PREVALENCE AND ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBLITY OF METHICILLIN RESISTANT *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS*, COLLECTED AT THAMMASAT UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, THAILAND, AUGUST 2012 - JULY 2015

Pimonwan Phokhaphan¹, Pholawat Tingpej¹, Anucha Apisarnthanarak² and Sumalee Kondo¹

¹Department of Pre-Clinical Sciences, ²Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Pathum Thani, Thailand

Abstract. We analyzed data of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from patients attending Thammasat University Hospital, Thailand from August 2012 to July 2015. In total, 232/502 (46%) *S. aureus* isolates were methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA). There was a declining trend of proportion of MRSA infection, but the prevalence of MRSA in the last year of study remained high (38%). All 32 MRSA-infected outpatients had history of exposure to healthcare facilities during the previous two months and thus were not considered as having community-associated MRSA. In addition, all these strains were negative for *pvl*, suggesting that these strains were hospital-associated MRSA. All MRSA stains were susceptible to linezolid, teicoplanin and vancomycin, but resistance to erythromycin and clindamycin were nearly 100%. Fifty-two percent and 87% of MRSA strains were susceptible to tetracycline and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, respectively. These results emphasize the necessity of long-term surveillance and monitoring of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of MRSA.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus, antimicrobial susceptibility, MRSA, Thailand

INTRODUCTION

Resistant strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* especially methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) have become a worldwide threat to public health (Boucher and Corey, 2008). Infections with these strains are more difficult and expensive to treat, leading to significant morbidity and mortality and to an increase in healthcare burden. The pandemic of both hospital-associated MRSA (HA-MRSA) and communityassociated MRSA (CA-MRSA) instigates a global concern. In Asia, a high prevalence of MRSA infection, where the proportion is greater than 70%, has been observed in several countries, including Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and Vietnam (Chen and Huang, 2014). Those countries also experience a high incidence of CA-MRSA infection (Chen and Huang, 2014).

In Thailand, data from two multicenter studies revealed MRSA prevalence of 53-57%, the majority being HA-MRSA

Correspondence: Sumalee Kondo, Department of Preclinical Science, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Rangsit campus, Klong Luang, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand. Tel: +66 (0) 2926 9756; Fax: +66 (0) 2926 9755 E-mail: ksumalee@alpha.tu.ac.th

with only 2.5% CA-MRSA (Song et al, 2011; Mendes et al. 2013). MRSA is usually resistant to all beta-lactams, but resistance to other antibiotic classes varies among strains (Chua et al. 2011). Predominant MRSA strains isolated from different geographic regions may manifest differences in antibiotic susceptibility patterns (antibiograms) (Chua *et al*, 2011). Given the diversity of MRSA strains and their evolving antibiogram, it is crucial to monitor the emergence of CA-MRSA and to conduct an antibiotic surveillance study. Currently, there is limited information of antibiotic susceptibility of MRSA isolated from Thammasat University Hospital.

Thus, this study investigated the epidemiology of *S. aureus* and MRSA infections among patients visiting Thammasat University Hospital (a tertiarycare, academic hospital), Pathum Thani, Thailand and determined antibiograms of MRSA isolates. The data provide essential information for infection control monitoring and for establishing institutional guidelines for staphylococcal treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study setting

Thammasat University Hospital, Pathum Thani is located approximately 40 km from Bangkok Metropolitan and provides tertiary medical care in all service sectors to an average of 1,000 outpatients per day, with 500 beds for inpatients.

Data collection

All *S. aureus*-positive clinical specimens sent to the Microbiology Laboratory, Thammasat University Hospital from August 2012 to July 2015 were included in the analysis. Conventional methods of strain identification included coagulase test, PR-glucose and PR-mannitol fermentation (Ishii *et al*, 2006). If all three tests were positive, the strain was identified as *S. aureus*.

Screening of MRSA strains

Cefoxitin disk diffusion test was used to screen all *S. aureus* isolates (CLSI, 2013). Isolates with a zone of growth inhibition \geq 22 mm are defined as methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA), and those with a zone diameter < 22 mm as methicillinresistant *S. aureus* (MRSA).

Criterion of CA-MRSA and HA-MRSA

Patients infected with CA-MRSA are defined by culture-confirmed MRSA infection when presenting at an outpatient clinic or within 48 hours of hospitalization without history of exposure to healthcare facilities during the previous two months. HA-MRSA-infected patients are defined as those whose history did not meet the definition of CA-MRSA.

PCR detection of *S. aureus mecA* and *pvl*

Total DNA was isolated from an inoculum of an overnight S. aureus culture using Genomic DNA Extraction Mini Kit (RBC Bioscience, New Taipei City, Taiwan). The primer pair used for mecA amplification was 5'-TC-CAGATTACAACTTCACCAGG-3' and 5'-CCACTTCATATCTTGTAACG-3', and for pvl 5'-ATCATTAGGTAAAATGTCTG-GACATGATCC-3' and 5'-GCATCAASTG-TATTGGATAGCAAAAGC-3' (Integrated DNA Technologies, Singapore). PCR mixture consisted of 50-µl mixture of 10X PCR buffer, 50 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM dNTPs, 100 µM specific primer pair and 1.25 U Taq polymerase (RBC Bioscience). Thermocycling was conducted in a MyCycler™ Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) as follows: 94°C for 2 minutes; followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 51°C (for mecA) or 56°C (for pvl) for 30 seconds,

and 72°C for 1 minute; with a final heating at 72°C for 5 minutes. Amplicons (162 bp and 433 bp of *mecA* and *pvl*, respectively) were analyzed by 1% agarose gelelectrophoresis, stained with GelStarTM Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Lonza Rockland, Rockland, ME) and visualized under UV light. *S. aureus* N315 and KKU-MS14 strains, kindly provided by Dr Aroonlug Lulitanond, Faculty of Associated Medical Sciences, Khon Kaen University, Thailand, were used as *mecA*- and *pvl*-positive control, respectively.

Antibiogram determination

MRSA isolates were tested for antibiotic susceptibility using a standard disk diffusion method (CLSI, 2013), employing clindamycin (CD), erythromycin (E), fosfomycin (FOS), fusidic acid (FD), linezolid (LZD), teicoplanin (TEC), tetracycline (TE), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT), and vancomycin (VAN) (Liofilchem[®], Arezzo, Italy).

Statistical analysis

Difference of proportion between MRSA and MSSA was tested using chisquare test (SPSS Statistics 22.0) (IBM, Armonk, NY). A p-value < 0.05 is considered significantly different.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Thammasat University (approval no. MTU-EC-DS-6-015/57). All patients gave informed consent prior to the study.

RESULTS

S. aureus isolates

A total of 536 *S. aureus* isolates were obtained from clinical specimens of 502 patients during the 3-year study period. Only the first isolate from a patient with recurrent infections was used. Over the

3-year survey period, in the first (August 2012 - July 2013), second (August 2103 -July 2014) and third (August 2014 - July 2015) year there were 118 (57% MRSA), 150 (51% MRSA) and 234 (38% MRSA) S. aureus isolates, respectively. Although the incidence of *S. aureus* infection nearly doubled over the 3-year period, the proportion of MRSA significantly declined. All MRSA isolates harbored mecA encoding penicillin binding protein 2A (data not shown) (Ubukata et al, 1989). Distribution of *S. aureus* isolates according specimen types were as follows: sputum, 244 (67%) MRSA); pus, 160 (18% MRSA); blood, 81 (37% MRSA); urine, 7 (86% MRSA); body fluid, 6 [4 synovial and 2 ascitic, 17% MRSA (from ascetic)]; and vaginal discharge, 4.

As regards the distribution of *S. aureus* among clinical wards, 109 (22%) isolates were from the Outpatient Department: Outpatient clinics, 63 samples (14% MRSA); Emergency room (ER), 42 (55% MRSA) and Hemodialysis center, 4. Among the Inpatient Departments, highest number of *S. aureus* samples was from Internal Medicine wards (239 isolates, 62% MRSA), followed by Surgery (78, 42% MRSA), Operation theaters (39, 23% MRSA), Pediatrics (34, 26% MRSA), and Obstetrics-Gynecology (3, 0% MRSA).

Review of outpatients' illness history and based on the criterion for CA-MRSA, all 32 outpatients could be ruled out as putative CA-MRSA cases. All inpatients with culture-positive MRSA were detected after 48 hours of admission. No MRSA isolate in this study carried *pvl*, often associated with CA-MRSA (data not shown) (David and Daum, 2010).

Antibiotic susceptibility of MRSA isolates

According to antibiogram profiles, the 232 MRSA isolates can be classified

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS N		Ε (15 μg/ml)		FOS (200 µg/ml)	CD (2 μg/ml)	SXT (1.25/23.75	FD (10 μg/ml)	FD VAN (10 μg/ml) (30 μg/ml)	TEC (30 μg/ml)	TEC LZD (30 μg/ml) (30 μg/ml)	Number of isolates
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS N						(Iml)					(%)
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS N		NS	S	S	NS	S	S	S	S	S	109 (47)
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS N		NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	S	74 (32)
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS S S S		NS	NS	S	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	14 (6)
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS S S S S S		NS	NS	S	NS	S	S	S	S	S	
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS N		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	11 (5)
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS S S S S S S S S		NS	S	NS	NS	S	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	3(1)
NS NS NS NS NS NS NS S S S S S S Clindarycine 2 (1%) 1 ates (%) tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; t, tecoplanin; t, t, tecoplanin; t,		NS	S	S	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	3 (1)
NS NS NS NS NS S S S S S S S Clindamycin; E, erythromycin; tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; terod Number of MRSA isolate terod Nrance Number of MRSA isolate terod year 67		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	1 (< 1)
NS NS NS NS S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		NS	S	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	1 (< 1)
NS NS S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		NS	S	S	NS	S	NS	S	S	S	1 (< 1)
NS S S anber of susceptible 2 (1%) 1 ates (%) clindamycin; E, erythromycin; , tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; , tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; , tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; dindamycin; SAN, vanconycin; , tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; , tecoplanin; tecoplani		NS	S	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	1 (< 1)
s nber of susceptible 2 (1%) 1 ates (%) clindamycin; E, erythromycin; , tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; , tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; riod Number of MRSA isolate miod MRSA isolate		NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	1 (< 1)
mber of susceptible 2 (1%) 1 ates (%) clindamycin; E, erythromycin; C, tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; Antibiotic susceptibility of M eriod Number of MRSA isolate		S	NS	NS	NS	S	NS	S	S	S	1 (< 1)
Number of susceptible 2 (1%) 120 (52%) 140 (60%) 3 (1%) 202 (87%) 229 (99%) isolates (%) CD, clindamycin; E, erythromycin; FOS, fosfomycin; FD, fusidic acid; LZD, linezolid; STX, th TEC, tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; NS, not susceptible; S, susceptible. Table 2 Antibiotic susceptibility of MRSA isolates classified by period of collection, Tha Period Number of Number of Number of susceptible MR MRSA isolates $\frac{1}{E}$ TE FOS 1^{st} year 67 $1(1)$ $27 (40)$ $34 (51)$ 1^{st} year 77 $0 (0)$ $35 (45)$ $40 (52)$		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	1 (< 1)
$ \begin{array}{c} CD, clindamycin; FOS, fosfomycin; FD, fusidic acid; LZD, linezolid; STX, transfer the coplanin; VAN, vanconycin; NS, not susceptible; S, susceptible. \\ \mbox{TEC, tecoplanin; VAN, vanconycin; NS, not susceptible; S, susceptible. \\ \mbox{Antibiotic susceptibility of MRSA isolates classified by period of collection, Tha Period MRSA isolates classified by period of collection, Tha Period Number of Number of Number of S and $	per of susceptible as (%)		120 (52%)	140~(60%)	3 (1%)	202 (87%)	229 (99%)	232 (100%)	232 (100%) 232 (100%) 232 (100%)	232 (100%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	lindamycin; E, er tecoplanin; VAN,	ythromycir vanconyci	า; FOS, fosfc n; NS, not รเ	amycin; FD, usceptible; S	fusidic aci , susceptib	d; LZD, line. le.	zolid; STX, t	trimethoprin	n-sulfamath	oxazole; TE	, tetracyclir
Number of MRSA isolates E T 67 1 (1) 27 (35 (tibiotic suscept	ibility of	MRSA isol	ates classif	T _i ied by pe	able 2 riod of coll	ection, Thí	ammasat U	^I niversity I	Hospital, T	hailand.
E TE 67 1 (1) 27 (40) 77 0 (0) 35 (45)		Number c ARSA isola)f tes		Ŋ	umber of sus	ceptible MR	SA isolates ((%)a		
67 1 (1) 27 (40) 77 0 (0) 35 (45)	1			Ш	TE		FOS	8	SXT		FD
77 0 (0) 35 (45)	rear	67	1	1 (1)	27 (40		4 (51)	2 (3)	58 (87		(66) 99)
88 1 (1) 58 (66)	year [,] ear	88]	(0)	35 (45 58 (66		40 (52) 66 (75)	1(1) 0(0)	65 (84) 79 (90)		76 (99) 87 (99)

Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health

Antibiotic susceptibility of MRSA isolated from different specimen types, collected at Thammasat University Hospital,	Thailand durino 2013_2015
	ic susceptibili

Ļ

Sample type	Total number of		Numb	Number of MRSA susceptible isolates $(\%)^a$	ptible isolates (⁶	70)а	
	ININOM ISUIALES	Щ	TE	FOS	CD	SXT	FD
Sputum	164	1 (1)	87 (53)	100 (61)	1 (1)	143 (87)	164(100)
Pus	31	1(3)	15 (48)	19(61)	2 (6)	26 (84)	28 (90)
Blood	30	0 (0)	14 (47)	17 (57)	0 (0)	27 (90)	30 (100)
Urine	9	0 (0)	4 (67)	4 (67)	0 (0)	5 (83)	6(100)
Body fluid	1	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1(100)	1(100)

into 14 patterns, pattern I being the most common (109 isolates) and VIII-XIV being represented by 1 sample each (Table 1). All 232 MRSA isolates were susceptible to LZD, TEC and VAN, followed by 229 (99%) to FD with only 1 isolate (pattern XIV) sensitive to all 9 antimicrobials tested. On the other hand, no isolate was resistant to all 9 drugs, but 229 (99%) and 230 (99%) isolates were resistant to CD and E, respectively. The proportion of MRSA isolates susceptible to FOS and TE increased in the third year of study compared to the first and the second years (p < 0.01), but the proportion to other antibiotics remained unchanged (Table 2).

Antibiogram patterns I and II were predominant in MRSA isolates from Outpatient Clinics and ER and in all inpatient wards except the Pediatric wards, from which antibiogram patterns III, IV and V were obtained. It is noteworthy that all 32 putative non-CA-MRSA isolates from outpatients were susceptible to SXT whereas 170/200 (85%) isolates from the Inpatient Departments were susceptible to this antibiotic. Also all 9 MRSA isolates from the Pediatric wards were TE resistant while the overall TE susceptible rate was 52%.

There was no specific MRSA antibiogram pattern associated with a specimen type (Table 3). Three MRSA isolates from pus specimens were found resistant to FD, an antibiotic often used in topical form.

DISCUSSION

It is notable that the proportion of MRSA isolates detected at Thammasat University Hospital declined over 3-year study period (August 2013 - July 2015). Similar trends regarding MRSA infection were observed in the USA and Europe during the past decade (Johnson, 2011; Dantes *et al*, 2013; Song *et al*, 2013). Nevertheless, despite the declining trend, MRSA constituted nearly 40% of *S. aureus* infections in the last year of the study.

The spread of CA-MRSA strains in many countries has created global concern (David and Daum, 2010). Thus, close monitoring of the emergence of CA-MRSA remains important. In this study, we did not find any instance of CA-MRSA infection among the inpatients. This suggests that HA-MRSA was most likely responsible for these community-onset infections.

Molecular means were applied to distinguish between CA- and HA-MRSA. CA-MRSA strains usually carry staphylococcal chromosomal cassette mec (SCC*mec*) type IV and V as well as Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) genes, whereas HA-MRSA strains carry SCCmec type I, II and III and seldom have *pvl* (David and Daum, 2010). No MRSA isolates in our study carried pvl, a finding consistent with previous reports (Song et al, 2011; Mendes et al, 2013). Overall data indicate that the prevalence of CA-MRSA infection remains very low in Thailand (Mekviwattanawong et al, 2006). The spread of various MRSA clones has already occurred between community and hospital and also between Asian nations (Song et al, 2011). Thai university students appear to have a prevalence of MRSA of around 1% (Kitti et al, 2011). Tertiary government hospitals in Thailand have also reported significant levels of MRSA nosocomial infections (Jariyasethpong et al, 2010). It was suggested that CA-MRSA found in an animal hospital might have come from humans and / or sick animals (Patchanee et al, 2014).

All MRSA strains tested were susceptible to LZD, TEC and VAN, indicating that these antibiotics are still effective. Interestingly, nearly all MRSA strains

were resistant to CD and E; thus, both drugs are no longer recommended for managing MRSA infection in our institute. In particular, all MRSA specimens from the Pediatric Wards were TE resistant and 2/3 to SXT. The sporadic outbreak of MRSA strains isolated from four regions during 1996-1998 were also resistant to TE but less susceptible to SXT (81.5%), as compared to the tested strains in this study (Wongwanich et al, 2000). Resistance to at least 5 antimicrobial agents including cefazolin, erythromycin, gentamicin, ofloxacin and tetracycline was reported in a university hospital (Lulitanond *et al*, 2010). Multidrug resistance to MRSA was also found in a small animal hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Thailand (Patchanee et al, 2014). The strains were 100% susceptible to vancomycin but were 92% resistant to tetracycline, 69% to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazoles, and 62% to ceftriaxone. In comparison, the antibiogram of MRSA isolated from India during the same period (Abbas et al, 2015) showed all of its MRSA isolates were also sensitive to vancomycin and linezolid; however, resistance to E, TE and SXT was found to be less than in our study. This implies that good clinical practices in using antibiotics for MRSA infection treatment must be intensively monitored among physicians and medical personnel in order to reduce the spread of multidrug-resistant MRSA infections. In addition, HA-MRSA has a wider antimicrobial resistance pattern than CA-MRSA (Huang et al, 2006; Vysakh and Jeya, 2013; Abass et al, 2015).

The three FD-resistant MRSA isolates were from pus specimens of surgery patients. Frequent usage of this drug for topical applications in surgery patients may contribute to the emergence of FDresistant MRSA strains. In New Zealand, increased prevalence of FD-resistant MRSA was found in the youngest age group (< 5 years) with impetigo (Vogel *et al*, 2016). In addition, in Norway a growth in FD-resistance among *S. aureus* was reported in children with impetigo bullosa-like skin disease in the summer months (Tveten *et al*, 2002).

The most common specimen containing MRSA was sputum, consistent with previous report (Ray et al, 2012). Prolonged mechanical ventilation is known as one of the risks for nosocomial pneumonia (Lynch, 2001). In addition, more than 1/3 of all S. aureus bloodstream infections were MRSA, and they expressed various antibiogram patterns. However, there were no associations among antibiogram patterns and MRSA strains categorized according to clinical origins. Vancomycin is thus still the drug of choice for treating MRSA irrespective of site of infection. An active surveillance of vancomycin susceptibility is therefore encouraged. Moreover, meta-analysis data of vancomycin treatment indicated that high vancomycin trough levels are associated with risk of nephrotoxicity; however, the high vancomycin trough levels are not significantly different in mortality rate compared to the low vancomycin trough levels (Tongsai and Koomanachai, 2016). After vancomycin was introduced for MRSA infection treatment, MRSA with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin including VISA and hVISA were reported in 1997 (Hiramatsu et al, 1997a,b) and has increased globally. The isolates from Thammasat University Hospital will be further investigated for reduced susceptibility to vancomycin in order to prevent and control spread of the MRSA infection as recently described (Sirichoat et al, 2016).

In summary, this research reveals a recent situation of MRSA infection at Tham-

masat University Hospital. Although a declining trend in the proportion of MRSA among *S. aureus* infection was observed. the prevalence of MRSA infection in 2015 remained nearly 40%. CA-MRSA was not found in our institute, suggesting a low prevalence of this strain in the region. While linezolid, teicoplanin and vancomycin, still remained effective antibiotics for treatment of MRSA infection, vigilance of possible emerging resistance must be maintained. In addition, greater awareness of antibiogram profiles of MRSA strains prevailing in the various clinical wards should provide guidance in the appropriate choice of antimicrobial regimen in treating MRSA-infected patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr Aroonlug Lulitanond, Faculty of Associated Medical Sciences, Khon Kaen University, Thailand for kindly providing *mecA*- and *pvl*-positive control strains, Narissara Mungkornkaew and all staff of the Microbiology Laboratory, Thammasat University Hospital for assistance with collecting microbiology data, and Debra Kim Liwiski for help in editing the paper. This study was funded by the National Research Council of Thailand.

REFERENCES

- Abbas A, Nirwan PS, Srivastava P. Prevalence and antibiogram of hospital acquiredmethicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and community acquired-methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* at a tertiary care hospital National Institute of Medical Sciences. *Community Acquir Infect* 2015; 2: 13-5.
- Boucher HW, Corey GR. Epidemiology of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 46: 344-9.

- Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). Performance standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twenty-thrid information supplement (M100-S23). Wayne: CLSI, 2013.
- Chen CJ, Huang YC. New epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection in Asia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014; 20: 605-23.
- Chua K, Laurent F, Coombs G, Grayson ML, Howden BP. Antimicrobial resistance: not community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA). A clinician's guide to community MRSA - its evolving antimicrobial resistance and implications for therapy. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; 52: 99-114.
- Dantes R, Mu Y, Belflower R, *et al.* National burden of invasive methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections, United States, 2011. *JAMA* 2013; 173: 1970-8.
- David MZ, Daum RS. Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: epidemiology and clinical consequences of an emerging epidemic. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2010; 23: 616-87.
- Hiramatsu K, Aritaka N, Hanaki H, *et al.* Dissemination in Japanese hospitals of strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* heterogeneously resistant to vancomycin. *Lancet* 1997a; 350: 1670-3.
- Hiramatsu K, Hanaki H, Ino T, Yabuta K, Oguri, T, Tenover FC. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical strain with reduced vancomycin susceptibility. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1997b; 40: 135-6.
- Huang H, Flynn NM, King JH, Monchaud C, Morita M, Cohen SH. Comparisons of community-associated methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and hospital-associated MSRA infections in Sacramento, California. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; 44: 2423-7.
- Ishii Y, Alba J, Maehara C, *et al*. Identification of biochemically atypical *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates with three automated identification systems. *J Med Microbiol* 2006; 55: 387-92.

- Jariyasethpong T, Tribuddharat C, Dejsirilert S, et al. MRSA carriage in a tertiary governmental hospital in Thailand: emphasis on prevalence and molecular epidemiology. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010; 29: 977-85.
- Johnson AP. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: the European landscape. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; 66: 43-8.
- Kitti T, Boonyonying K, Sitthisak S. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among university students in Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2011; 42: 1498-504.
- Lulitanond A, Chanawong A, Sribenjalux P, et al. Preliminary report of SCCmec-types and antimicrobial susceptibilities of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus au*reus isolates from a university hospital in Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2010; 41: 920-7.
- Lynch JP. Hospital-acquired pneumonia: risk factors, microbiology, and treatment. *Chest* 2001; 119: 373-84.
- Mekviwattanawong S, Srifuengfung S, Chokepaibulkit K, Lohsiriwat D, Thamlikitkul V. Epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections and the prevalence of infection caused by community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in hospitalized patients at Siriraj Hospital. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2006; 89: 106-17.
- Mendes RE, Mendoza M, Banga Singh KK, *et al.* Regional resistance surveillance program results for 12 Asia-Pacific nations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; 57: 5721-6.
- Patchanee P, Tadee P, Ingkaninan P, Tankaew P, Hoet AE, Chupia V. Distribution and characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) at the small animal hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2014; 45: 413-20.
- Ray GT, Suaya JA, Baxter R. Trends and characteristics of culture-confirmed *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in a large U.S.

integrated health care organization. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012; 50: 1950-7.

- Sirichoat A, Lulitanond A, Kanlaya R, *et al.* Phenotypic characteristics and comparative proteomics of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains with different vancomycin-resistance levels. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2016; 86: 340-4.
- Song X, Cogen J, Singh N. Incidence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection in a children's hospital in the Washington metropolitan area of the United States, 2003 - 2010. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 2013; 2: 69.
- Song JH, Hsueh PR, Chung DR, *et al.* Spread of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* between the community and the hospitals in Asian countries: an ANSORP study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; 66: 1061-9.
- Tongsai S, Koomanachai P. The safety and efficacy of high versus low vancomycin trough levels in the treatment of patients with infections caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a meta-analysis. *BMC Res Notes* 2016; 9: 455.

- Tveten Y, Jenkins A, Kristiansen BE.A fusidic acid-resistant clone of *Staphylococcus aureus* associated with impetigo bullosa is spreading in Norway. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002; 50: 873-6.
- Ubukata K, Nonoguchi R, Matsuhashi M, Konno M. Expression and inducibility in *Staphylococcus aureus* of the *mecA* gene, which encodes a methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*-specific penicillin-binding protein. *J Bacteriol* 1989; 171: 2882-5.
- Vogel A, Lennon D, Best E, Leversha A. Where to from here? The treatment of impetigo in children as resistance to fusidic acid emerges. *N Z Med J* 2016; 14: 77-83.
- Vysakh PR, Jeya M. A comparative analysis of community acquired and hospital acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus*

aureus. J Clin Diagn Res 2013; 7: 1339-42.

Wongwanich S, Tishyadhigama P, Paisomboon S, Ohta T, Hayashi H. Epidemiological analysis of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2000; 31: 72-6.