PREVALENCE AND PREDICTORS OF RECENT ILLNESS AND INJURY AMONG THE MALAYSIAN POPULATION

R Paramesarvathy¹, NM Amal², K Gurpreet³, GH Tee³ and C Karuthan⁴

 ¹Kuala Lumpur City Hall, Malaysia; ²Institute for Medical Research, Ministry of Health Malaysia; ³Institute for Public Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia;
⁴Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Abstract. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that injury will be the second leading cause of morbidity of disease burden worldwide by the year 2020. We conducted a community-based survey to determine the prevalence of recent illness and injury, in Malaysia. The survey was a cross sectional population-based household survey conducted using face to face interviews. The information was on self-reported recent illness and injury (SRRII) over the previous 2 weeks. This study was conducted during April - August 2006 and as a part of the third National Health and Morbidity Survey of Malaysia. A total of 56,710 respondents were interviewed with a response rate of 98.2% (55,660/56,710). The overall prevalence of combined SRRII was 23.6%; for injuries the prevalence was 3.1%. The groups with the highest SRRII were children 0-5 years old (31.2%), males (24.3%), Indians (26.9%), those with secondary education level (22.9%), those earning RM 1,000/ month (25.0%) and rural dwellers (25.5%). Age, sex, ethnicity, and locality were significantly associated with SRRII while monthly household income and educational level were marginally associated. On multivariate analysis, age, gender, race, ethnicity, education, income and locality were significantly associated with SRRII. The most common reported recent illness was related to the respiratory system (42.0%). The information obtained from this survey is useful to policy makers in the Ministry of Health to review and strengthen existing health programs.

Keywords: epidemiology, recent illness, injury, accidents, Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) has predicted injuries will be the second leading cause of morbidity worldwide by the year 2020 (Murray and Lopez, 1996; Stone *et al*, 2001). Injuries caused by home accidents and agricultural work-site accidents are the leading

cause of disability in India (Patel, 2009). Recent illness and injury impose a large burden of disease in Malaysia. Maddem (2000) defined recent illness as an illness or injury experienced within the previous 2 weeks, including long-term conditions. Self Reported Recent Illness/Injury (SRRII) can be used as a predictor of mortality and morbidity (Gerdtham *et al*, 1999).

Kleinman (1988) defined illness as the subjective experience of poor health and manifests itself as somatic or psychological symptoms, but may stem from mul-

Correspondence: Dr R Paramesarvathy, Health Department, City Hall Kuala Lumpur, KM 4, Jalan Cheras, 56100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail: paramesrj@yahoo.co.uk

tiple sources, including cognitive and social processes, and may or may not reflect the presence of an underlying disease. A person may experience ill health with no underlying disease and conversely he or she may suffer from an underlying disease without perceiving himself or herself as ill (Jurges *et al*, 2008). The health of an entire population is determined by aggregating data collected about individuals.

In the absence of comprehensive or absolute measures of health of a population, average lifespan, prevalence of preventable disease or deaths and availability of health services serve as indicators of health status. Some commonly used measures of population health status are morbidity and mortality measures. It has been argued that the role of health status measures in the assessment of medical care are of limited value in assessing the performance of health care professionals and institutions because of confounding factors, error in data collection and chance. However, health status measures should be incorporated as outcome measures in clinical trials since they can provide information on how individuals and institutions can improve performance and give health policy makers information about the effectiveness of interventions. Health status indicators can be divided into two types: self perceived health status and ill-health (in particular the prevalence of recent and/or long-term conditions) (Madden, 2000).

The aim of this study was to obtain community-based data on the patterns of recent illness/injuries to enable the Ministry of Health to review programs, strategies and allocate resources. The objectives of this study were to determine the prevalence rates of recent illness/ injury among different geographic and socio-economic sub-groups, the association between socio- economic variables and the prevalence of recent illness/injury in the general population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross sectional community household survey, part of a nationwide survey conducted every decade, was carried out throughout Malaysia during April -August 2006. SRRII was one of the areas studied in this survey. Recent illness was defined as any perceived illness present during the previous 2 weeks which required the person to limit their daily duties at school, work, home or free time. A short recall period was used to minimize recall bias. A face to face interview using a pre-tested questionnaire was conducted after consent was obtained from the respondents. For respondents aged ≤12 years, their parents/guardians were interviewed. If the respondent could not immediately recall an illness or injury, the interviewers probed them to trigger the memory. They were asked whether or not they had any recent illness or injury from a given list of health problems (Table 1).

SPSS version 16 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) with a complex sample add-on module was used to analyze the data. Population estimates expressed in proportions and rates were used in the analysis, and computation of standard errors was incorporated to provide a range of estimates with a 95% confidence interval. Prevalence rates, calculated by dividing the number of respondents with the health problem by the population at risk at the time of measurement (*ie*, all respondents interviewed), were used to estimate the magnitude of the health problem.

| System | Symptoms | System | Symptoms |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Respiratory | Cough with phlegm Fever | ENT | Mouth ulcers Discharge from ears |
| | Blood stained phlegm | | Decreased hearing |
| | Blocked nose | | Sore throat |
| | Sneezing | | Bleeding gums |
| | Asthma Naisy broothing | | Blooding from pose |
| | Dry cough | | Foreign body - specify |
| Gastrointestinal | Distension of abdomen | | Noise in the ears |
| | Diarrhea | | Cannot hear |
| | Yellowness of urine | | Pain in ears |
| | Yellowness of eyes | | Pain in nose Blooding cars |
| | Loss of Weight | | Pus in the nose |
| | Stomach pain | Eves | Watery eyes |
| | Blood in stools | 5 | Discharge from eyes |
| | Blood in vomitus | | Decreased vision |
| | Nausea | | Blindness |
| | Flatulence | | Night blindness |
| D 1/I I | Loss of appetite | | Cataract |
| Kenal/Urinary | Linable to pass urine | | Redness of eyes |
| | Blood in urine | | Injury to eye(s) |
| | Pus in urine | | Swelling eyes |
| | Stones in urine | | Painful eyes |
| | Passing a lot of urine | Skin | Itchy skin |
| | Backache | OKIII | Sores |
| Cardiovaccular | Chost pain | | Rashes |
| Caluiovasculai | Heart attack | | Peeling of skin |
| | Fainted | | Redness of skin |
| | Pain moving to little finger | | Swelling on skin |
| | Chest pain on exercising | | Fungus infection |
| | Difficulty in breathing | Muscular- | Broken bones |
| | Giddiness | skeletal | Pain in bones |
| | Chest discomfort | | Pain in joints Muccular pain |
| | Palpitations | | Swelling hone |
| | Difficulty in breathing | | Sprain |
| | on lying down | | Cramps |
| Perchistry/ | Nightmares | | Numbness |
| Nervous system | See things which are not there | Gynecological | Discharge from vagina |
| i tei totas system | Hear things which are not there | | Bleeding per yagina |
| | Weakness in limbs/face | | Excessive periods |
| | Numbness in limbs/face | | Pain during periods |
| | Disturbance in speech | | Itchiness in vaginal area |
| | Change of clean pattern | | No menstrual periods |
| | Cannot feel | Non specific | Swelling in Vaginal area |
| | Feel sad | symptoms | Headache |
| | Mood changes | oy inp to inio | Swelling legs |
| | Fell down | | Swelling other areas |
| | Injured head | | Loss of appetite |
| | Unconscious Fite | | liredness Overweight |
| | Cannot move body | | Underweight |
| | Swelling in the head | | Discharge |
| | Bleeding on the head | | Bleeding |
| | Feel like dying | | Fever |

Table 1 List of health problems.

RESULTS

General findings

A total of 56,710 respondents were interviewed in this survey with a response rate of 98.2% (55,660/56710). Sixty-eight point two percent of respondents were \leq 40 years old, 53.0% were females, 56.8% were Malays and 19.5% were Chinese, 63.3% were rural residents, 32.6% had secondary education and 34.5% had a monthy household income < RM 1,000 (Table 2).

Recent illness and injury by socio-demographic variables

The combined estimated prevalence of recent illness/injury was 23.6% (95% CI 22.9-24.3), of which 96.9% (95% CI 96.5-97.2) was due to recent illness and 3.1% (95% CI 2.8-3.5) due to injury. The prevalence of SRRII was highest among children 0-5 years old (31.2%) and nearly equally distributed among the other age groups. Males had a significantly higher prevalence of SRRII (24.3%) than females. Indians, one of the three main ethnic groups in Malaysia had the highest prevalence of SRRII (26.9%). By level of education, the highest prevalence of SRRII was among those with secondary education (22.9%) followed closely by those with primary education (22.7%). By income, those earning < RM 1,000/month (26.6%) had the highest prevalence of SRRII. By residence, a higher prevalence of SRRII was found among rural dwellers (25.5%) than urban dwellers (Table 3).

Bivariate analysis

On bivariate analysis, age, gender, ethnicity and residence were significantly associated with the prevalence of SRRII while level of education and income were only marginally associated; All age groups were associated with SRRII. Males were 1.08 times more likely to have a SRRII than

| Table 2 |
|---|
| Frequency distribution of socio-demo- |
| graphic characteristics of respondents. |

| | Respondents | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|--|--|
| Variable | n | (%) | | |
| Age group (years) ^a | | | | |
| 0-5 | 7,290 | (12.5) | | |
| 6-10 | 6,788 | (11.5) | | |
| 11-20 | 10,589 | (18.3) | | |
| 21-40 | 14,804 | (25.9) | | |
| 41-60 | 12,595 | (22.1) | | |
| ≥61 | 4,604 | (7.8) | | |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 26,709 | (47.0) | | |
| Female | 30,001 | (53.0) | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | |
| Malay | 32,494 | (56.8) | | |
| Chinese | 10,251 | (19.5) | | |
| Indian | 4,415 | (8.4) | | |
| Other bumis | 7,092 | (11.2) | | |
| Others | 2,458 | (4.1) | | |
| Highest level of education | | | | |
| None | 11,244 | (19.3) | | |
| Primary | 15,071 | (26.4) | | |
| Secondary | 18,235 | (32.6) | | |
| Tertiary | 3,378 | (6.3) | | |
| Not applicable | 8,288 | (14.5) | | |
| Monthly household income | e (RM) | | | |
| <1,000 | 19,891 | (34.5) | | |
| 1,000-1,999 | 15,228 | (28.0) | | |
| 2,000-3,999 | 12,823 | (24.5) | | |
| ≥4,000 | 6,629 | (13.0) | | |
| Residence | | | | |
| Rural | 32,734 | (63.3) | | |
| Urban | 23,976 | (36.7) | | |

^aNot applicable- those <6 years old

females. Malays, Indians, Bumiputras and others were more likely to have a SRRII than Chinese. Rural dwellers were 1.18 times more likely to have an SRRII than urban dwellers. Respondents with primary or secondary education had more likelihood to have an SRRII than those

| Variable | 11 | 0% | 95% CI (Prevalence) Lower (%) Upper (%) | | OR | 95% CL(OR) | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------|--|------|------|--------------|--|
| variable | 11 | 70 | | | OK | 55/0 CI (OR) | |
| Overall | 5,6710 | 23.6 | 22.9 | 24.3 | - | - | |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 0-5 | 2,265 | 31.2 | 29.8 | 32.6 | 1.91 | 1.77 - 2.06 | |
| 6-10 | 1,486 | 22.2 | 21.0 | 23.5 | 1.20 | 1.10 - 1.30 | |
| 11-20 | 2,879 | 27.5 | 26.4 | 28.7 | 1.60 | 1.50 - 1.70 | |
| 21-40 | 3,163 | 21.4 | 20.6 | 22.3 | 1.15 | 1.08 - 1.22 | |
| 41-60 ^a | 2,415 | 19.2 | 18.3 | 20.1 | - | - | |
| ≥61 | 1,078 | 23.5 | 22.1 | 24.9 | 1.29 | 1.19 - 1.40 | |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 6,466 | 24.3 | 23.5 | 25.2 | 1.08 | 1.04 - 1.13 | |
| Female ^a | 6,827 | 22.9 | 22.1 | 23.7 | - | - | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | |
| Malay | 8,128 | 25.3 | 24.4 | 26.3 | 1.73 | 1.38 - 1.90 | |
| Chinese ^a | 1,677 | 16.4 | 15.3 | 17.6 | - | - | |
| Indian | 1,164 | 26.9 | 24.8 | 28.1 | 1.88 | 1.65 - 2.14 | |
| Other bumis | 1,854 | 26.4 | 24.7 | 28.2 | 1.83 | 1.52 - 2.06 | |
| Others | 470 | 18.6 | 16.7 | 20.8 | 1.17 | 1.00 - 1.37 | |
| Level of education | | | | | | | |
| None | 2,396 | 21.5 | 20.6 | 22.6 | 1.04 | 0.94 - 1.16 | |
| Primary | 3,400 | 22.7 | 21.8 | 23.6 | 1.12 | 1.01 - 1.23 | |
| Secondary | 4,186 | 22.9 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 1.13 | 1.02 - 1.24 | |
| Tertiary ^a | 705 | 20.8 | 19.3 | 22.5 | - | - | |
| Monthly household | l income (RN | A) | | | | | |
| <1,000 | 4,894 | 25.0 | 24.0 | 26.1 | 1.14 | 1.03 - 1.25 | |
| 1,000-1,999 | 3,504 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 24.1 | 1.02 | 0.92 - 1.12 | |
| 2,000-3,999 | 2,971 | 23.1 | 22.0 | 24.3 | 1.02 | 0.93 - 1.13 | |
| ≥4,000ª | 1,495 | 22.7 | 21.2 | 24.3 | - | - | |
| Residence | | | | | | | |
| Rural | 5,989 | 25.5 | 24.3 | 26.7 | 1.18 | 1.09 - 1.28 | |
| Urban ^a | 7,304 | 22.4 | 21.6 | 23.3 | - | - | |

Table 3 Prevalence of recent illness/injury by socio-demography, locality and crude odds ratios (OR).

^aReference group

with tertiary education. Those with a monthly income < RM1,000 were more likely to have an SRRII than the reference group (Table 3).

Multivariate analysis

Multivariate analysis was carried out to determine the variables associated with

SRRII after adjusting for confounders. Age, gender, ethnicity, level of education, income and location of residence were significantly associated with SRRII (Table 4).

Prevalence of SRRII by anatomical system

The prevalence of illness was highest for the respiratory system (42.0%; 95%CI

| Multivariate analysis of recent illness/ injury. | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Variable | Adjustec OR | l 95% Confidence interval | <i>p</i> -value | | | |
| Age group (ye | ars) | | | | | |
| 0-5 | 1.62 | 1.35 - 1.96 | < 0.001 | | | |
| 6-10 | 1.29 | 1.16 - 1.43 | | | | |
| 11-20 | 1.57 | 1.47 - 1.67 | | | | |
| 21-40 | 1.12 | 1.04 - 1.19 | | | | |
| 41-60 ^a | - | - | | | | |
| ≥61 | 1.41 | 1.30 - 1.55 | | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male | 1.07 | 1.03 - 1.11 | 0.002 | | | |
| Female ^a | - | - | | | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | |
| Malay | 1.65 | 1.56 - 1.81 | < 0.001 | | | |
| Chinese ^a | - | - | | | | |
| Indians | 1.89 | 1.66 - 2.15 | | | | |
| Other bum | is 1.75 | 1.84 - 1.99 | | | | |
| Others | 1.22 | 1.03 - 1.43 | | | | |
| Level of education | | | | | | |
| None | 0.83 | 0.74 - 0.94 | < 0.001 | | | |
| Primary | 0.99 | 0.89 - 1.11 | | | | |
| Secondary | 1.02 | 0.92 - 1.13 | | | | |
| Tertiary ^a | - | - | | | | |
| Monthly house | ehold inc | come (RM) | | | | |
| <1,000 | 0.95 | 0.86 - 1.06 | 0.049 | | | |
| 1,000-1,999 | 0.88 | 0.80 - 0.98 | | | | |
| 2,000-3,999 | 0.93 | 0.84 - 1.03 | | | | |
| ≥4,000ª | | | | | | |
| Residence | | | | | | |
| Rural | 1.09 | 1.00 - 1.19 | < 0.049 | | | |
| Urban ^a | - | - | | | | |

Table 4

^aReference group

41.0 - 43.0) followed by non-specific symptoms (25.7%; 95% CI 24.6 - 26.7), other systems (17.1%, 95% CI 16.2-18.1) and ENT (4.1%; 95% CI 3.8-4.5) (Table 5).

Distribution of SRRII by anatomical system and socio demographic profile

The prevalence of respiratory illness was almost evenly distributed among the

age groups but was highest among the 21-40 year old age group (44.2%), females (40.0%), Malays (44.4%), those with tertiary education (45.9%) and those with a monthly income \geq RM4,000. There was no significant difference between rural and urban dwellers for respiratory illness. The highest prevalence for abdominal illness was among the 11-20 years old age group (3.4%), females (3.1%), Chinese (4%), those with secondary education (4.4%), those with a monthly income RM1,000 - 1,999 (3.4%) and among rural residents (3.3%). The highest prevalence of ENT related illnesses was the 21-40 years old age group (6.4%), females (4.5%), Chinese (4.4%) and those with a monthly income of RM 1,000 - 3,999 (4.4%). For muscular-skeletal illnesses, the highest prevalence was significant among the oldest age group of 61 years old and above (7.9%), among males (3.3%), Indians (3.6%), those with primary education (4.4%) and those with a monthly income earning of <RM1,999 (3.0%). Rural dwellers had a significantly higher SRRII. The highest prevalence of injuries was in the 11-20 years old age group (4.8%), among males (4.4%), Chinese (4.5%), those with no education (3.6%), those with a monthly income level of RM1,000-1,999 (3.7%) and those living in urban areas (3.5%) (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

A health interview survey, such as that carried out in this study, is a common technique used to evaluate national health (National Health Interview Survey of the USA, 2010; European Core Health Interview Survey, 2010). It is the best source of data on the demographics (Breslin *et al*, 2005), social health (Anitua and Esnaola, 2000), health seeking behavior (Tipping and Segall, 1995), mortality (Dahl *et al*,

| | | .))) | 5 | |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| Anatomical system | п | Ν | Estimate (%) | 95%CI |
| Respiratory | 5,608 | 2,055,631 | 42.0 | 41.0 - 43.1 |
| Gastrointestinal | 390 | 141,226 | 2.9 | 2.6 - 3.3 |
| Urinary/renal | 19 | 6,506 | 0.1 | 0.1 - 0.2 |
| Cardiovascular | 42 | 14,898 | 0.3 | 0.2 - 0.4 |
| Psychiatric/nervous | 40 | 15,041 | 0.3 | 0.2 - 0.4 |
| Ear, nose and throat | 556 | 202,359 | 4.1 | 3.8 - 4.5 |
| Eye | 60 | 20,898 | 0.4 | 0.3 - 0.6 |
| Skin | 134 | 47,947 | 1.0 | 0.8 - 1.2 |
| Musculoskeletal | 369 | 136,329 | 2.8 | 2.5 - 3.1 |
| Gynecological | 12 | 4,276 | 0.1 | 0.1 - 0.2 |
| Non-specific symptoms ^a | 3,466 | 1,256,015 | 25.7 | 24.7 - 26.7 |
| Injuries | 410 | 153,375 | 3.1 | 2.8 - 3.5 |
| Other systems ^b | 2,229 | 838,254 | 17.1 | 16.2 - 18.1 |
| Total | 13,335 | 4,892,756 | 100.0 | - |

Table 5 Prevalence of recent illness/injury by anatomical system.

n = Number of respondents; N = Number of estimated population

^aSymptoms not specific to any system mentioned in Table 1.

^bBlood, endocrine etc

2004), morbidity prevalence (Kunst *et al*, 1995) and the use of health facilities with economic correlations (Bernstein *et al*, 2003).

In the NHMS III, SRRII data was combined, in contrast to NHMS II (Institute for Public Health, 1996). Therefore, for most variables, the results were not comparable. However, the overall prevalences for combined recent illnesses and injuries and injuries alone for both surveys were available and could be compared. The combined prevalence of recent illness and injury for NHMS III was 23.6% which was lower than in NHMS II (29.5%). This could be due to increased in awareness created by health education, promotion of personal hygiene and hand washing activities promoted by the Ministry of Health (MOH), Malaysia, the private sector and non-governmental organizations during the epidemic of SARS and the

global epidemic of H1NI. This, coupled with various public health programs, such as healthy lifestyle campaigns, prevention of food and waterborne diseases. and influenza-like (ILI) surveillance introduced by the MOH over the past few years has further improved morbidity (Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2008). Five media campaigns (5M) carried out in 2007, healthy lifestyle, anti-smoking, HIV/AIDS prevention, prevention of dengue and healthy cafeteria guidelines (reduce sugar, reduce salt, reduce oil, add vegetables and fruits to your diet) have had an impact on the prevalence of morbidity (Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2007). Our findings were much higher than the global prevalence of poor health of 9.8% (Subramaniam et al, 2010).

The prevalence of injuries in NHMS III was 3.1%; slightly higher than NHMS II which was 2.6%. Our study did not

| | | | | vuii | ubico. | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Variable | Respiratory | | Abdomen | | ENT | | Musculo | | Injuries | |
| | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI |
| Age (years) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-5 | 42.1 | 40.0 - 44.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 - 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 - 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 - 0.5 | 3.8 | 3.0 - 4.7 |
| 6-10 | 40.6 | 38.1 - 38.1 | 2.9 | 2.1 - 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.4 - 4.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 - 1.8 | 4.5 | 3.6 - 5.8 |
| 11-20 | 43.9 | 42.1 - 45.8 | 3.4 | 2.8 - 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.8 - 5.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 - 3.5 | 4.8 | 4.1 - 5.7 |
| 21-40 | 44.2 | 42.5 - 46.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 - 4.0 | 6.4 | 5.6 - 7.4 | 2.3 | 1.9 - 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 - 3.1 |
| 41-60 | 43.9 | 41.9 - 46.9 | 2.8 | 2.2 - 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.2 - 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.2 - 6.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 - 2.1 |
| ≥61 | 40.8 | 37.8 - 43.8 | 2.7 | 1.9 - 3.8 | 2.5 | 1.7 - 3.6 | 7.9 | 6.4 - 9.7 | 1.8 | 1.2 - 2.8 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 42.1 | 40.0 - 43.3 | 2.8 | 2.4 - 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.5 - 4.4 | 3.3 | 2.8 - 3.7 | 4.4 | 3.9 - 4.9 |
| Female | 44.0 | 42.8 - 45.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 - 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.1 - 5.1 | 2.5 | 2.1 - 2.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 - 2.5 |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malays | 44.4. | 42.9 - 45.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 - 3.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 - 4.8 | 3.0 | 2.7 - 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.9 - 3.7 |
| Chinese | 41.5 | 39.1 - 43.9 | 4.0 | 3.1 - 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.5 - 5.5 | 2.9 | 2.1 - 3.8 | 4.5 | 3.6 - 5.6 |
| Indian | 41.0 | 38.2 - 43.9 | 2.4 | 1.6 - 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.2 - 5.5 | 3.6 | 2.6 - 4.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 - 3.5 |
| Other bumis | 42.8 | 40.5 - 45.1 | 3.9 | 3.1 - 4.9 | 3.7 | 2.9 - 4.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 - 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 - 3.1 |
| Others | 38.5 | 34.1 - 43.1 | 1.8 | 0.9 - 3.5 | 4.3 | 2.7 - 6.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 - 3.5 | 2.5 | 1.4 - 4.4 |
| Level of educat | tion | | | | | | | | | |
| None | 40.5 | 38.5 - 42.6 | 3,2 | 2.5 - 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.4 - 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.3 - 3.7 | 3.6 | 2.9 - 4.4 |
| Primary | 43.7 | 42.0 - 45.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 - 3.3 | 4.4 | 3.7 - 5.1 | 4.5 | 3.8 - 5.3 | 3.3 | 2.7 - 3.9 |
| Secondary | 44 | 42.5 - 45.6 | 4.4 | 2.2 - 4.0 | 5.8 | 5.1 - 6.6 | 3.2 | 2.7 - 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 - 3.3 |
| Tertiary | 45.9 | 42.2 - 49.6 | 3.2 | 2.1 - 4.8 | 7.2 | 5.5 - 9.3 | 2.3 | 1.4 - 3.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 - 3.7 |
| Monthly house | hold ir | ncome (RM) | | | | | | | | |
| <1,000 | 42.6 | 41.2 - 44.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 - 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.5 - 4.7 | 3.0 | 2.5 - 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.8 - 3.8 |
| 1,000-1,999 | 40.9 | 39.3 - 42.6 | 3.4 | 2.8 - 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.8 - 5.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 - 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.1 - 4.4 |
| 2,000-3,999 | 43.6 | 41.8 - 45.4 | 3.1 | 2.5 - 3.8 | 4.4 | 3.7 - 5.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 - 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.3 - 3.5 |
| ≥4,000 | 48.0 | 45.4 - 50.6 | 3.1 | 2.3 - 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.3 - 5.4 | 2.8 | 2.0 - 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.1 - 3.9 |
| Residence | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 43.1 | 41.9 - 44.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 - 3.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 - 4.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 - 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.9 - 3.7 |
| Rural | 43.0 | 41.8 - 44.3 | 3.3 | 2.9 - 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.7 - 4.7 | 3.3 | 2.9 - 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 - 3.6 |

Table 6 Distribution of recent illness/injury by anatomical systems and socio-demographic variables

95% CI = 95% Confidence interval

look into the type and place of injury; hence, not much can be said about what contributed to the higher prevalence of injury. However, with reference to the 10 principal causes of hospitalization at the Ministry of Health hospitals in Malaysia for 2007 and 2008; accidents ranked third (Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2007 and 2008); this could have contributed to the higher prevalence. In the module on Injuries in NHMS III, the incidence rate of injuries at schools was highest at 7.0%, which calls for further strengthening of the present injury prevention program integrated into the school health program of the MOH, Malaysia.

Our study shows the highest prevalence of recent illness/injuries was in the 0-5 years old age group at 31.2%. This could be due to an immature immune

status in this age group, which makes them more vulnerable to infections. Malaysia has a relatively young population, 41.4% of its total population of 27.7 million people in 2008 comprised of individuals ≤20 years old (Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2008). Our findings were in contrast to a study from Australia where the number of health conditions increased with increasing age (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2004-2005). In this same study in Australia, females reported better health across all ages (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2004-2005), similar to our study, where males had a higher prevalence of SRRII. A possible reason for this difference could be females may have a culturally different way of perceiving illness.

Respondents with tertiary education had the lowest prevalence of SRRII. A possible reason could be individuals with the highest educational level are better informed about their health and practice a healthier lifestyle. A study done by Furnee et al (2008) showed individuals with higher education reported better health. Likewise people with no formal education were at a disadvantage in terms of knowledge regarding health and accessing treatment. Our study showed the prevalence of SSRII was highest among those with primary and secondary levels of education (23.4% and 23.5%, respectively). These findings are similar to those from Australia where those with a postschool qualification reported better health than those with no formal education (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2004-2005). A study done in 48 countries showed there was a difference in reporting health status by educational level. Europeans with a higher education level were more likely to report a given health status negatively (D'Uvvo et al, 2008).

Indians, a minority group in Malay-

sia, had the highest prevalence of SRRII (28.2%). This group is disadvantaged, being mostly employed in the lower class labor force, which is predisposed to infections and accidents. A similar finding was seen in Australia (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2004-2005) among indigenous Australians who were twice as likely to report poor health than non-indigenous Australians. Studies by Williams (2005) and Jackson (2005) have shown there are inequalities in self reported health among minority populations.

Rural areas had a significantly higher prevalence of recent illness and injury compared to urban locations (25.5% and 22.4%, respectively). This is similar to the findings of the World Health Survey (Subramaniam et al, 2010) which found differences in how individuals perceived their health, depending on whether they lived in urban or rural locations and had different levels of health awareness and expectations. Rural dwellers might have less opportunity to receive health information and technologies, leaving them at a disadvantage in seeking treatment at hospitals, possibly causing them to seek traditional treatment instead.

Those with a monthly household income <RM1,000 had a significantly higher prevalence of SRRII (34.5%) than those with the highest income level of >RM4,000. This is probably due to the fact that people with little money to obtain healthy food and live in healthy conditions were more likely to contract infections. An Australian study revealed people with a higher socio-economic level reported better health than those with a lower socio-economic level (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2004-2005). A study done in Britain, Denmark, Germany and the USA found those in average and advantaged social classes had similar health,

but those from disadvantaged cases had poorer health (Sacker *et al*, 2009).

Although on bivariate analysis, income and education were only marginally associated with SRRII, on multivariate analysis age, gender, ethnicity, education, income and locality were significantly associated with the prevalence of SRRII. A meta-analysis of 40 studies found a strong association between education and health; people with higher levels of education reported better health (Furnee et al, 2008). Ethnicity was also found to be strongly associated with health in a study done in Sweden (Wiking, 2004). A study done by Von Dem Knesebeck et al (2006) showed there was a significant association between socio-economic status and health.

The prevalence of recent illness in this study was about eight times higher than that of injury. Illnesses related to the respiratory system (highest at about 42%) were the most common among the 11-20 years old age group. Respiratory illness ranked fourth among the top ten causes of admissions in government hospitals in Malaysia (Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2008). A study from Australia also found respiratory conditions were commonly reported conditions among children <15 years old (17%), among those 15-24 years old (28%) and among those \geq 65 years old (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2007-2008). In a household survey conducted in the United States, 72% of respondents reported a recent non-influenza related respiratory tract infection (Fendrick et al, 2003). In developing countries morbidity due to respiratory tract infections may be as severe as in industrialized countries. For children <5 years old, these infections are the leading cause of death (Denny, 1995).

The health interview survey has its

weaknesses. If an illness has not been medically attended to, diagnostic information is lacking. In the case of medically attended conditions, diagnostic precision is limited by the respondent's memory of medically/diagnostic terms mentioned by the physician and is influenced by his or her perceptions. Asymptomatic illnesses are entirely missed. Household surveys require enumerators to gather information. Respondents who are not at home when the enumerator passes by require the enumerator to make a follow-up visit. Other problems include the refusal of respondents to be interviewed. Selfreported health is a common measure of health status, however it is not the same as being examined by a physician. It is an individual's perception of his/her health and cannot determine variations in health status among countries, but can be used to evaluate health disparities within countries. A study done in Sweden showed Swedish people tend to over rate their health status while those in Germany tend to under rate it (Jurges, 2008).

This SRRII survey identified subgroups of the population requiring attention to improve their health. Existing health services provided by the Ministry of Health, such as Maternal and Child Health Services, School Health Programs, and Rural Health Water Supply and Sanitation ("BAKAS") need to be strengthened. An emphasis on the young, adolescents and the elderly must be continued by strengthening specific programs for these groups to achieve a comprehensive health service. The accident prevention program needs to be strengthened further to enhance existing outpatient care and emergency services to manage acute illnesses and injuries.

In conclusion, in spite of having a well organized, systematic health care

delivery service in the country, the problem of SRRII remains an important public health challenge in Malaysia. There is a need to review and strengthen existing health programs to achieve the vision of the Ministry of Health, Malaysia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Director General of Health, Malaysia, for his permission to publish this paper. We wish to acknowledge the Ministry of Health Malaysia for granting us the funds to conduct this survey [Project code: P42-251-170000-00500(005000099)]. We also would like to thank the staff of the Ministry of Health, Malaysia, and field enumerators for their dedication, contribution and commitment to ensure the success of the project.

REFERENCES

- Anitua C, Esnaola S. Changes in social inequalities in health in the Basque country. *J Epidemiol Com Health* 2000; 54: 437-43.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics, Self-assessed health in Australia: A snapshot, 2004-05, [Cited 2010 Oct 15]. Available from: URL: <u>htpp://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@</u> <u>nsf/4828.0.55.001</u>
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. National health survey 2007-08: User's guide. [Cited 2010 Oct 15]. Available from: URL: <u>htpp://www.</u> abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@nsf/4363.0.55.001
- Bernstein AB, Hing E, Moss AJ, Allen KF, Siller AB, Tiggle RB. Health care in America: Trends in utilization. Hyattsville: National Centre for Health Statistics, 2003.
- Breslin FC, Smith P. Age-related differences in work injuries: A multivariate populationbased study. *Am J Ind Med* 2005; 48: 50-6.
- Dahl M, Tybjaerg A, Hansen A, Peter Schnohr P, Noodestgaard BG. A population based study of mortality and morbidity in man-

nose- binding lectin deficiency. J Exp Med 2004; 199: 1391-9.

- Denny FW. The clinical Impact of human respiratory virus infections. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 152 (S2): 54-512.
- D'Uvvo TB, O' Donnel O, Van Doorslair E. Differential health reporting by education level and its impact on the measurement of health inequalities among older. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2008; 35: 1375-83.
- Fendrick M, Arnold, Mnato S, Nightingale B, Sarnes M. The economic impact of noninfluenza-related viral respiratory tract infection in the United States. *Arch Intern Med* 2003; 1633: 487-97.
- Furnée CA, Groot W, van den Brink HM. The health effects of education: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Public Health* 2008; 18: 417-21.
- Gerdtham U, Johannesson M, Lundberg L, Isacson D. A note on validating Wagstaff and Dooslairs. Health measure in the analysis of inequality in health. *J Health Econ* 1999; 18: 117-224.
- Institute for Public Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia, 1996. National health and morbidity survey II report. Kuala-Lumpur: Ministry of Health Malaysia, 1996.
- Jackson BP. Health inequalities among minority populations. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2005; 60 (special issue 2): S63-7.
- Jurges H, Avendano M, Mackenbach JP. Are different measures of self-rated health comparable? An assessment in five European countries. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2008; 23: 773-81.
- Kleinman A. The illness, narrative suffering, healing and the human condition. New York: Basic Books, 1988.
- Kunst AE, Jim C, Van Din Berg J. International variation in socio-economic inequalities in self - reported health. *J Epidemiol Com Health* 1995; 49: 117-23.
- Madden R. Measuring population health: an Australian perspective. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Ottawa: Joint UN/ECE and WHO meeting on measuring health status, October 2000.

- Ministry of Health (MOH), Malaysia. Annual report. Kuala-Lumpur: MOH, 2007.
- Ministry of Health (MOH), Malaysia. Annual report. Kuala-Lumpur: MOH, 2008.
- Murray CJL, Lopez AD. The global burden of disease: a comprehensive assessment of mortality and morbidity from diseases, injuries and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020. Cambridge: Harvard School of Public Health, 1996.
- National Health Interview Survey of USA. Betheda: CDC, 2010. [Cited 2010 Sep 13]. Available from: URL: <u>www.cdc.gov/nchs/</u><u>nhis/about_nhis.htm</u>
- Patel SK. An empirical study of causes of disability in India. *Internet J Epidemiol* 2009; 6 (2).
- Sacker A, Worts D, McDonagh P. Social influence on trajectories of self-rated health, evidence from Britain, Germany, Denmark and the USA. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2011; 65: 130-6.
- Stone DH, Jarvis S, Piess B. The continuing challenge of injury, *BMJ* 2001; 322: 1557-8.
- Subramaniam SV, Huijts T, Avendano M. Selfreported health assessments in the 2002

World Health Survey: how do they correlate with education? *Bull World Health Organ* 2010; 88: 131-8.

- Tipping GK, Segall MMS. Health care seeking behavior in developing countries: an annotated bibliography and literature review. Brighton, UK: IDS. *IDS Develop Bibliogr Ser* 1995: 12.
- United Nation Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). European core health interview survey. Geneva: UNECE, 2010. [Cited 2010 Oct 10]. Available from: URL: www.unece.org/stats/documents/ece/ces/ ge.13/2010/wp.9.e.ppt
- Von Dem Knesebeck O, Verde PE, Dragano N. Education and health in 22 European Countries. J Soc Sci Med 2006; 63: 1344-51.
- Wiking E, Sven Johansson E, Sundquist J. Ethnicity, acculturation and self-reported health. A population based study among immigrants from Poland, Turkey and Iran in Swedan. *J Epidemiol Com Health* 2004; 58: 574-82.
- Williams DR. The health of US racial and ethnic populations. *J Gerantol Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2005; 60 (special issue 2): S63-7.