ESCALATED REGIMEN OF HEPATITIS B VACCINE IN CHILDHOOD HEMATOLOGICAL MALIGNANCIES WHILE ON CHEMOTHERAPY

Nobokrishna Ghosh¹, MA Mannan², Forhad Monjur³, Farhana Rizwan⁴ and AFM Salim¹

¹Department of Pediatrics, ³Department of Pathology, Institute of Child Health (ICH) and Shishu Sasthya Foundation Hospital, Mirpur, Dhaka; ²Department of Pediatrics, Bangobandhu Sheikh Mujeeb Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka; ⁴Department of Pharmacy, East West University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract. This prospective study was conducted to find the effective vaccination schedule against hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection for children with hematological malignancies. Sixty patients ages 2-15 years old with hematological malignancies on chemotherapy, negative for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and never vaccinated for HBV before, were vaccinated with 40 μ g of vaccine at 0, 1 and 2 months. Antibody titers were measured 6 weeks after administration of last dose. Out of the 60 children enrolled, 5 died during the course of treatment and 4 dropped out before completion, leaving 51 for final analysis. More than 70% exhibited protective levels of antibodies (>10 mIU/ml) against hepatitis B virus. There were no significant effects of age or sex on the antibody response, although antibodies were higher among girls (90.9%) than boys (65%). Patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma were found to exhibit a better antibody response than leukemic children (p = 0.024). Children with hematological cancers should be vaccinated with an escalated regimen of the vaccine.

Key word: hepatitis B virus, vaccination schedule, HBsAg, children, hematological malignancies

INTRODUCTION

In childhood malignancies, hepatitis B infection remains a major co-morbid condition, which may affect the outcome of treatment (Indolfi *et al*, 1992). A high risk for developing hepatitis B infection may

Tel: +880 2 8023894, 8023895 ext 511; Fax: +880 2 8014896 E-mail: the.salims@gmail.com be due to immunosuppression secondary to chemotherapy or radiotherapy, the multiple blood transfusions, intravenous medications, and repeated invasive investigations (Meral *et al*, 2000). Treatment with immunosuppressive drugs enhances the possibility of developing a chronic carrier state or reactivation of HBV infection in asymptomatic carriers (Ramesh *et al*, 2000). This plays an adverse prognostic role for disease-free survival due to delays in chemotherapy (Meral *et al*, 2000).

Considering the high risk for infection, children with cancer should be routinely vaccinated against hepatitis B. However,

Correspondence: Professor AFM Salim, Department of Pediatrics, Institute of Child Health (ICH) and Shishu Sasthya Foundation Hospital, 6/2 Barabagh, Mirpur-2, Dhaka -1206, Bangladesh.

several studies have shown vaccination with a conventional regimen and doses may result in antibody titers which do not reach protective level due to impaired immune response (Indolefi et al, 1992; Somjee et al, 1999; Mannan and Ghosh, 2003). We vaccinated 131 children age 2-15 years old with cancers and 100 otherwise healthy children of the same age as controls. All children were negative for hepatitis B markers. The vaccination regimen and dosages used were 10 μ g for children < 10 years old and 20 μ g for age >10 years old given at 0, 1 and 6 months. The antibody levels were measured 6 weeks after the last dose; 10% of the studied group were found to have protective level (> 10 IU/l) compared to 98% of controls (Mannan and Ghosh. 2003). Several studies have shown using conventional dosages of 10 and 20 µg, for an additional 4th, 5th or even 6th dose did not help significantly to increase the antibody titer (Drachman et al, 1989; Yetgin et al, 2001; Mannan and Ghosh, 2003).

We, carried out the present study with an increased dose of 40 μ g of hepatitis B vaccine (Engerix B), irrespective of age, in childhood cancer patients using a schedule of 0, 1 and 2 months. Antibody titers were measured 6 weeks after administration of the last dose, a titer >10 IU/l, was considered protective.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at Bangabondhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh, from January to September 2006. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Review Committee of the University before the study was carried out. Children attending the Out-Patient and In-Patient clinics of the Pediatric Hematology and Oncology Department at BSMMU and a private clinic of a pediatric hemato-oncology specialist in Dhaka were recruited for the study. The age range was 2 to 15 years old, with a diagnosis of either leukemia or lymphoma.

All children recruited were in the maintenance phase of chemotherapy and were not previously vaccinated against hepatitis B. Those who were HBsAg positive or anti-HBsAg positive were excluded from the study.

Sixty children were enrolled in the study. Informed written consent was obtained from the parents. Families were told they could withdraw their children from the study at any time they wanted (Helsinki Declaration for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects, 1964).

Recombinant hepatitis B vaccine was given intramuscularly at 40 μ g per dose at 0, 1 and 2 months, irrespective of age. The generic product of Glaxo-Smithkline Pharmacuticals (Engerix-B) was used for the study. Anti-HBs was measured using a kit ELISA method (Immunodiagnostic System, San Diego, AZ) 6 weeks after administration of the 3rd dose. Information regarding the child, hematological malignancy type, stage, age at diagnosis, specific treatment for malignancy and present health status, were collected from medical and laboratory records.

Vaccination date and blood test results were recorded. The data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows (version 10.2). Descriptive analysis performed included chi-square test, mean, median and standard deviation (SD). A p<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Sixty patients were enrolled in the study, 5 died before antibody levels were

Table 1Numbers and percentages of patientsby age $(N = 51)$.			
Age (years)	Number	%	
< 5 5-10 > 10	17 28 06	33.3 54.9 11.8	

Mean, 6.16 ± 3.14 years; range, 2 - 15 years.

Table 2Association between age and antibody
response (N = 51).

Age	Antib (ml	<i>p</i> -value	
0	< 10 (<i>n</i> = 15)	$\geq 10 \ (n=36)$	I
< 5	5 (33.3) ^a	12 (33.3)	0.752
5-10	1 (6.7)	5 (13.9)	
< 10	9 (60.0)	19 (52.8)	

^a Figures in the parentheses denote corresponding %. Chi-square (χ^2) test was done to analyze the data; the level of significance was set at 0.05.

measured and 4 dropped out during follow-up. Therefore, 51 patients were included in the final analysis. The age range was 2 to 15 years old; 17 (33.3%) were below 5 years old, 28 (54.9%) were between 5 and 10 years old and the rest (6, 11.8%) were above 10 years old (Table 1). Forty patients (78%) were male.

Out of 51 patients, 29 (56.9%) were diagnosed as having leukemia and 22 (43.1%) had lymphoma. Out of the 29 with leukemia, 26 (51% of the total of 51) had acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) and 3 (5.9% of the total of 51) had acute myelocytic leukemia (AML). Out of 22 with lymphoma, 20 (39.2% of the total of 51) had non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and 2 (3.9% of the total of 51) had Hodgkin's lymphoma.

Table 3Association between sex and antibody
response (N = 51).

Sex Antibody titer		<i>p</i> -value	
	< 10 (<i>n</i> = 15)	$\geq 10 \ (n=36)$	-
Male	14 (35.0)	26 (65.0)	0.093
Female	1 (9.1)	10 (90.9)	

Figures in the parentheses denote corresponding %. Fisher's exact test was done to analyze the data; the level of significance was set at 0.05.

Over 70% of the patients 6 weeks after the 3^{rd} dose of hepatitis B vaccine had protective antibody level. The median antibody level was 125.0 ± 17.09 mIU/ml.

Table 2 shows the association between age and antibody response. No particular age group was found to be significantly more immunogenic than any other following hepatitis B vaccination (p > 0.05).

Table 3 demonstrates the association between sex and antibody response. The proportion of girls developing protective antibodies was higher (90.9%) than the boys (65.0%), but the difference was not significant (p > 0.05).

Table 4 demonstrates the antibody response of patients based on diagnosis. The patients with lymphoma had a higher level of protective antibodies (81.8%) than the patients with leukemia (62.1%), although the difference was not significant (p > 0.05).

Table 5 demonstrates 90% of patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma had protective antibody levels, while 61.5% of patients with ALL and 65.7% of patients with AML had protective antibody levels. The association between non-Hodgkin's disease and antibody response to hepatitis B vaccination was significant (p =0.024).

DISCUSSION

Hepatitis B vaccination can induce seroconversion in 65-95% of healthy children (Jilg *et al*, 1989). The present study demonstrated more than 70% of subjects developed protective antibody titers (>10 mIU/ml) 6 weeks after administration of the 3rd dose of 40 μ g of recombinant HBV vaccine. No undesirable side-effects other than pain and redness at the site of injection, were encountered by subjects. In our previous study where 10 μ g of vaccine was used in children <10 years of age and 20

Table 4		
Association between diagnosis and		
antibody response ($N = 51$).		

Diagnosis	Antibody titer (mIU/ml)		<i>p</i> -value
0	< 10 (<i>n</i> = 15)	$\geq 10~(n=36)$	r
Leukemia Lymphom	11 (37.9) ^a a 4 (18.2)	18 (62.1) 18 (81.8)	0.125

^aFigures in the parentheses denote corresponding %. Fisher's exact test was done to analyze the data; the level of significance was set at 0.05.

µg in older children at 0, 1 and 6 months, the protective antibody level in the cancer group measured 6 weeks after the last dose was 10% compared to 98% in the control group (p < 0.01) (Mannan and Ghosh, 2003). In the 2nd phase of the same study, the vaccination program was rescheduled with the number of doses increased from 3 to 4 given at close intervals (0, 1, 2 and 6 months). The results showed an increase in protective antibody level in the cancer group from 10% to 57% (unpublished data). Three series of studies have shown an escalated hepatitis B dose regimen immune-compromised children can result in increased protective antibody levels. Meral et al (2000) using a higher dose at 0, 1, 2 and 12 months achieved a seroconversion rate of 75% in patients with hematological malignancies following the first three doses and 86% after completion of 4 doses, consistent with our findings.

In the present study a significantly higher proportion of lymphoma patients (90%) developed protective antibody levels than leukemia patients (61.5%). Meral's study (2000) showed that patients with lymphoma had a lower response than patients with leukemia and solid tumors (p = 0.0003, p = 0.0161, respectively). The

Association between type of manghancy and antibody titel (1V – 51).			
Type of malignancy	Antibody titer (mIU/ml)		<i>p</i> -value
	< 10 (<i>n</i> = 15)	$\ge 10 \ (n = 36)$	p value
ALL	10 (38.5) ^a	16 (61.5)	0.024
AML	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	
Hodgkin's lymphama	2 (100.0)	00	
Non-Hodgkin's lymphama	2 (10.0)	18 (90.0)	

Table 5Association between type of malignancy and antibody titer (N = 51).

ALL, acute lymphocytic leukemia; AML, acute myelocytic leukemia

^aFigures in parentheses denote corresponding %. Chi-square (χ^2) test was done to analyze the data; the level of significance was set at 0.05.

reason of this discrepancy may be that in our study the majority of lymphoma patients had non-Hodgkin's disease, whereas in their study the majority of children with lymphoma had Hodgkin's disease, which might play a role in the diminished response to vaccination owing to basic cellular immune disorders associated with the disease (Goyal et al, 1998). Moreover, in their study, children with lymphoma and solid tumors were vaccinated at diagnosis when they had the most intensive chemotherapy. This could cause more immunosuppression in them. Previous studies also demonstrated an impaired immune response to active vaccination in children with leukemia during intensive chemotherapy (Berberoglu et al, 1995; Hudson and Donaldson, 1997). In the Meral's study (2000), 86% of fully vaccinated children (4 doses completed) developed anti-HBs positivity. Seroconversion rates with respect to diagnosis were 90.3% in leukemia patients, 74% in lymphoma patients and 94.4% in solid tumor patients. Seropositivity increased from 48% to 74% in lymphoma and from 77% to 94% in solid tumors after the fourth dose. Berberoglu et al (1995) demonstrated seropositivity increased from 56% at 6 months to 70.5% at 12 months after the fourth dose

A vaccination program was conducted by Indolfi *et al* (1992), among 80 patients age 1-15 years old, having negative serology for hepatitis B and normal liver function, using a recombinant DNA hepatitis B vaccine at a dose of 40 μ g at 0, 1 and 2 months with a booster dose at one year. A fourth dose (40 μ g) was given during the fourth month to patients who did not respond to three doses. Sixty-one children, 38 with a diagnosis of leukemia/lymphoma and 23 with solid tumors, completed the scheduled course. Over half (52.45%) the subjects responded with an anti-HBs titer >10 mIU/ml, further emphasizing the importance of a higher dose on HBV vaccination in immune-compromised children.

However, there are reports of low antibody responses even after using a higher dose when the number of vaccinations is unchaged. Ramesh et al (2000) found only 28.6% of subjects mounted an antibody response >10 mIU/ml after four double doses of recombinant hepatitis B vaccine. Similar observations were seen in an earlier study (Hudson and Donaldson, 1997) where only 32% of pediatric cancer patients on chemotherapy mounted a protective response, with the number of responders being similar among hematological and solid malignancy patients. Rokicka-Milewska et al (1993) immunized children with leukemia and lymphoma. They found antibody titers were much higher in patients vaccinated after cessation of chemotherapy than those vaccinated during chemotherapy.

Goyal et al (1998) vaccinated leukemic children at diagnosis, but only 10.5% of them developed protective antibody titers. In their study, 48.8% of children were infected with HBV. Their data demonstrated that vaccination during chemotherapy was not effective. This may be due to immunosuppression induced by either disease or treatment with cytotoxic drugs which diminished the response to vaccination. Therefore passive immunization with hyper immunoglobulin followed by active immunization after the cessation of chemotherapy may be a better alternative in these children. Pilecki et al (1995) used both passive and active immunization in children with hematological proliferative diseases. They also reported the use of both active and passive immunization helped reduce the rate of HBV infection from 43.3% to 2.56%.

Studies regarding hepatitis B vaccination in children with cancer have observed the effects of age, sex, tumor and vaccine type on antibody response. The response has been reported to be better in children younger than 10 years old and in girls (Hollinger, 1989; Berberoglu et al, 1995). Several studies found the highest antibody responses were obtained in children with solid tumors since impaired lymphocyte function causes poor vaccine response in lymphoreticular malignancies (Hudson and Donaldson. 1997: Lehmbecher et al. 1997; Meral et al, 2000). Corapcioglu et al (2001) did not find any effect of age, sex, vaccine or tumor type on antibody response. In our study, we found no significant effect of age on antibody response. However, protective antibodies were demonstrated to be higher among girls (90.9%) than boys (65%), although the difference was not significant (p = 0.093).

Patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma exhibited significantly higher antibody levels than leukemic children (p = 0.024).

In conclusion, hematological cancer patients classified as "non-responders" after being vaccinated with conventional doses, appear to need higher doses to stimulate their already compromised immune systems, since most of these children responded to higher doses of the vaccine.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a great pleasure to express profound gratitude to Almighty God for giving the opportunity and providing with enough strength and patience to carry on the work. We would like to express our gratitude to Prof MFH Nazir, Head of the Department of Pediatrics and Dr Md Fazlul Haque, Department of Pediatrics, ICH and Shishu Sasthya Foundation Hospital, Mirpur, Dhaka for their affectionate encouragement, valuable suggestions and help. The gratitude also goes to Dr AKM Shamsuzzaman, Deputy Director and Senior Consultant in Pediatrics, ICH and Shishu Sasthya Foundation Hospital, Mirpur, Dhaka for the encouragement and to Dr Md Nurul Amin, for the valuable advice for analysis of the data. The authors greatly acknowledge the help of doctors and nurses from Department of Hemato-Oncology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Institute of Child Health and the SSF Hospital and the Private Clinic of Prof MA Mannan.

REFERENCES

- Berberoglu S, Buyukpamukco M, Sarialioglu F, *et al.* Hepatitis B vaccination in children with cancer. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 1995; 12: 171-8.
- Corapcioglu F, Sarialioglu F, Olgun Nuysal KM. A scoring system for the effectiveness of receiving treatment for cancer. *Turk J Cancer* 2001; 31: 150-7.
- Drachman R, Isacsohn M, Rudensky B, Drukker A. Vaccination against hepatitis B in children and adolescent children on dialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transpl* 1989; 4: 372-4.
- Goyal S, Pai S, Kelkar R, Advani SH. Hepatitis B vaccination in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Res* 1998; 22: 193-5.
- Hollinger BF. Factors influencing the immune response to hepatitis-B vaccine, booster dose guidelines and vaccine protocol recommendations. *Am J Med* 1989; 87: 36-40.
- Hudson MM, Donaldson SS. Hodgkin's disease. In: Pizzo PA, Poplack DG, eds. Principles and practice of pediatric oncology. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven Publishers, 1997: 523-43.
- Indolfi P, Casale F, Mazzei A, *et al.* Response to hepatitis-B vaccine in children with cancer [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 20th International Congress of Pediatrics.

1992: 111.

- Jilg W, Schimidt M, Dienhardt F. Vaccination against hepatitis B: comparison of three different vaccination schedules. *J Infect Dis* 1989; 160: 766-9.
- Lehmbecher T, Foster C, Vazquez N, *et al.* Therapy-induced alterations in host defense in children receiving for cancer. *J Pediatr Hematol-Oncol* 1997; 19: 399-417.
- Mannan MA, Ghosh NK. Reduced immunocompetence in children with cancer. *Bangladesh J Child Health* 2003; 27: 25.
- Meral A, Sevinir B, Gunay U. Efficacy of immunization against hepatitis B virus infection in children with cancer. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 2000; 35: 47-51.
- Pilecki O, Wysocki M, Styczynski J, *et al.* Efficacy of passive and active immunization against HBV infection in children with

neoplastic disease. Pediatr Pol 1995; 395-9.

- Ramesh M, Marwaha RK, Chawla YK, Trehan A. Seroconversion after hepatitis B vaccination in children receiving cancer chemotherapy. *Indian Pediatr* 2000; 37: 882-6.
- Rokicka-Milewska R, Jackoska T, Sopylo B, *et al.* Active immunization of children with leukemia and lymphoma against infection by hepatitis B virus. *Acta Pediatr Jpn* 1993; 35: 400-3.
- Somjee S, Pai S, Kelkar R, Advani S. Hepatitis-B vaccination in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Results of an intensified immunization schedule. *Leuk Res* 1999; 23: 365-7.
- Yetgin S, Tunc B, Koc A, Toksoy HB, Ceyham M, Kanra G. Two booster dose hepatitis B virus vaccination in patients with leukemia. *Leuk Res* 2001; 25: 647-9.