RESEARCH NOTE

A PCR-BASED DETECTION OF BURKHOLDERIA PSEUDOMALLEI DIVERSITY USING MYOVIRIDAE PROPHAGE TYPING

Yaowarin Nakornpakdee^{1,3}, Rasana W Sermswan^{2,3}, Unchalee Tattawasart^{1,3}, Umaporn Yordpratum^{1,3} and Surasakdi Wongratanacheewin^{1,3}

¹Department of Microbiology, ²Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine; ³Melioidosis Research Center, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

Abstract. PCR-based detection of *Myoviridae* lysogenic phages in *Burkholderia pseudomallei* was developed using primers targeting K96243 prophage GI2, phiE12-2 and phi52237/phiX216. Investigation of 50 clinical and 50 environmental (soil) isolates revealed that K96243 prophage GI2 was the most common (48%) among the isolates, followed by phiE12-2 (38%) and phi52237/phiX216 (35%), with K96243 prophage GI2 being significantly more frequent in soil (64%) than clinical (32%) samples. Twenty-four percent of soil isolates contained all three prophage types, while clinical isolates harbored no more than two types. Although *B. pseudomallei* isolates from soil were found to be more diverse based on prophage typing, all isolates were equally susceptible to a battery of lytic phages (although to different extents), suggesting the possibility of using lytic phages to control environmental *B. pseudomallei*.

Keywords: Burkholderia pseudomallei, Myoviridae prophage, bacteriophage, PCR

INTRODUCTION

Burkholderia pseudomallei is the causative agent of melioidosis. The majority of infections are reported from Southeast Asia (especially northeastern Thailand) and northern Australia, where the organism is present both in soil and water (Chaowagul *et al*, 1989). Infection is acquired through skin inoculation, contamination of wounds, ingestion, or inhalation. The clinical picture of melioidosis is various and includes asymptomatic, acute, and chronic infections (Wiersinga *et al*, 2012). It is still unknown whether there is any correlation between causative bacterial strain and pathogenesis.

B. pseudomallei is highly genetically diverse, and one possible mechanism promoting this diversity is horizontal gene transfer mediated by lysogenic bacteriophages, these integrated sequences (prophages) contributing to inter-strain genetic variability (Srividhya *et al*, 2007).

Correspondence: Dr S Wongratanacheewin, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand.

Tel: +66 (0) 43 363808; Fax: +66 (0) 43 348385 E-mail: sura_wng@kku.ac.th

Most phages from *B. pseudomallei* isolates are lysogens and some even are polylysogenic, indicating multiple integrations of bacteriophages (Elliman, unpublished data). Many lysogenic B. pseudomallei phages including phi52237, phiE12-2, phi644-2, K96243 prophage GI2, phi1026b, and phiX216 have been sequenced (De-Shazer, 2004; Holden et al, 2004; Ronning et al. 2010: Kvitko et al. 2012). Only four of them can be classified into family Muoviridae based on their morphotypes, namely, phi52237, phiE12-2, K96243 prophage GI2, and phiX216, while others can be classified into family Siphoviridae. These data can be used for differentiation of bacterial strains instead of relying on the complete genome sequences (Ronning et al, 2010).

In this work a PCR-based approach was developed to detect *B. pseudomallei* prophages and compared their diversity between clinical and soil *B. pseudomallei* isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains

Bacterial strains used in this study were obtained from the Melioidosis Research Center (MRC), Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand. Fifty clinical isolates were from blood, sputum, body fluid, urine, and pus of patients with melioidosis, and 50 environmental isolates were cultured from soil of northeastern part of Thailand, including Khon Kaen, Ubon Ratchathani, Nakhon Ratchasima, Surin, Mukdahan, and Yasothon provinces. This project was approved by the human ethics committee of Khon Kaen University (Project ID HE531269).

Bacterial genomic DNA extraction

B. pseudomallei was grown in Luria Bertani (LB) broth for 16 hours at 37°C with shaking (200 rpm). The bacterial culture was centrifuged at 11,000g for 5 minutes, and 1 ml aliquot of extraction buffer (50 mM glucose, 25 mM Tris-HCl. 100 mM NaCl. 10 mM EDTA) was added to the pellet, mixed and centrifuged as described above. Then 500 µl aliquot of extraction buffer was added to the pellet. and mixed until the pellet dissolved. A 500 µl aliquot of 1:10 Proteinase K-SDS solution was added, gently mixed and incubated at 65°C for 3 hours or until the solution appeared clear. Then 330 µl aliquot of 5M NaCl was added, mixed and centrifuged at 11,000g for 10 minutes. DNA was extracted using phenol-chloroform procedure, and precipitated by addition of 2 volumes of absolute ethanol and storage at -70°C for 15-30 minutes (Sambrook et al, 1989). The pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, allowed to dry, dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA), and stored at -20°C until used.

PCR protocol

Primers specific only for lysogenic Myoviridae phages of B. pseudomal*lei* were designed from the sequences of phi52237 (GenBank accession no. NC_007145), phiE12-2 (GenBank accession no. NC_009236), K96243 prophage GI2 (GenBank accession no. NC 006350.1), and phiX216 (GenBank accession no. JX681814.1) using GeneFisher2 software (Giegerich et al, 1996). The phi52237/ phiX216 primer pair amplified regions in the bacteria encoding the conserved hypothetical protein found also in the genomes of phage phi52237 and phiX216; phiE12-2 amplified regions encoding the hypothetical protein in phiE12-2 genome while the primers for K96243 prophage GI2 amplified a fragment of methylase gene (Table 1).

Amplification of *B. pseudomallei* 16S rDNA was used as a positive control. PCR reactions were carried out in a final

Table 1	Primers used in the study.	Gene target	Conserved hypothetical protein in	phi52237 and phi52237 and phiX216 genome	Hypothetical protein in phiE12-2 genome		Methylase, a phage-encoded	modification enzyme	
		Product length	570 bp		258 bp		488 bp		
		Sequence	CACACTTTCGGTCGATGTG	CTGATCTCCCGCAATCAAG	ATGTGACTCTGATCCCCTAC	CAAAGGTCGGCATACCTTC	CTTGCAACCGACTTATGC	TCCCCAAATGATCGAGAAC	
		Primer	phi52237/phiX216_F	phi52237/phiX216_R	phiE12-2_F	phiE12-2_R	K96243 prpphage GI2_F	K96243 prpphage GI2_R	
		Phage	phi52237/ phiX216		phiE12-2		K96243 prophage GI2		

volume of 25 µl containing 1X PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgC1₂, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.5 uM each primer, 1.25 U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and 2.5 µl of 125 ng of *B. pseudomallei* genomic DNA in distilled water. Thermocycling (conducted in Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler; Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) conditions were as follows: 95°C for 4 minutes: 35 cycles of 95°C for 45 seconds, 52°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute; with a final step of 72°C for 7 minutes. Amplicons were analyzed by 1% agarose gel-electrophoresis and visualized using ethidium bromide staining under UV illumination. Amplicon bands were excised from gel and sequenced using a MegaBACE[™] 1000 DNA sequencer (Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University). The DNA sequences of PCR products were aligned with the expected sequences via ClustalW Program (Thompson et al, 1994). Chi-square test was used to examine the relationship between the percent sequence similarity of prophages detected in clinical and soil *B. pseudomallei* isolates.

Phage susceptibility test

An overnight culture of each *B. pseudomallei* isolate in nutrient broth (Oxoid, Hamshire, UK) was spread on the surface of nutrient agar (Oxoid). A 20 µl aliquot (10⁸ PFU/ml) of a lytic phage (F4, KKS1, ST79, or ST96) suspension was then spotted onto the agar plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Successful infection was indicated by the development of turbid or clear plaques.

RESULTS

PCR-based detection of *Myoviridae* prophage in *B. pseudomallei* isolates

PCR primers designed for detection of phi52237/phiX216, phiE12-2,



DETECTION OF MYOVIRIDAE PROPHAGES IN B. PSEUDOMALLEI

Fig 1–Diversity of *B. pseudomallei* as determined by the presence of *Myoviridae* prophages. A). Ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel of amplicons from representative *B. pseudomallei* isolates containing phi52237/phiX216, phiE12-2, and K96243 prophage G12 prophages. Amplification of a fragment of *B. pseudomallei* 16S rDNA was used as a positive control. B). Percent clinical (n = 50) and soil (n = 50) isolates containing each type of prophage. C). Percent clinical and soil clinical isolates containing 0, 1, 2, or 3 prophages. D). Percent soil isolates containing 0, 1, 2, or 3 prophages.

No.	Phage	Accession no.	Host	References					
Family Myoviridae									
1	Burkholderia phage phi52237	NC_007145	B. pseudomallei	Ronning et al, 2010					
2	Burkholderia phage phiE12-2	NC_009236	B. pseudomallei	Ronning <i>et al</i> , 2010					
3	GI2; K96243 phage	NC_006350 ^a	B. pseudomallei	Holden <i>et al</i> , 2004					
4	Burkholderia phage phiX216	JX681814.1	B. pseudomallei	Kvitko <i>et al,</i> 2012					
5	Burkholderia phage phiE202	NC_009234	B. thailandensis	Lavigne <i>et al,</i> 2009					
6	Burkholderia phage Bcep781	NC_004333	B. cepacia	Lavigne <i>et al,</i> 2009;					
				Summer et al, 2006					
7	Burkholderia phage Bcep43	NC_005342	B. cepacia	Lavigne et al, 2009;					
				Summer et al, 2006					
8	Burkholderia phage Bcep1	NC_005263	B. cenocepacia	Lavigne et al, 2009;					
				Summer et al, 2006					
9	Burkholderia phage BcepNY3	NC_009604	B. cenocepacia	Lavigne et al, 2009					
10	Burkholderia phage BcepMu	NC_005882	B. cenocepacia	Lavigne et al, 2009					
11	Burkholderia phage phiE255	NC_009237	B. thailandensis	Lavigne et al, 2009					
12	Burkholderia phage BcepB1A	NC_005886	B. cenocepacia	Lavigne et al, 2009;					
				Summer et al, 2006					
13	Burkholderia ambifaria phage BcepF1	NC_009015	B. ambifaria	Summer et al, 2007					
14	Burkholderia phage KS14	NC_015273	B. cenocepacia	Lynch <i>et al</i> , 2010b					
15	Burkholderia phage KS10	NC_011216	B. cenocepacia	Goudie et al, 2008					
16	Burkholderia phage KS5	NC_015265	B. cenocepacia	Lynch <i>et al,</i> 2010b					
17	Burkholderia phage KL3	NC_015266	B. cenocepacia	Lynch <i>et al</i> , 2010b					
18	Burkholderia phage ST79	NC_021343	B. pseudomallei						
Family Siphoviridae									
1	Burkholderia phage Bcep176	NC_007497	B. cepacia	Summer et al, 2007					
2	Burkholderia phage phiE125	NC_003309	B. thailandensis	Woods et al, 2002					
3	Burkholderia phage KS9	NC_013055	B. pyrrocinia	Lynch <i>et al,</i> 2010a					
4	Burkholderia phage BcepGomr	NC_009447	B. cepacia	Summer et al, 2007					
5	Burkholderia phage BcepNazgul	NC_005091	B. cepacia	Summer et al, 2007					
6	Burkholderia phage AH2	NC_018283	B. cenocepacia	Lynch <i>et al,</i> 2012b					
7	Burkholderia phage phi1026b	NC_005284	B. pseudomallei	DeShazer, 2004					
8	Burkholderia phage phi644-2	NC_009235	B. pseudomallei	Ronning et al, 2010					
9	Burkholderia phage KL1	NC_018278	B. cenocepacia	Lynch <i>et al,</i> 2012b					
Family Podoviridae									
1	Burkholderia phage BcepC6B	NC_005887	B. cepacia	Summer et al, 2007					
2	Burkholderia phage Bcep22	NC_005262	B. cepacia	Summer et al, 2007					
3	Burkholderia phage DC1	NC_018452	B. cepacia	Lynch <i>et al,</i> 2012a					
4	Burkholderia phage BcepMigl	NC_019917	B. cenocepacia	Summer et al, 2007					
5	Burkholderia phage BcepIL02	NC_012743	B. cenocepacia	Summer et al, 2007					
6	Burkholderia phage JG068	NC_022916	B. cenocepacia	Lynch et al, 2013					

Table 2 *Burkholderia* sp phage genome sequences deposited in GenBank.

^aNot available

and K96243 prophage GI2 sequences in *B. pseudomallei* genomes generated the expected amplicons of 570, 258, and 488 bp, respectively (Fig 1A). Amplicons were sequenced and homolog search via BLAST revealed matches with only those of their target phages.

Prophages in and susceptibility to lytic bacteriophages of *B. pseudomallei* clinical and soil isolates

B. pseudomallei soil isolates harbored more prophage types than clinical isolates (Fig 1B). K96243 prophage GI2 was the most commonly found 32/50 (64%) and 16/50 (32%) in soil and clinical isolates, respectively). Nearly a quarter of soil isolates contained the three types of prophages, while clinical isolates contained no more than two types and the number of clinical isolates containing no prophage is significantly higher than soil samples (Fig 1C). Although B. pseudomallei from soil were found to be more diverse based on the variety of prophages carried, the susceptibilities of these isolates containing from 0 to 3 different prophages to lytic phages (F4, KKS1, ST79, or ST96) were similar (Fig 1D).

DISCUSSION

Prophages contribute to inter-strain genetic variability of the bacteria (Srividhya *et al*, 2007). Most phages obtained from *B. pseudomallei* isolates are lysogenic (Ronning *et al*, 2010). A PCR-based assay was designed to detect specifically three lysogenic *Myoviridae* prophages (phi52237/phiX216 [as the primers designed for phi52237 could also amplify the recently discovered phiX216 (Kvitko *et al*, 2012), phiE12-2, and K96243 prophage GI2] of *B. pseudomallei*. Although no members of any other prophage family were detected using *Myoviridae*-specific primers, other families of *B. pseudomallei* phages might still be present (Table 2).

Although several studies reported typing of *B*. *pseudomallei*, there is no suitable method that could differentiate clinical from environmental isolates (Sermswan et al, 2001; Vesaratchavest et al, 2006; Tumapa et al, 2008). All reported methods were based on bacterial genome sequences but not on those of prophages. Our study found that isolates of *B. pseu*domallei from soil samples were more diverse with respect to the presence of *Myoviridae* phages than those from the clinical specimens. Bacteria that live in the environment may have a greater chance to exchange genetic material via phages than those in humans, thus leading to more diversity. We surmise that once these environmental bacteria infect humans, the variety of the phages they harbor is reduced. Although our Myoviridae sequence-based PCR detection could not differentiate all clinical isolates from environmental isolates, inclusion of data from the Siphoviridae family might allow more discriminatory power in future typing studies. Although B. pseudomallei from soil were found to be more diverse. our results demonstrated that they gave similar susceptibilities to lytic phages. This will lead to a high potential of using our lytic phages to control environmental B. pseudomallei.

In summary, a PCR-based detection of three types of *Myoviridae* prophages in clinical and environmental *B. pseudomallei* isolates was successfully developed, allowing demonstration of greater diversity of environmental than clinical samples.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Emeritus Professor James A Will, University of Wisconsin-Madison and English Editing Publication Clinic, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University for assistance with the manuscript. The project was supported by the Office of Higher Education Commission, through the Health Cluster (SHeP-GMS), Khon Kaen University, and Development and Promotion of Science and Technology talents project (DPST).

REFERENCES

- Chaowagul W, White NJ, Dance DA, et al. Melioidosis: a major cause of communityacquired septicemia in northeastern Thailand. J Infect Dis 1989; 159: 890-9.
- DeShazer D. Genomic diversity of *Burkholderia* pseudomallei clinical isolates: subtractive hybridization reveals a *Burkholderia mallei*specific prophage in *B. pseudomallei* 1026b. *J Bacteriol* 2004; 186: 3938-50.
- Giegerich R, Meyer F, Schleiermacher C. Gene-Fisher-software support for the detection of postulated genes. *Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Bio* 1996; 4: 68-77.
- Goudie AD, Lynch KH, Seed KD, *et al.* Genomic sequence and activity of KS10, a transposable phage of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. *BMC Genomics* 2008 Dec 18; 9: 615.
- Holden MT, Titball RW, Peacock SJ, et al. Genomic plasticity of the causative agent of melioidosis, *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004; 101: 14240-5.
- Kvitko BH, Cox CR, DeShazer D, Johnson SL, Voorhees KJ, Schweizer HP. phiX216, a P2like bacteriophage with broad *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *B. mallei* strain infectivity. *BMC Microbiol* 2012; 12: 289.
- Lavigne R, Darius P, Summer EJ, et al. Classification of *Myoviridae* bacteriophages using protein sequence similarity. *BMC Microbiol* 2009; 9: 224.
- Lynch KH, Seed KD, Stothard P, Dennis JJ. Inactivation of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex phage KS9 gp41 identifies the phage repressor and generates lytic virions. *J Virol*

2010a; 84: 1276-88.

- Lynch KH, Stothard P, Dennis JJ. Genomic analysis and relatedness of P2-like phages of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. *BMC Genomics* 2010b; 11: 599.
- Lynch KH, Stothard P, Dennis JJ. Characterization of DC1, a broad-host-range Bcep22like podovirus. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012a; 78: 889-91.
- Lynch KH, Stothard P, Dennis JJ. Comparative analysis of two phenotypically-similar but genomically-distinct *Burkholderia cenocepacia*-specific bacteriophages. *BMC Genomics* 2012b Jun 17; 13: 223.
- Lynch KH, Abdu AH, Schobert M, Dennis JJ. Genomic characterization of JG068, a novel virulent podovirus active against *Burkholderia cenocepacia. BMC Genomics* 2013 Aug 27; 14: 574.
- Ronning CM, Losada L, Brinkac L, *et al.* Genetic and phenotypic diversity in *Burkholderia*: contributions by prophage and phagelike elements. *BMC Microbiol* 2010 Jul 28; 10: 202.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 2nd ed. Cold Spring Habor: Cold Spring Habor Laboratory Press, 1989.
- Sermswan RW, Wongratanacheewin S, Trakulsomboon S, Thamlikitkul V. Ribotyping of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* from clinical and soil isolates in Thailand. *Acta Trop* 2001; 80: 237-44.
- Srividhya KV, Alaguraj V, Poornima G, et al. Identification of prophages in bacterial genomes by dinucleotide relative abundance difference. *PLoS One* 2007; 2: e1193.
- Summer EJ, Gonzalez CF, Bomer M, *et al.* Divergence and mosaicism among virulent soil phages of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. *J Bacteriol* 2006; 188: 255-68.
- Summer EJ, Gill JJ, Upton C, Gonzalez CF, Young R. Role of phages in the pathogenesis of *Burkholderia*, or 'Where are the toxin genes in *Burkholderia* phages?'. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2007; 10: 410-7.

Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUST-

AL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positionspecific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1994; 22: 4673-80.

Tumapa S, Holden MT, Vesaratchavest M, *et al. Burkholderia pseudomallei* genome plasticity associated with genomic island variation. *BMC Genomics* 2008 Apr 25; 9: 190.

Vesaratchavest M, Tumapa S, Day NP, et al.

Nonrandom distribution of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* clones in relation to geographical location and virulence. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; 44: 2553-7.

- Wiersinga WJ, Currie BJ, Peacock SJ. Melioidosis. N Engl J Med 2012; 11: 1035-44.
- Woods DE, Jeddeloh JA, Fritz DL, DeShazer D. Burkholderia thailandensis E125 harbors a temperate bacteriophage specific for Burkholderia mallei. J Bacteriol 2002; 184: 4003-17.