.
- Turner P, Copeman B, Gerisi D, Speare R. A comparison of the Og4C3 antigen capture ELISA, the Knott test, an IgG4 assay and clinical signs, in the diagnosis of Bancroftian filariasis. *Trop Med Parasitol* 1993; 44: 45-8.
- Vythilingam I, Boaz L, Wa N. Detection of *Brugia malayi* in mosquitoes by the polymerase chain reaction. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 1998; 14: 243-7.
- Weil GJ, Jain DC, Santhanam S, *et al.* A monoclonal antibody-based enzyme immunoassay for detecting parasite antigenemia in bancroftian filariasis. *J Infect Dis* 1987; 156: 350-5.
- Weil GJ, Ramzy RM. Diagnostic tools for filariasis elimination programs. *Trends Parasitol*

2007; 23: 78-82.

- Williams SA, DeSimone SM, McReynolds LA. Species-specific oligonucleotide probes for the identification of human filarial parasites. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 1988; 28: 163-9.
- Williams SA, Laney SJ, Bierwert LA, *et al.* Development and standardization of a rapid, PCR-based method for the detection of *Wuchereria bancrofti* in mosquitoes, for xenomonitoring the human prevalence of bancroftian filariasis. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*

2002; 96 (suppl 2): S41-6.

- Williams SA, Nicolas L, Lizotte-Waniewski M, et al. A polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of Wuchereria bancrofti in blood samples from French Polynesia. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1996; 90: 384-7.
- Zhong M, McCarthy J, Bierwert L, *et al.* A polymerase chain reaction assay for detection of the parasite *Wuchereria bancrofti* in human blood samples. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1996; 54: 357-63.