ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AFFECTING BURKHOLDERIA PSEUDOMALLEI BIOFILM FORMATION
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Abstract. Melioidosis is highly prevalent in Northeast Thailand which is associated with high incidence of Burkholderia pseudomallei present in the soil of this region. B. pseudomallei when present in biofilm becomes resistant to numerous environmental factors and also to certain antibiotics. In this study, we examined the effects of several environmentally relevant factors (salinity, iron, manganese and temperature) on biofilm formation of four clinical ribotypes of B. pseudomallei commonly found in Northeast Thailand. The results showed that biofilm formation increased when B. pseudomallei were grown in modified Vogel and Bonner’s medium containing 0.85-1.7 M NaCl or 100-500 µM iron (FeSO4). Low temperature (20ºC) also induced more biofilm formation than 30ºC or 37ºC. On the other hand, protease production and bacterial motility were adversely affected but not in the case of low temperature. Results from this study should be useful in the development of prevention measures or controlling B. pseudomallei biofilm formation in the environment.
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INTRODUCTION

Burkholderia pseudomallei is the causative agent of melioidosis, a life-threatening bacterial infection of humans and animals mainly in Southeast Asia and northern Australia (White, 2003; Cheng and Currie, 2005). B. pseudomallei can be transmitted through the population in the endemic areas by percutaneous inoculation, inhalation or ingestion of contaminated soil and water. In Thailand, the mortality rate of treated melioidosis patients is as high as 40% (White, 2003) because B. pseudomallei is inherently resistant to a variety of antibiotics including β-lactams, aminoglycosides, macrolides, and polymyxins (Howe et al, 1971; Leelarasamee and Bovornkitti, 1989). In addition, B. pseudomallei is known to form biofilm and microcolonies (Vorachit et al, 1995) and B. pseudomallei growing in biofilm become resistant to several conventional antibiotics including doxycycline, cef-
tazidime, imipenem, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (Sawasdidoln et al., 2010) offering an explanation of relapse cases in melioidosis patients.

The incidence of melioidosis in Northeast Thailand largely restricted to Khon Kaen and Ubon Ratchathani Provinces (Leelarasamee, 1998) is 10-fold higher than that in Central Thailand (Palasatien et al., 2008). Also, the incidence of B. pseudomallei in soil in Northeast Thailand is 20-fold higher than that in Central Thailand and this high incidence is associated with certain physicochemical characteristics of the soil in Northeast Thailand including a pH of 5.0-6.0, a moisture content >10%, and higher oxygen demand and total nitrogen (Palasatien et al., 2008). About 75-85% of melioidosis cases are during the rainy season (White, 2003; Cheng and Currie, 2005). Association of the high incidence of melioidosis with the monsoon season, is observed not only in Thailand (June to November) (Srisurat et al., 2008) but also in Australia (October to March) (Cheng et al., 2008) after a long period of drought.

Several environmental factors such as soil (Chen et al., 2003; Palasatien et al., 2008) and water (Draper et al., 2010) characteristics are assumed to affect the distribution of B. pseudomallei. In terms of adaptation of bacteria to environment, biofilm formation is considered as an important survival strategy (Davey and O'Toole, 2000; Hall-Stoodley et al., 2004). Pseudomonas sp has been demonstrated to have an ability to produce extracellular polysaccharide, which alters the microenvironment in order to facilitate bacteria survival in desiccated condition (Roberson and Firestone, 1992). B. pseudomallei is resistant to a number of environmental factors, including salinity, although the precise mechanism(s) for its adaptive response to environmental changes remain largely unknown (Inglis and Sagripanti, 2006). The northeast region of Thailand has a severe problem of soil salinization with 8.5% of the region being affected (Dis-sataporn et al., 2002). In addition, iron and manganese oxide nodules have been found at a depth of 5-30 cm in soils of Nam Phong catena (Thanachit et al., 2010). High salt, iron and manganese concentrations in soils of Northeast Thailand together with the soil temperature may affect the survival of B. pseudomallei as 27°C could enhance microcolony formation of B. pseudomallei compared to 37°C (Boddey et al., 2006). However, no experimental evidence has been presented to support this notion.

In the present study the effects of physical and chemical conditions on biofilm formation were examined for 4 clinical B. pseudomallei isolates with ribotypes 3, 8, 19 and 23. In Thailand, three ribotypes (3, 8 and 23) account for 48.2% of both clinical and environmental isolates (Sermswan et al., 2001). Types 3 and 8 have been found to be prevalent worldwide, with type 3 being the most prevalent in Asian countries (Pitt et al., 2000; Sermswan et al., 2001). Type 23 is associated with high mortality (Pitt et al., 2000). Knowledge of the factors that affect B. pseudomallei biofilm formation is important for understanding the environmental cues that can lead to biofilm formation and bacterial survival.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains

Four clinical isolates of B. pseudomallei (R3C, R8C, R19C and R23C) representing 4 different ribotypes (ribotypes 3, 8, 19 and 23) commonly found in Thailand (Sermswan et al., 2001) were used in this study. In addition, the biofilm-producing clinical
isolate (H777) and its non-biofilm-producing mutant (M10) (Taweechaisupapong et al., 2005) were used as a positive and a negative control, respectively. B. thailandensis UE5 was also included as a reference strain for calculation of biofilm-forming capacity in all experiments (Taweechaisupapong et al., 2005). Each bacterial strain was grown on Ashdown’s agar plate at 37°C for 48 hours. A single colony of B. pseudomallei then was streaked on a Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plate before being grown further in modified Vogel and Bonner’s medium (MVBM) (Lam et al., 1980) under various growth conditions.

Biofilm formation

Biofilm formation of bacteria under various culture conditions was determined in a 96-well plate according to the method of Taweechaisupapong et al. (2005) in the presence of various concentrations of NaCl (0, 0.85, 1.19, 1.36, 1.53 and 1.7 M), Fe(SO₄).7H₂O (0, 1, 50, 100, 500 and 1,000 mM) or MnSO₄ (0, 1, 50, 500 and 1,000 µM). The effect of temperature on biofilm formation was investigated at 20, 30 and 37°C. A 2% (v/v) inoculum of culture (OD₅₅₀ = 0.9) was pretreated in MVBM with each environmental stress as described above for 18 hours. Then 200 µl aliquots of the pretreated culture of each bacterial suspension were added into 8 wells of a 96-well flat-bottomed plastic tissue culture plate (Nunclon™, Roskilde, Denmark). Non-treated bacteria in MVBM were used as the negative control. Plates were incubated at 37°C or each test temperature for 3 hours to allow adhesion. Non-adhering bacterial cells of each well were removed and replaced with 200 µl of sterile distilled water and replaced with 200 µl of fresh medium containing test compounds. The plates were further incubated for another 24 hours. Then, the wells were washed three times with 200 µl of sterile distilled water, and the attached bacteria (representing 2-day biofilm culture) were fixed with 200 µl of methanol per well for 15 minutes before being air-dried. Bacteria then were stained with 200 µl of 2% (w/v) crystal violet per well for 5 minutes and excess stain was removed with running tap water. Dye bound to adherent bacterial cells was solubilized by adding 200 µl of 33% (v/v) glacial acetic acid per well and the absorbance (A) of each well was measured at 630 nm using a microplate reader (TECAN Safire, Port Melbourne, Australia). The ability of biofilm formation of all tested strains was determined as ratio between test strains and B. thailandensis UE5 (reference strain) (Taweechaisupapong et al., 2005).

In order to monitor biofilm formation of bacteria under different stress conditions that may affect the bacterial cell mass, the average A₆₃₀ (crystal violet) was normalized to average A₅₄₀ (cell mass) of each experiment. The relative biofilm formation was calculated using the equation:

Relative biofilm =

\[
\frac{A_{630\text{ of tested strain}}}{A_{540\text{ of tested strain}}} \times \frac{A_{630\text{ of reference strain}}}{A_{540\text{ of reference strain}}}
\]

Three independent experiments were performed in duplicate determinations each time.

Phenotype assay

A single colony of bacteria from LB agar was inoculated into M9 medium with each environmental stress and incubated for 18 hours. The secreted protease production in each culture supernatant of
**B. pseudomallei** biofilm formation and environmental factors

*B. pseudomallei* was assayed by centrifuging bacterial cultures at 10,000 g for 10 minutes and incubating 20 µl of 18-hour bacterial culture supernatant on skim milk agar [3% (w/v) skim milk in 0.75% (w/v) Bacto agar] at 37°C for 24 hours (DeShazer et al., 1999). Protease production of bacteria was expressed as the diameter (mm) of clear zone. Swimming and swarming motilities were assessed using agar plate assay as previously described (Deziel et al., 2001). In brief, 2 µl of each 18-hour bacterial culture in M9 medium were inoculated onto Tryptone swim agar (1% tryptone, 0.5% NaCl, 0.3% Bacto agar) or swarm agar (0.8% nutrient broth, 5% dextrose and 0.5% Bacto agar) at 37°C for 24 hours. Then the resulting diameter of bacterial growth was measured.

**Statistical analysis**

Comparisons of the relative biofilm formation, protease production, swarm and swim diameter under various conditions of the same ribotype and of the same condition among different ribotypes were evaluated using one way ANOVA and statistical significance is when *p* < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

The 4 clinical isolates of *B. pseudomallei* (R3C, R8C, R19C and R23C), biofilm-producing isolate (H777) (positive control) and M10 (negative control) were grown in MVBM in the presence of 0.85-1.7 M NaCl. Biofilm formations in all NaCl concentrations of the 4 isolates and positive control are statistically higher than that without NaCl (*p* < 0.001) and the amount of biofilm of each ribotype increased in a concentration dependent manner [Fig 1A(a)]. Biofilm formations of these 5 isolates of *B. pseudomallei* were stimulated also by iron, with R3C biofilm formation being the highest at 500 µM iron (*p* < 0.001) [Fig 1B(a)]. On the other hand, biofilm production was suppressed in the presence of manganese, with the exception of R8C isolate, where biofilm formation was enhanced at 1 µM manganese (*p* < 0.001) [Fig 1C(a)]. Biofilm formation by the 4 *B. pseudomallei* clinical isolates was very limited at 30°C and 37°C but is significantly increased (*p* < 0.001) at 20°C, with isolate R23C demonstrating the highest biofilm formation (*p* < 0.001) [Fig 1D(a)]. Under all tested stress conditions, biofilm of mutant *B. pseudomallei* M10 was minimal.

Protease production of *B. pseudomallei* was suppressed in the presence of chemical agents (*p* < 0.05) but not by low temperature [Fig 1A(b)-1D(b)]. Higher concentrations of NaCl, iron and manganese significantly diminish the motility of *B. pseudomallei* clinical isolates as measured by swarm and swim distances (*p* < 0.05) [Fig 1A-C(c) and 1A-C(d)]. However, higher bacterial motility was evident with increase in temperature (*p* < 0.05) [Fig 1D(c)-1D(d)].

**DISCUSSION**

Biofilm formation of bacteria serves as a protection against environmental insults and adverse ecological stress (Davey and O'Toole, 2000). Osmolarity, inorganic molecules and temperature were reported to regulate biofilm formation of a number of bacterial species (O'Toole and Kolter, 1998; Romling et al., 1998; Singh et al., 2002; White-Ziegler et al., 2008). However, little is known regarding the adaptations of *B. pseudomallei* under stress conditions. In this study we found that high osmolarity (NaCl), high amount of iron and low temperature promoted *B. pseudomallei* biofilm production. Under these conditions, a decrease in protease production, swimming...
Fig 1—Effects of sodium chloride (A), iron (B), manganese (C) and temperature (D) on *B. pseudomallei* biofilm production, secreted protease, and swarm and swim distance. (a) Relative biofilm of 2-day incubation in MVBM, (b) protease production (%) on skim milk agar, (c) swarm distance on Bacto swarm agar plate, (d) swim distance on Tryptone swim agar. R3C (●), R8C (■), R19C (▲), R23C (x), H777 (biofilm-producing) (○), M10 (non biofilm-producing) (○).
and swarming motility were observed.

Our findings are in accordance with a previous study of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in which biofilm formation and swarming are negatively associated, while swarming is positively associated with secretion of proteases (Murray *et al.*, 2010). Garrett *et al.* (1999) reported that in *P. aeruginosa* down-regulation of flagellum synthesis is linked with up-regulation of alginate synthesis. These data point out two important players in the regulation of biofilm development. As cells adjust to an immobile lifestyle on a surface, they lose their flagella and increase production of exopolymeric substances.

The production of extracellular proteases has been implicated in the biofilm disassembly mechanism, and several reports have demonstrated an inverse correlation between protease expression and biofilm formation. For example, in *Staphylococcus aureus*, deletion of genes encoding proteases resulted in a significant increase in biofilm formation, and a concomitant decrease in disassembly upon activation of accessory gene regulator (*agr*) of the quorum-sensing system (Boles and Horswill, 2008). In addition, protease inhibitors have been shown to promote *S. aureus* biofilm formation under environmental conditions that normally accelerate biofilm disassembly (Boles and Horswill, 2008; Lauderdale *et al.*, 2009; Boles *et al.*, 2010).

High osmolarity is known to inhibit biofilm formation of various bacteria. For example, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* biofilm formation is inhibited by high osmolarity environment produced by addition of NaCl (O’Toole and Kolter, 1998). In *Salmonella typhimurium*, growth in medium containing high concentrations of NaCl abolishes transcription of *csgD*, a central regulator gene for biofilm formation and curli production (Romling *et al.*, 1998). On the other hand, *Vibrio cholerae* can form a biofilm under high salt conditions (Kapfhammer *et al.*, 2005). Two hundred mM NaCl activates transcription of *Escherichia coli* pga operon, which encodes proteins required for synthesis of poly-N-acetylg glucosamine, an essential polymer for biofilm formation (Goller *et al.*, 2006). Species-specific differences in the regulation of genes involved in biofilm production may account for the observation.

Iron, an essential element for bacterial growth, is also involved in biofilm formation in several bacterial species. For example, biofilm formation is suppressed at low iron condition in cultures of *P. aeruginosa* (Singh *et al.*, 2002), *V. cholerae* (Mey *et al.*, 2005) and *E. coli* (Wu and Outten, 2009). Analysis of soil samples in Australia indicated a significant association between *B. pseudomallei* positive sites and reddish gray and reddish brown soil (Kaestli *et al.*, 2007). The red soil color might reflect iron-containing soil. Analysis of soil from Nam Phong catena, Northeast Thailand revealed red to reddish yellow loamy sand and sandy clay loam containing iron and iron impregnated nodules (Thanachit *et al.*, 2010). Higher biofilm formation of *B. pseudomallei* under high iron and salt concentrations found in this study may provide an explanation for *B. pseudomallei* survival in soil of Northeast Thailand, which may lead to the high incidence of melioidosis cases.

Manganese is also known to affect microbial biofilm formation (Wang *et al.*, 2009). In a study of human dental caries, manganese was demonstrated to be involved in strain variability in biofilm formation of *Streptococcus mutans* (Arirachakaran *et al.*, 2007).
In the present study, low temperature (20°C) promoted *B. pseudomallei* biofilm formation. Low temperature triggers the general stress response of bacteria (Boddey et al., 2006; White-Ziegler et al., 2008). Low temperature may promote adherence of *B. pseudomallei* and contribute to biofilm formation. Biofilm formation of *Hafnia alvei*, an opportunistic pathogen of animal and humans, is accelerated dramatically when grown at 25 °C but not at 37 °C (Vivas et al., 2008). Expression of *E. coli* K12 genes involved in biofilm formation is upregulated at 23 °C (White-Ziegler et al., 2008). Northeast Thailand has a tropical savanna climate, with an average temperature of 26 °C (Thanachit et al., 2010). However, the temperature of soil in deeper layers is lower than surface temperature and thus biofilm formation of *B. pseudomallei* may be facilitated in deeper soil layer.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that demonstrates the effects of various environmental-related stresses on *B. pseudomallei* biofilm formation. The present results may be useful in developing prevention measures through controlling *B. pseudomallei* biofilm formation in the environment.
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