CASE REPORT

POST-OPERATIVE ENDOPHTHALMITIS DUE TO FUSARIUM DIMERUM

Sadia Khan¹, Gopal S Pillai², V Vivek¹, Kavitha Dinesh¹ and PM Shamsul Karim¹

¹Department of Microbiology, ²Department of Ophthalmology, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi, Kerala, India

Abstract. Fungal endophthalmitis is a destructive intraocular infection resulting in poor visual prognosis. Endophthalmitis due to *Fusarium* spp has the worst visual prognosis. We report a case of a 58-year-old female patient who underwent cataract extraction and intraocular lens implantation in the right eye and presented two months after the surgery with fungal endophthalmitis. The aqueous humor culture grew *Fusarium dimerum*. The patient was treated with intravitreal and oral voriconazole and topical prednisolone. The patient experienced one episode of recurrence following by remarkable improvement. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of *Fusarium dimerum* endophthalmitis.

Keywords: *Fusarium dimerum,* fungal endophthalmitis, post-operative endophthalmitis, exogenous endophthalmitis

INTRODUCTION

Ocular infections due to fungi cause significant morbidity, particularly when the diagnosis and treatment are delayed. Fungal endophthalmitis is a destructive intraocular infection resulting in a poor visual prognosis. Endophthalmitis due to *Fusarium* spp has a poor visual prognosis (Dursun *et al*, 2003). Causes for this poor prognosis include a lack of adequate treatment for these species (Dursun *et al*, 2003), a delay in starting treatment (Garg *et al*, 2000) and the ability of *Fusarium* spp to produce extracellular proteases resulting in tissue matrix degradation (Gopinathan *et al*, 2001). *Fusarium dimerum* is a rare cause of ocular infections (Gabriele and Hutchins, 1996; Vismer *et al*, 2002). Two percent of ocular infections are caused by *F. dimerum* (Azor *et al*, 2009; Oechsler *et al*, 2009). We report a case of endophthalmitis due to *F. dimerum*.

CASE REPORT

A 58-year-old female patient underwent cataract extraction and intraocular lens implantation of the right eye. Two months after surgery, she was referred to our hospital with complaints of discomfort of the right eye. The patient had been started on empirical fluconazole therapy before being referred to our hospital. Slit lamp examination showed right eye conjunctival hyperemia, hypopyon, cor-

Correspondence: Dr Sadia Khan, Department of Microbiology, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi, Kerala 682 04I, India.

E-mail: drsadiakhan83@gmail.com; sadiakhan @aims.amrita.edu

neal edema and the intraocular lens was displaced to the nasal side. Intraocular pressure of the right eye was 18 mmHg. Examination of the fundus showed vitreous haze and mild cystoid macular edema. Ultrasonography of the eye did not reveal any choroidal thickening. An anterior chamber tap was done and an aqueous humor sample was obtained and sent for microscopy and culture. The patient was given an intravitreal injection of voriconazole and vancomycin after the sample was collected. A fluffy mass was noticed; probably dislodged from the pars plana following intravitreal injection.

The aqueous humor was sent for standard microbiological tests. Initial microscopic examination of the sample was inconclusive. The sample was plated on Sabouraud's Dextrose Agar (SDA) and incubated at 25°C and 37°C. The sample was also inoculated into 5% sheep blood agar and the needle used for aspirating the aqueous humor was placed in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth for further incubation. An organism grew out on the third day of incubation with the SDA, blood agar and BHI. Two to 3 milimeter white, round, flat cotonies with a smooth, velvety surface were seen. The colonies subsequently developed a feathery margin. On microscopic examination 2-4 m sized, slender sickle shaped conidia were seen. Further incubation of the colony revealed a pale orange color seen on the reverse side of the media. A microscopic examination at this stage revealed thin, hyaline, septate hyphae and numerous small curved macroconidia. The organism was presumptively identified as Fusarium spp.

Definitive identification was done based on morphological observations made on colonies which developed after subculture on potato dextrose agar and SDA, incubated at 25°C for 14 days. The colonies were 2-3 cm in diameter with a pale orange on the reverse. Microscopic examination of the slide culture showed hyaline, septate hyphae with monophialides arising from short conidiophores. The phialides showed numerous curved hyaline macroconidia (2-4 m) with a single septum and a pointed apex. Microconidia were not visualized. Intercalate smooth walled chlamydospores were also seen. Based on these findings, the organism was identified as *F. dimerum*.

The patient was started on oral voriconazole 300 mg twice daily with topical prednisolone for 3 weeks; the treatment resulted in considerable improvement. One month later the patient again developed redness and pain of the right eye. She was diagnosed with recurrent exogenous fungal endophthalmitis. An anterior chamber wash with voriconazole (200 g/0.1 ml) was performed under local anesthesia. Post-voriconazole wash. small fungal elements near the suture site were removed. At follow-up 2 months later the fungal infection appeared to have subsided. The best corrected visual acquity was 6/36 at the 2 month follow-up appointment.

DISCUSSION

Fusarium spp are common filamentous fungi found as soil saprophytes and plant pathogens. The *Fusarium* species frequently involved in human infections include *F. solani, F. moniliforme* and *F. oxysporum* (Guarro and Gene, 1995). *F. dimerum* has been reported to cause superficial ocular infections and rarely invasive infections (Zapater and Arrechea, 1975; Camin *et al*, 1999; Sallaber *et al*, 1999). Fungal endophthalmitis due to *F. dimerum* has not been reported to the best of our knowledge.

F. dimerum can be differentiated from other members of the genus by its characteristic morphological features. The colonies develop on solid media at a relatively slow rate forming white colonies initially, later producing an orangeapricot pigmentation due to conidial slime production. Under the microscope the fungus presents with hyaline, septate hyphae, loosely branched conidiophores, and strongly curved short sparsely septate macroconidia with pointed apices, borne on swollen phialids (deHoog et al, 2000; Azor et al, 2009). In contrast to macroconidia of other Fusarium spp, which measure 30-50 m in length, the macroconidia of *F. dimerum* are short and measure only around 5-20 m. F. dimerum characteristically lacks microconidia (de Hoog et al, 2000). Resistance among Fusarium spp toward most antifungal drugs make species level identification an exercise of only epidemiological interest. However, of clinical significance is the identification of species, such as F. verticillioides, which are susceptible to posaconazole (Marangon et al, 2004). When species differentiation based on morphology becomes difficult molecular techniques may be employed. In the case of *F. dimerum* the striking morphological features help in quick identification.

Our patient developed late post-operative endophthalmitis due to *F. dimerum*. Empiric fluconazole therapy was started prior to sending a sample for mycological examination. This led to an altered morphology on culture, mimicking yeast like organisms and slow development of the hyphae in culture. The initial microscopic examination of the culture was misleading. Mycologists should keep the possibility of altered morphology due to antifungal therapy in mind when dealing with conflicting macroscopic and microscopic findings.

In immunocompetent individuals, Fusarium endophthalmitis can occur as a result of penetrating trauma, keratitis and intraocular surgery (Pflugfelder et al, 1988; Ferrer et al, 2005; Azor et al, 2009). Eradicating Fusarium endophthalmitis can be extremely difficult since Fusarium spp are often resistant to antifungal medication. Optimal treatment of Fusarium spp has not yet been established. Fusarium strains yield high MICs for ketoconazole, fluconazole, itraconazole, miconazole, posaconazole and flucytosine (Ferrer et al, 2005). The antifungal drugs with relatively lower MICs against Fusarium spp include amphotericin B, voriconazole and natamycin (Rotowa et al, 1990; Clancy and Nguyen, 1998). Intravenous amphotericin B is one of the most commonly used treatment regimens for fungal endophthalmitis. While it is effective in treating disseminated infection, it has poor intraocular penetration (O'Day et al, 1985). Therefore, intravitreal amphotericin B with vitrectomy is advocated for fungal endophthalmitis (O'Day et al, 1985). However, there are concerns about the toxicity of intravitreal administration to the retina in the event of incorrect dilution or injection into an air-filled eye (Hariprasad et al, 2004). Posaconazole is available in oral formulation only; hence, it is not usually relied on for acute treatment in severe infections since 3-5 days may be required to achieve therapeutic drug levels. As clinical experience is limited, posaconazole is considered for treatment in refractory cases only.

Voriconazole is a broad-spectrum antifungal with high oral bioavailability and rapid systemic absorption. Ocular *Fusarium* isolates have shown an MIC of voriconazole of 2-8 g/ml with rare cases of resistance (Marangon *et al*, 2004). Voriconazole has time dependent activity, which implies enhancing the duration of exposure enhances the fungistatic action (Comer *et al*, 2012).Oral voriconazole along with intraocular voriconazole can maximize the intraocular concentration of the drug and achieve clearance of the infection (Comer *et al*, 2012). Therefore, our patient was started on intravitreal and oral voriconazole.

In ocular fungal infections, early identification of the species is vital. Response rates to amphotericin B, voriconazole and posaconazole have ranged from 45% to 48% (Perfect et al, 2003; Perfect, 2005; Cuenca-Estrella et al, 2006). Voriconazole has shown a global response rate of 45.5% in fusariosis refractory to treatment; posaconazole has shown a response rate of 48% in invasive fusariosis (Perfect, 2005; Hachem et al, 2008). Response rates to amphotericin B in Fusarium infections have varied from 32% to 66%; with higher failure rates in immunosuppressed individuals (Nucci and Anaissie, 2007; Rao et al, 2007). Fungal endophthalmitis is notoriously difficult to diagnose and treat, and generally results in protracted therapy with poor final outcomes. Fusarium ocular infections are visually destructive due to their high rates of antifungal resistance, the ability to infiltrate ocular tissue and cause intravascular occlusion (Comer et al, 2012). Keratitis due to Fusarium spp causes infiltrative endophthalmitis in 6%of the cases, which leaves 60% of these eyes with visual acquity of finger counting or worse, and 30% of the eyes with phthisis or requiring enucleation (Pflugfelder et al, 1988; Dursun et al, 2003). Even though current treatment options are far from optimal, early initiation of therapy can go a long way toward preserving the patients' vision (Hariprasad et al, 2008). The duration of therapy varies based on

the virulence of the organism, the extent of intraocular involvement and the timing of intervention. The role of aggressive surgical and medical intervention in treating fungal endophthalmitis cannot be over-emphasized.

REFERENCES

- Azor M, Gene J, Cano J, Manikandan P, Venkatapathy N, Guarro J. Less-frequent *Fusarium* species of clinical interest: correlation between morphological and molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009; 47: 1463-8.
- Camin AM, Michelet C, Langanay T, *et al*. Endocarditis due to *Fusarium dimerum* four years after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999; 28: 150.
- Clancy CJ, Nguyen MH. In vitro efficacy and fungicidal activity of voriconazole against *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium* species. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998; 17: 573-5.
- Comer MG, Stem SM, Saxe JS. Successful salvage therapy of *Fusarium* endophthalmitis secondary to keratitis: an interventional case series. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2012; 6: 721-6.
- Cuenca-Estrella M, Gomez-Lopez A, Mellado E, Buitrago MJ, Monzon A, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. Head-to-head comparison of the activities of currently available antifungal agents against 3,378 Spanish clinical isolates of yeasts and filamentous fungi. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006; 50: 917-21.
- de Hoog GS, Guarro J, Gene J, Figueras MJ. Atlas of clinical fungi. 2nd ed. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures and Reus, Spain: Universitat Rovira i Virgili, 2000: 688-9.
- Dursun D, Fernandez V, Miller D, Alfonso EC. Advanced *Fusarium* keratitis progressing to endophthalmitis. *Cornea* 2003; 22: 300-3.
- Ferrer C, Alio J, Rodriguez A, Andreu M, Colom F. Endophthalmitis caused by *Fusarium proliferatum*. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43: 5372-5.

- Gabriele P, Hutchins RK. *Fusarium* endophthalmitis in an intravenous drug abuser. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1996; 122: 119-21.
- Garg P, Gopinathan U, Choudhary K, Rao GN. Keratomycosis: clinical and microbiologic experience with dematiaceous fungi. *Ophthalmology* 2000; 107: 574-80.
- Gopinathan U, Ramakrishna T, Willcox M, *et al.* Enzymatic, clinical and histologic evaluation of corneal tissues in experimental fungal keratitis in rabbits. *Exp Eye Res* 2001; 72: 433-42.
- Guarro J, Gene J. Opportunistic fusarial infections in humans. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1995; 14: 741-54.
- Hachem RY, Langston AA, Graybill JR, *et al.* Posaconazole as salvage treatment of invasive fungal infections in patients with underlying renal impairment. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; 2: 1386-91.
- Hariprasad SM, Mieler WF, Holz ER, *et al.* Determination of vitreous, aqueous, and plasma concentration of orally administered voriconazole in humans. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004; 22: 42-7.
- Hariprasad SM, Mieler WF, Lin TK, Sponsel WE, Graybill JR. Voriconazole in the treatment of fungal eye infections: a review of current literature. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2008; 92: 871-8.
- Marangon FB, Miller D, Giaconi JA, Alfonso EC. In vitro investigation of voriconazole susceptibility for keratitis and endophthalmitis fungal pathogens. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004; 37: 820-5.
- Nucci M, Anaissie E. Fusarium infections in immunocompromised patients. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2007; 20: 695-704.

- O'Day DM, Head WS, Robinson RD, Stern WH, Freeman JM. Intraocular penetration of systemically administered antifungal agents. *Curr Eye Res* 1985; 4: 131-4.
- Oechsler RA, Feilmeier MR, Ledee DR, *et al.* Utility of molecular sequence analysis of the ITS rRNA region for identification of *Fusarium* spp from ocular sources. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009; 50: 2230-6.
- Perfect JR. Treatment of non-*Aspergillus* moulds in immunocompromised patients, with amphotericin B lipid complex. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005; 40 (suppl 6): S401-8.
- Perfect JR, Marr KA, Walsh TJ, *et al.* Voriconazole treatment for less-common, emerging, or refractory fungal infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 36: 1122-31.
- Pflugfelder SC, Flynn HW, Jr Zwickey TA, et al. Exogenous fungal endophthalmitis. *Ophthalmology* 1988; 95:19-30.
- Rao SK, Lam PT, Li EY, Yuen HK, Lam DS. A case series of contact lens-associated *Fusarium* keratitis in Hong Kong. *Cornea* 2007; 26: 1205-9.
- Rotowa NA, Shadomy HJ, Shadomy S. In vitro activities of polyene and imidazole antifungal agents against unusual opportunistic fungal pathogens. *Mycoses* 1990; 33: 203-11.
- Sallaber S, Lori G, Galeppi I. Keratomycosis by Fusarium dimerum. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 1999; 17: 146-7.
- Vismer HF, Marasas WF, Rheeder JP, Joubert JJ. *Fusarium dimerum* as a cause of human eye infections. *Med Mycol* 2002; 40: 399-406.
- Zapater RC, Arrechea A. Mycotic keratitis by *Fusarium*. A review and report of two cases. *Ophthalmologica* 1975; 170: 1-1.