# **RESEARCH NOTE**

# PREVALENCE AND SPECIES IDENTIFICATION OF CRYPTOSPORIDIUM FROM FECAL SAMPLES OF HORSES IN TAIWAN

Peir-Fen Guo<sup>1,2</sup>, Tina Tu-Wen Chen<sup>3</sup>, John Chin Tsaihong<sup>1</sup>, Gen-der Ho<sup>4</sup>, Po-ching Cheng<sup>5</sup>, Yu-chuan Tseng<sup>6</sup> and Shih-yi Peng<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department and Institute of Tropical Medicine, National Yang-Ming University; <sup>2</sup>Taipei City Animal Protection Office, Taipei; <sup>3</sup>Institute of Medical Sciences, Tzu Chi University, Hualien; <sup>4</sup>Effpha Pharma Management Corporation, Taipei; <sup>5</sup>Department of Parasitology and Center for International Tropical Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei; <sup>6</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Biotechnology, College of Medicine, Tzu Chi University, Hualien; <sup>7</sup>Department of Biochemistry, College of Medicine, Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan

**Abstract.** Cryptosporidiosis is a zoonotic disease caused by the protozoan parasite *Cryptosporidium*. A total of 436 horse fecal samples were collected from 19 farms, and acid-fast staining method was used for primary screening. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were found in 161 samples, among which 33 positive sample were selected for nested PCR, restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis and DNA sequencing of 18 S rDNA, showing 31 samples to be bovine *C. parvum* and 2 *C. felis*. The methods employed in this study should be useful as tools to identify cryptosporidiosis genotypes and species of livestock.

Keywords: Cryptosporidium, genotype, horse, nested PCR, RFLP, Taiwan

#### INTRODUCTION

*Cryptosporidium* is a zoonotic pathogen, which can be transmitted from animals to humans or from humans to animals. *Cryptosporidium* infects a large number of vertebrates, including humans, horses, cats (*Felis catus*) and dogs (*Canis familiaris*). Livestock is often considered a source of environmental source of *Cryp*tosporidium (Xiao et al, 2004). *Cryptospo*ridium genus currently comprises at least 24 valid species and more than 40 genotypes, most of which are host-adapted and have a narrow host range (eg, C. canis, C. felis and C. hominis in dogs, cats and humans, respectively) (Thompson et al, 2008; Xiao and Fayer, 2008). Some species or genotypes, most notably *C. parvum* and *C. cervine*, have a broader host range that includes ruminants and humans (Xiao and Fayer, 2008; Xiao and Feng, 2008).

Cryptosporidiosis was first identified in immunocompromised Arabic foals

Correspondence: Prof Shih-yi Peng, Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Tzu Chi University, No. 701, Zhongyang Road, Sec 3, Hualien, 97004, Taiwan.

Tel: 886 3 856 5301 ext 2045; Fax: 886 3 857 8387 E-mail: pengsy@mail.tcu.edu.tw

and was observed to cause severe diarrhea (Snyder et al, 1978). Cryptosporidium infection in horses with normal immune function was first identified by Gajadhar et al (1985). Since then, there have been occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* in horses with normal immune function, suffering from similar symptoms of diarrhea (Coleman et al, 1989; Xiao and Herd, 1994; Netherwood et al, 1996; Olson et al, 1997). Some cases are primary infections without other enteropathies (Coleman et al, 1989). Xiao and Herd (1994) reported the rate of positive Cryptosporidium infection in horses with diarrhea is 54% and in horses without diarrhea, ie, having no clinical signs, 14% (Xiao and Herd, 1994).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method has been used to identify pathogenic Cryptosporidium spp (Laxer et al, 1991). Although many sequences of Cryptosporidium spp have been reported, but Cryptosporidium spp infection in horses was not identified (Morgan and Thompson, 1998; Sulaiman et al, 1998; Bornay-Llinares et al. 1999) until the nucleic acid sequence of *Cryptosporidium* in horses was first reported by Ryan et al (2003). Cryptosporidiosis in horses has been identified as *C. parvum* by oocyst morphological characteristics, but it is important to use molecular biology techniques to be able to more accurately classify the species and to understand its role in human public health.

The role of horse-infected *Cryptosporidium* in zoonotic diseases is unknown. The *Cryptosporidium* animal manager survey conducted by Mahdi and Ali (2002) indirectly showed that horses may be a significant source of zoonotic infection, and moreover, no information is available regarding *Cryptosporidium* epidemiology in horses in Taiwan. Thus, it is necessary



Fig 1–Map of Taiwan showing the sites where fecal samples of horses were taken.

to determine the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in horses in Taiwan.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Horse fecal samples

Fresh fecal samples from 436 horses at 19 ranches (10 in northern, 5 in central and 4 in the southern Taiwan) (Fig 1) from September 2001 to October 2003 were mixed with 2.5% potassium dichromate solution in a ratio of 1:1 and stored at 4°C. Samples were washed in 0.85%

Cryptosportation infection in forses in furward									
	Northern Taiwan	Central Taiwan	Southern Taiwan	Total					
No.	244 (10 ranches)	113 (5 ranches)	79 (4 ranches)	436 (19 ranches)					
Positive	93	36	32	116					
Infected rate	38%	32%	40%	37%					

Table 1 *Cryptosporidium* infection in horses in Taiwan.

normal saline before microscopic examination and DNA extraction. Positive control was *C. parvum* oocysts from a sick sheep at Taihung, Taiwan and a hamster subculture from our laboratory, which was confirmed the infection by acid-fast staining, PCR and gene sequencing. The nucleotide sequence of the positive control was determined using the ABI DNA automatic sequencer (Model 3730; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and accession number: AF093490.

## Microscopic examination

Stool consistency of all samples was recorded, and samples were checked for the presence of *Crytosporidium* oocysts under a light microscopy (200x magnification). Each sample was examined using a modified Ziehl-Neelsen acid-fast stain for *Crytosporidium* oocysts according to Henriksen (1981).

## PCR identification

DNA was extracted from oocysts using an UltraClean Soil DNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Solana Beach, CA) and stored at -20°C prior to analysis. The 18 S rDNA of *Cryptosporidium* was amplified using nested PCR (Xiao *et al*, 1999). PCR amplicon (834 bp) was first generated using primers All-1 (5'-GGA AGG GTT GTA TTT ATT AGA TAA AG-3') and All-2 (5'-AAG GAG TAA GGA ACA ACC TCC A-3', and subsequently an amplicon of 585 bp using primers 18SiCF1 (5'-CCT ATC

AGC TTTAGA CGG TAG-3') and 18SiCR1 (5'- TCT AAG AAT TTC ACC TCT GAC TG-3') (Ryan et al, 2003). Thermocycling conditions of PCR (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) were as follows: 94°C for 5 minutes; 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 seconds, 59°C (for the first PCR) or 56°C (for the second PCR) for 45 seconds, 72°C for 60 seconds; followed by a final heating at 72°C for 10 minutes. An aliquot of the PCR solution was digested for 2 hours at 37°C with 10 U XapI, VspI and DraI (Gibco/Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Undigested controls and digested samples were separated by 2% agarose gel-electrophoresis at 100 V for 0.5 hour in buffer containing ethidium bromide (0.5  $\mu$ g/ml) and visualized under UV light.

# DNA sequence analysis

PCR amplicons were sequenced by Tri-I Biotech, Taiwan, and the sequences were analysed using BioEdit software (Hitachi Software Engineering, Tokyo, Japan; <u>http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/ bioedit.html</u>). These sequences (samples 1-33) were 99.7-100% identical with GenBank accession number AF093490 or AF108862.

## RESULTS

Red or pink oval oocysts measuring 3-6  $\mu$ m were observed in 161 (37%) cryptosporidiosis-positive specimens of 436 samples, with positive rate in northern,

central and southern Taiwan of 38 (244 specimens), 32 (113) and 40% (79), respectively (Table 1).

Oocysts from 33 samples (containing an average of >100 oocysts per 5 fields) were subjected to PCR and DNA sequencing analysis. Nested PCR of all samples revealed the presence of 585 bp amplicons, which were digested by *Vsp*I into 530 bp and 55 bp fragments (data not shown) indicative of either bovine *C. parvum* or *C. felis*. The 605 bp fragment of *C. felis* can be digested by *Dra*I into 2 fragments of 361 bp and 244 bp, which was obtained in 2 samples, which were considered as *C. felis* (data not shown).

DNA sequence analysis of the putative 31 bovine *C. parvum* 585 bp fragment showed 100% identity with bovine *C. parvum* standard strain AF093490 from GenBank (Fig 2). The DNA sequences of the 2 putative *C. felis* samples were 99.7% identical (2 bases different) with *C. felis* standard strain in GenBank (accession no. AF108862) (Fig 2).

# DISCUSSION

Previous inspection methods for horse Cryptosporidium included tissue slices (Snyder et al, 1978; Mair et al, 1990), acid-fast stain (Henriksen, 1981; Cole et al, 1998), immunofluorescence analysis (Olson et al, 1997; Cole et al, 1998), and flow cytometry (Arrowood et al, 1995; Cole et al, 1998, 1999). Because manure from herbivorous animals contains large amounts of crude fiber and impurities, we used the concentration precipitation method in order to filter out most of the plant fibers, thereby decreasing interference with microscopy and increasing the positive rate of Cryptosporidium oocyst detection by the acid-fast staining method. Although the sensitivity of acid-fast staining is poor and lacks specificity, it is the easiest and most effective method for veterinary clinical diagnosis. On the other hand, PCR is a very sensitive method, but requires experienced personnel and is expensive. Therefore, it is not used routinely for investigations. However, PCR is a powerful tool for identification of genotypes.

We found that the Cryptosporidium infection rate in Taiwanese horses was up to 37%. Based on previous studies, stallion ranches have the highest infection rate and adult horses have the lowest infection rate (Cole et al, 1998). Although most horses in Taiwan are adults and there are no stallion ranches in Taiwan, the positive rate is higher than that in other countries (Coleman et al, 1989; Xiao and Herd, 1994; Netherwood et al, 1996; Olson et al, 1997; Cole et al, 1998, 1999; McKenzie and Diffay, 2000). This can be attributed to the following factors: 1) most horses in Taiwanese ranches are imported trained geldings aged 5-15 years; and adult animals infected with Cryptosporidium generally have no clinical symptoms and the infected animals have a prototype infection that may not be noticed by their owners (Xiao and Herd, 1994; Cole et al, 1998), 2) Horse races are often held on various racing courses or ranches in Taiwan; and because not all ranches have specialized vehicles to transport horses, they have to rely on commercial transportation, resulting in the possibility of mutual infection among transported or race horses. 3) Horse trade between horse ranches is flourishing, and thus, commercial vehicles often shuttle between horse ranches; if these vehicles are not cleaned and sanitized, they may become mechanical carriers. Thus, it is suggested that horses that return from outdoors should be guarantined for at least 14 days and the

#### Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health

	18SiC	FI						
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	CCTATCAGCT	TTAGACGGTA	GGGTATTGGC	CTACCGTGGC	AATGACGGGT	AACGGGGAAT	TAGGGTTCGA	70
Samples 1-31			· · · · · · · · · · · ·					70
C. felis (AF108862)					Τ			70
Samples 32-33	•••••	•••••	•••••	G	т			70
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	TTCCGGAGAG	GGAGCCTGAG	AAACGGCTAC	CACATCTAAG	GAAGGCAGCA	GGCGCGCAAA	TTACCCAATC	140
Samples 1-31								140
C. felis (AF108862)								140
Samples 32-33	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		140
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	CTAATACAGG	GAGGTAGTGA	CAAGAAATAA	CAATACAGGA	CTTTTTGGTT	TTGTAATTGG	AATGAGTTAA	210
Samples 1-31								210
C. felis (AF108862)					AC			210
Samples 32-33		•••••	•••••	•••••	AC			210
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	GTATAAACCC	CTTTACAAGT	ATCAATTGGA	GGGCAAGTCT	GGTGCCAGCA	GCCGCGGTAA	TTCCAGCTCC	280
Samples 1-31								280
C. felis (AF108862)								280
Samples 32-33	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••			280
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	AATAGCGTAT	ATTAAAGTTG	TTGCAGTTAA	AAAGCTCGTA	GTTGGATTTC	TGTTAATAAT	TTATATAAAA	350
Samples 1-31		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	350
C. felis (AF108862)		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			350
Samples 32-33				•••••	•••••			350
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	TATTTTGA	TGAATATT	TATATAATAT	TAACATAATT	CATATTACTA	ТАТА	TTTTAGT	407
Samples 1-31	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •			407
C. felis (AF108862)	TTTT	.A TA.	GG	• • • • • • • • • • •	TT.T	A.G.CTGAAT	TTT	420
Samples 32-33		<b>A.</b>	GG	•••••	TT.T	A.G.CTGAAT		420
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	ATATGA	AATTTTACTT	TGAGAAAATT	AGAGTGCTTA	AAGCAGGCAT	ATGCCTTGAA	TACTCCAGCA	473
Samples 1-31								473
C. felis (AF108862)	GATA				T.	т		490
Samples 32-33	GATA	•••••		•••••	T.	тИ	5 <i>n</i> I	490
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	TGGAATAATA	TTAAA-GATT	TTTATCTTTC	TTATTGGT	TCTAAGATAA	GAATAATGAT	TAATAGGGAC	540
Samples 1-31					• • • • • • • • • • •			540
C. felis (AF108862)		AA	T			A		560
Samples 32-33		AA	T	18SiCR1		A	<u></u>	560
C. parvum bovine (AF093490)	AGTTGGGGGC	ATTTGTATTT	AACAGTCAGA	GGTGAAATTC	TTAGA 585			
Samples 1-31		• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	585			
C. felis (AF108862)				T	605			
Samples 32-33					605			

Fig 2–18S rDNA sequences of *C. parvum* bovine genotype (GenBank accession no. AF093490) and *C. felis* (AF108862). Location of PCR primers (18SiCF1, 18SiCR1) and *Dra*I and *Vsp*I sites are shown.

vehicles transporting the horses should be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected to prevent *Cryptosporidium* infection.

Little information is available regarding the epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* in Taiwan. Previous studies investigating *Cryptosporidium* in Taiwanese water sources have mostly used specific fluorescence microscopy (Hsu *et al*, 1999a,b; Hsu *et al*, 2001) and have detected an average of 22.1 oocysts/100 liter of water sample. The *Cryptosporidium* infection rate in feeding animals in Taiwan is 32.6% in cattle (Huang *et al*, 2012) and 38% (173/460) and 36% (44/123) in cattle and goats, respectively (Watanabe *et al*, 2005). This study is the first report of *Cryptosporidium* infection rate and identification of species in Taiwanese horses. *Cryptosporidium* oocyst shedding in livestock in Taiwan is ubiquitous. As the majority of *Cryptosporidium* detected in horse feces was bovine *C. parvum*, its zoonotic potential in causing a human outbreak of cryptosporidiosis should not be ignored. Transmission of *Cryptosporidium* oocyst from animal to humans through contamination of the environment by animal feces should be

# considered.

Horses infected with *Cryptosporidium* spp may have no clinical symptoms of diarrhea (Xiao and Herd, 1994). Among the horse specimens analysed in this survey, only some horses (total infection rate: 15-31%) in one ranch had diarrhea with watery stool for 10-15 days, but the remaining horses had no diarrhea, as described in the literature (Xiao and Herd, 1994).

Some horse ranches conduct horse manure reuse processing, and some ranches sell unfermented horse manure in the form of organic fertilizer (Lin, 2003). Lin (2003) found that manure from fermentation processing contains 4% detectable Cryptosporidium spp oocysts, which could be found in fertilizers sold in markets after traditional stack processing. If farmers use such horse manure containing Cryptosporidium oocysts in fields or flowerbeds, workers may become infected or agricultural products and the environment may also become contaminated. Therefore, animal wastes should only be used as organic fertilizers after thorough fermentation processing.

In this study, we used acid-fast staining to detect the positive samples of *Cryptosporidium* in horse feces, and then applied nested PCR to detect the actual species in the infected samples. DNA sequencing confirmed the putative genotypes inferred from restriction fragments polymorphism analysis. Thus these methods should be useful as a model reference in investigating *Cryptosporidium* spp or genotypes in horses.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all participants who assisted in the study.

### REFERENCES

- Arrowood MJ, Hurd MR, Mead JR. A new method for evaluating experimental cryptosporidial parasite loads using immunofluorescent flow cytometry. *J Parasitol* 1995; 81: 404-9.
- Bornay-Llinares FJ, da Silva AJ, Moura IN, *et al.* Identification of *Cryptosporidium felis* in a cow by morphologic and molecular methods. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1999; 65: 1455-8.
- Cole DJ, Cohen ND, Snowden K, Smith R. Prevalence of and risk factors for fecal shedding of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in horses. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1998; 213: 1296-302.
- Cole DJ, Snowden K, Cohen ND, Smith R. Detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in horses: thresholds of acid-fast stain, immunofluorescence assay, and flow cytometry. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999; 37: 457-60.
- Coleman SU, Klei TR, French DD, Chapman MR, Corstvet RE. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* sp in equids in Louisiana. *Am J Vet Res* 1989; 50: 575-7.
- Gajadhar AA, Caron JP, Allen JR. Cryptosporidiosis in two foals. *Can Vet J* 1985; 26: 132-4.
- Henriksen SA PJ. Staining of cryptosporidia by a modified Ziehl-Neelsen technique. *Acta Vet Scand* 1981; 22: 594-6.
- Hsu BM, Huang C, Jiang GY, Hsu CL. The prevalence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in Taiwan water supplies. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 1999a; 57: 149-60.
- Hsu BM, Huang C, Hsu CL, Hsu YF, Yeh JH. Occurrence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in the Kau-Ping River and its watershed in Southern Taiwan. *Water Res* 1999b; 33: 2701-7.
- Hsu BM, Huang C, Hsu CL. Analysis for *Giardia* cysts and *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in water samples from small water systems in Taiwan. *Parasitol Res* 2001; 87: 163-8.
- Huang CC, Wang LC, Pan CH, Yang CH, Lai CH. Investigation of gastrointestinal

parasites of dairy cattle around Taiwan. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2012; S1684-1182.

- Laxer MA, Timblin BK, Patel RJ. DNA sequences for the specific detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* by the polymerase chain reaction. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1991; 45: 688-94.
- Lin YS. Survey of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in insectivorous bats in Taiwan and the influence of compost heaps treatment on *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. National Chung Taichung City: Hsing University, 2003: 51-9. Thesis.
- Mahdi NK, Ali NH. Cryptosporidiosis among animal handlers and their livestock in Basrah, Iraq. *East Afr Med J* 2002; **79:** 550-3.
- Mair TS, Taylor FG, Harbour DA, Pearson GR. Concurrent *Cryptosporidium* and coronavirus infections in an Arabian foal with combined immunodeficiency syndrome. *Vet Rec* 1990; 126: 127-30.
- McKenzie DM, Diffay BC. Diarrhoea associated with cryptosporidial oocyst shedding in a quarterhorse stallion. *Aust Vet J* 2000; 78: 27-8.
- Morgan UM, Thompson RC. PCR detection of *Cryptosporidium*: the way forward? *Parasitol Today* 1998; 14: 241-5.
- Netherwood T, Wood JL, Townsend HG, Mumford JA, Chanter N. Foal diarrhoea between 1991 and 1994 in the United Kingdom associated with *Clostridium perfringens*, rotavirus, *Strongyloides westeri* and *Cryptosporidium* spp. *Epidemiol Infect* 1996; 117: 375-83.
- Olson ME, Thorlakson CL, Deselliers L, Morck DW, McAllister TA. *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in Canadian farm animals. *Vet Parasitol* 1997; 68: 375-81.

- Ryan U, Xiao L, Read C, Zhou L, Lal AA, Pavlasek I. Identification of novel *Cryptosporidium* genotypes from the Czech Republic. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003; 69: 4302-7.
- Snyder SP, England JJ, McChesney AE. Cryptosporidiosis in immunodeficient Arabian foals. *Vet Pathol* 1978; 15: 12-7.
- Sulaiman IM, Xiao L, Yang C, *et al.* Differentiating human from animal isolates of *Cryptosporidium parvum. Emerg Infect Dis* 1998; 4: 681-5.
- Thompson RC, Palmer CS, O'Handley R. The public health and clinical significance of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in domestic animals. *Vet J* 2008; 177: 18-25.
- Watanabe Y, Yang CH, Ooi HK. *Cryptosporidium* infection in livestock and first identification of *Cryptosporidium parvum* genotype in cattle feces in Taiwan. *Parasitol Res* 2005; 97: 238-41.
- Xiao L, Escalante L, Yang C, *et al*. Phylogenetic analysis of *Cryptosporidium* parasites based on the small-subunit rRNA gene locus. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1999; 65: 1578-83.
- Xiao L, Fayer R. Molecular characterisation of species and genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* and assessment of zoonotic transmission. *Int J Parasitol* 2008; 38: 1239-55.
- Xiao L, Fayer R, Ryan U, Upton SJ. *Cryptosporidium* taxonomy: recent advances and implications for public health. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004; 17: 72-97.
- Xiao L, Feng Y. Zoonotic cryptosporidiosis. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2008; 52: 309-23.
- Xiao L, Herd RP. Epidemiology of equine *Cryp*tosporidium and *Giardia* infections. *Equine Vet J* 1994; 26: 14-7.