HEPATITIS VIRUSES AND CHRONIC LIVER DISEASE

Pisit Tangkijvanich¹, Apiradee Theamboonlers², Petra Hirsch², Duangporn Thongngam³, Pinit Kullavanijaya³ and Yong Poovorawan²

¹Department of Biochemistry, ²Viral Hepatitis Research Unit, Department of Pediatrics, ³Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

Abstract. We have investigated several groups of Thai patients diagnosed with chronic liver disease including chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, as well as cholangiocarcinoma, for the prevalence of infection with either one of the hepatitis viruses B, C, G and the novel hepatitis virus TT (TTV). The 168 patients tested comprised 120 men and 48 women with their median age ranging from 42.3 to 62.3 years. Screening for antibodies to HBV and HCV was performed by a commercially available serological test kit, for the presence of HBV and TTV DNA by PCR, and of HCV and HGV RNA by RT-PCR, respectively. There was a clear two-fold higher prevalence of HBV (49%) over HCV (27%) infection and a four-fold higher frequency compared to HGV (13%) and TTV (11%) infection, respectively, in those individuals with chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma, whereas all but one patient with cholangiocarcinoma the etiology of which has been ascribed to parasitic infestation, were free of all viral markers. In Thailand chronic HBV, and to a lesser extent, chronic HCV infection represent the two most common causes of hepatitis potentially proceeding to chronic liver disease, whereas the clinical significance pertinent to HGV and TTV remains to be elucidated.

INTRODUCTION

Viral hepatitis represents a major cause of chronic liver disease, namely, chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and its fatal complication, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Hepatitis B (HBV) has been a significant health problem worldwide with a particularly high incidence in South-East Asia, China and sub-Saharan Africa (Lee, 1997). In these areas, hepatitis B virus infection accounts for the majority of cases of chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and HCC. The relative risk for chronic HBV carriers to develop HCC is approximately 100 times greater than that of the uninfected population (Beasley, 1988). The time in an individual's life when infection occurs appears crucial as, for example, infections during adulthood carry a far lower risk than infections in early life or in the course of delivery by a carrier mother, which often progress to chronic infection and HCC (Poper et al, 1987).

In countries with a low prevalence of HBV, for example, Southern Europe and Japan, hepatitis C virus (HCV) constitutes the most frequent cause of chronic liver disease and HCC (Colombo et al, 1989; Saito et al, 1990). HCV infections are usu-

Correspondence: Prof Yong Poovorawan, Viral Hepatitis Research Unit, Pediatric Department, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, 10330 Thailand. Tel: (662) 256 4909; Fax: (662) 256 4929; E-mail: Yong. P@chula.ac.th

ally contracted by adults as a consequence of either blood transfusion or intravenous drug use with non-sterile equipment. Unfortunately, a tendency towards chronicity is the most distinguishing characteristic of HCV infection, occurring in more than 85% of the cases with a history of acute infection. Chronic hepatitis C usually develops into HCC in the course of approximately two to three decades (Tong et al, 1995) with most cases preceded as well as accompanied by cirrhosis.

Hepatitis G virus (HGV) is a newly identified flavivirus discovered almost simultaneously in 1995 by investigators from Abbott Laboratories and Genelabs Technologies, Inc (Simons et al, 1995; Linnen et al 1996). Current data suggest HGV infection to only rarely cause acute liver disease and the majority of patients not to develop chronic hepatitis (Alter et al, 1997). Due to common modes of transmission, HGV frequently occurs as a coinfection with HCV and, to a lesser extent, with HBV. The course of chronic hepatitis in patients with HCV-HGV coinfection invariably correlates with HCV infection (Di Bisceglie, 1996; Wang et al, 1996) A causative role of HGV in the etiology of HCC has as yet not been established.

Hepatitis TT virus represents a novel hepatitis virus first isolated by representational difference analysis (RDA) (Lisitsyn *et al*, 1993) as a clone of 500 nucleotides from the serum of a patient with post-transfusion hepatitis of unknown etiology

Table 1							
Characteristics of	patients	included	in	the	study.		

Group	No. of subjects			Age	Mean age
	Male	Female	All	(years)	(years)
1. Chronic hepatitis	41	12	53	17-78	42.3
2. Cirrhosis	37	28	65	26-87	53.1
3. HCC	37	6	43	10-85	56.8
4. Cholangiocarcinoma	5	2	7	45-67	62.3
Total	120	48	168		

(Nishizawa et al, 1997). Subsequently, the agent in question has been molecularly cloned and characterized as a single-stranded DNA virus. To date, approximately 3.7 kb of its genome have been sequenced and subjected to homology search which did not yield any nucleotide sequences showing a significantly high sequence homology (Okamoto et al, 1998). With this virus having been shown to employ a parenteral route of transmission as hepatitis viruses B, C and G, it might well turn out to be the causative agent in those approximately 30 % of chronic liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma patients in whom none of the above hepatitis viruses could be traced (Songsivilai et al, 1996; Linnen et al, 1996; Alter et al, 1997)

The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence of infection with HBV, HCV, as well as HGV and TTV among various groups of Thai patients diagnosed with chronic liver disease, including chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and HCC. Furthermore, we investigated patients with cholangio-carcinoma (CCA), the second most common primary liver cancer in the Thai population, as to previous infection with either one of those four hepatitis viruses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Population study

Four groups of patients, having attended Chulalongkorn University Hospital between August 1997 and January 1998, were included in the study. The first group consisted of 53 patients with chronic hepatitis. The second group included 65 patients with cirrhosis graded as Child A in 34, as Child B in 17, and Child C in 14 cases, respectively. The third group comprised 43 patients with HCC, the diagnosis based on histology in 28 cases, and in the remaining 15 on serum alpha-fetoprotein levels above 400 IU/ml with liver tumor features on scintigra-

phy. The fourth group encompassed 7 patients with cholangiocarcinoma diagnosed by liver biopsy. Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the subjects in these four groups.

All patients were informed as to the objective of the study performed to elucidate the viral etiology of their respective liver disease and subsequently provided their consent. Blood was obtained during examinations, sera were separated by centrifugation and stored at -70 °C until subjected to the respective test.

Laboratory tests

Detection of HBV DNA: Aliquots of 10μ l each of every serum sample collected were transferred to 0.2 ml PCR tubes, overlaid with one drop of mineral oil and boiled in a microwave oven at maximum temperature for 4 minutes in order to inactivate any inhibitors of Taq polymerase inherent in human blood serum.

For DNA amplification by semi-nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR), a total of 40 μ l of a reaction mixture containing 1 U of Taq polymerase (Perkin Elmer Cetus), and each of four deoxynucleotide triphosphates at a concentration of 200 μ M, primer pairs (Biosynthesis, Lewisville, Texas) of Xo1, Xi3 (first round) and Xo1, Xi2 (second round) 1 μ M each, 10 mM Tris, 1.5 mM MgCl₂ were added directly to the heat inactivated sera to produce a final reaction volume of 50 μ l. The samples were spun in a microcentrifuge for 2 seconds before being placed in the thermocycler (Perkin Elmer Cetus).

The primer sequences were:

Xo1: 5'-CTC TGC CGA TCC ATA CTG C-3' located at position 1254-1272.

Xi3: 5'-GGC ACA GCT TGG AGG CTT-3' located at position 1883-1866.

Xi2: 5'-CAG ATG AGA AGG CAC AGA C-3' located at position 1569-1551.

The reaction was then performed for 30 cycles, preceded by a denaturation step at 94°C for 3 minutes, using primer pair Xo1, Xi3 at 94°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds, followed by an extension step at 72°C for 5 minutes for the first round of amplification, and for 30 cycles, preceded by a denaturation step at 94°C for 3 minutes, using primer pair Xo1, Xi2 at 94°C for 20 seconds, 51°C for 20 seconds, 72°C for 20 seconds, followed by an extension step at 72°C for 5 minutes for the second round, respectively.

After electrophoresis in a 2 % Nusieve gel stained with ethidium bromide the band indicating the presence of HBV DNA became visible at 279 bp after the second amplification round. Sera obtained from known HBV carriers and sera obtained from individuals vaccinated against hepatitis B were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

Detection of HCV and HGV RNA: Reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was used to detect RNA from HCV and potentially that from HGV (Kwok and Higuchi, 1989). The primer sequences employed were, without exception, derived from the untranslated regions of HCV or HGV. Briefly, RNA was extracted by the guanidine method (Cha et al, 1991), with minor alterations, prior to denaturation at 65°C for 5 minutes. The isolated RNA samples were reverse transcribed into cDNA using a reverse transcriptase kit (MuLV; Perkin Elmer), according to the manufacturer's specifications.

The sera were subsequently screened for the presence of HCV and/or HGV RNA by nested PCR, again applying commercially available kits (Perkin Elmer) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequences of the four primers employed for detection of HCV RNA were 5'-GGCGACACT CCACCATGAAT-3' (outer sense primer, nucleotide positions -324 to -305) and 5'-CATGGTGCA CGGTCTACGAG-3' (outer anti-sense primer, nucleotide positions -17 to +3) for the first round of amplification cycles, and 5'-GGAACTACTGT CTTCACGCAG-3' (inner sense primer, nucleotide positions -291 to -271) and 5'-TCGCAAGCACCC TATCAGGCA-3' (inner anti-sense primer, nucleotide positions -52 to -32) for the second round. Both rounds consisted of 30 amplification cycles, each of 0.6 minutes at 94°C, 0.7 minutes at 55°C, and 1.5 minutes at 72°C. Any amplified product could be detected after fractionation in Tris-borate buffer by electrophoresis (120 V for 50 minutes) in 2 % Nusieve gel. After staining with ethidium bromide, the product band could be visualized by ultraviolet fluorescence either at 327 bp (first round) or 259 bp (second round).

HGV RNA was detected by nested PCR in a similar manner but using different primers (Biosynthesis, Lewisville, Texas): 5'-AGGTGGTGGAT GGGTGAT-3' (outer sense primer located at position 108) and 5'-TGCCACCCGCCCTCACCCGAA-3' (outer anti-sense primer located at position 531) for the first round; and 5'-TGGTAGTCGTAAA TCCCGGT-3' (inner sense primer located at position 134) and 5'-GGRGCTGGGTGGCCYCAT GCWT-3' (where R = A or G, W = A or T, and Y= C or T; inner anti-sense primer located at position 476) for the second round (Jarvis et al, 1996). After electrophoresis, the bands indicating the presence of HGV RNA in the original serum sample became visible at 421 bp (first round) and 343 bp (second round).

Sera obtained from thalassemic patients known to be positive for HCV or HGV served as the positive controls and sterile water served as the negative control.

TTV-DNA extraction: DNA was isolated employing the alkaline extraction method (Kaneko et al, 1989). Briefly, a 10 µl aliquot of plasma was pipetted into a 0.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and incubated with NaOH at a final concentration of 0.1 M at 37°C for 60 minutes. The solution was subsequently spun for 15 seconds in a microcentrifuge and neutralized with HCl at a final concentration of 0.1 M.

TTV-DNA detection: TTV-DNA was detected by polymerase chain reaction using semi-nested primers. The amplification reaction was performed in a 50 µl reaction volume containing 1 U of Taq polymerase (Perkin Elmer Cetus), and each of four deoxynucleotide triphosphates at a concentration of 200 µM, primer pairs NG 059 and NG 063 for the first round, and NG061 and NG 063 for the second round, respectively, at a concentration of 1 µM each, 10 mM Tris, 1.5 mM MgCl, and 5 µl of each DNA sample. According to Okamoto et al (1998) the nucleotide sequences of the TTV primers derived from the N-22 region, which represents the most conserved sequence of the 5 genotypes described to date, were: NG 059 (5' CAG ACA GAG GAG AAG GCA ACA TG 3'), NG 061 (5' GGC AAC ATG TTA TGG ATA GAC TGG 3') and NG 063 (5' CTG GCA TTT TAC CAT TTC CAA AGT T 3'). The first round amplification reaction using primer pair NG 059 and NG 063 was performed for 30 cycles (denaturation at 94°C for 36 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 42 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 1.5 minutes, final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes). The second round of amplification was performed using 2 µl of the PCR product along with primer pair NG 061 and NG 063 for 30 cycles under identical conditions in a final reaction volume of 20 µl. Upon conclusion of the PCR the reaction mixture was centrifuged for 1 minute at 10,000 rpm, and 10 µl each of the amplified DNA were fractionated by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. The product band will show at 271 base pairs. The gels were photographed on a UV light box. Sera obtained from IVDU and known to be positive for TTV-DNA and sterile water were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

Serology: All sera were tested for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) using a commercially available kit (Auszyme II, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, Ill), as well as for anti-HCV by ELISA (Ortho Diagnostic Systems, Chiron Corp, Emeryville, CA).

Data analysis: The prevalence obtained for HBV, HCV, HGV and TTV, respectively, was expressed in per cent related to the total number of patients in each group (chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma), as well as in per cent related to the total number of patients in the study.

RESULTS

The results of serology, as well as PCR performed on the 4 study groups are shown in Table 2. The prevalence of hepatitis B infection in all patients is about two-fold higher than that of hepatitis C and four-fold higher than that of hepatitis G as well as TTV infection, respectively. We detected HBsAg in 82 patients, whereas 46 patients were positive for anti-HCV antibody. By semi-nested PCR, we found HBV DNA in 52 patients, while 31 patients were positive for HCV RNA, 22 for HGV RNA, and 19 for TTV single stranded DNA, respectively. Contrasting the 52 cases positive for both HBsAg and HBV DNA, we determined anti-HCV along with HCV RNA in only 22 patients. Furthermore, the number of cases positive for HBsAg without the presence of HBV DNA amounted to 30 whereas 15 patients were positive for anti-HCV without detectable HCV RNA. Among the 168 sera tested

we did not detect any positive for HBV DNA without detectable HBsAg, but found 9 cases positive for HCV RNA devoid of anti-HCV antibody. Finally, 31 cases (19%) of chronic liver disease, including HCC, and 6 cases (86%) of CCA turned out to be negative for all the hepatitis markers.

DISCUSSION

Our data clearly demonstrate hepatitis B virus to be the major cause of chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and HCC. The prevalence of HBV in these three groups has been shown to be approximately twice that of HCV and four-times that of HGV as well as of TTV, respectively. However, HBV markers, including HBV DNA and HBsAg, are found in less than 40% of cirrhotic patients, a level much lower than that detected in chronic hepatitis and HCC cases. This result could be explained by the fact that approximately 20% of the cirrhotic patients selected for the study were serious alcohol consumers which appears to be the single underlying cause of cirrhosis found in these patients. As to the prevalence of HGV infection, we detected HGV RNA in approximately 10% of the patients, a frequency amounting to twice that previously observed among blood donors in Thailand (Poovorawan et al, 1998) and in most countries around the world (Cheung et al, 1997).

Among HCC patients in Thailand, an area endemic for HBV infection, the prevalence of HCVrelated cases is comparable to that in Taiwanese (19.5 %) (Chuang et al, 1992) and Korean populations (28 %) (Kim and Park, 1993), but it is definitely lower than the frequency reported from several countries not endemic for hepatitis B, where HCV is rendered more crucial regarding the etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma. In Japan, for example, the prevalence of antibodies to HCV amounts to between 50 and 70 % of HCC cases (Suga et al, 1994), and among Caucasian populations to between 30 and 70 % (Ruiz et al, 1992; Di Bisceglie et al, 1994) The average age of patients with HCV-related HCC tends to be higher than that of HBVrelated hepatoma (60.4 vs 49.2 years) possibly reflecting the timing of hepatitis viral infection (Di Bisceglie et al, 1994).

Studies of patients serologically negative for HBsAg but harboring HBV DNA in serum or liver tissue have been documented (Brechot *et al*, 1985; Thiers *et al*, 1988). These results would suggest a level of viral replication too low for serological detection resulting from hepatitis B infection in the

Table 2
Association of hepatitis viruses with liver disease in 168 cases.

Viral marker	Chronic hepatitis (53)	Cirrhosis (65)	HCC (43)	CCA (7)	Total (168)
Hepatitis B	36 (67%)	22 (34%)	24 (56%)	0	82 (49%)
HBsAg (total)	36	22	24	0	
HBV DNA (total)	25	11	16	0	
HBsAg + HBV DNA	25	11	16	0	
HBsAg (only)	11	11	8	0	
HBV DNA (only)	0	0	0	0	
Hepatitis C	18 (34%)	18 (28%)	9 (21%)	1	46 (27%)
anti-HCV (total)	14	15	7	1	
HCV-RNA (total)	11	14	6	0	
anti-HCV + HCV-RNA	7	11	4	0	
anti-HCV (only)	7	4	3	1	
HCV-RNA (only)	4	3	2	0	
Hepatitis G	6 (11%)	11 (17%)	5 (12%)	0	22 (13%)
Hepatitis TT	11 (21%)	5 (8%)	3 (7%)	0	19 (11%)
Hepatitis B + C	3 (5.5%)	1 (1.5%)	3 (7%)	0	7 (4%)
Hepatitis B + G	4 (7.5%)	1 (1.5%)	2 (4.5%)	0	7 (4%)
Hepatitis B +TT	6 (11%)	3 (4.5%)	0	0	9 (5%)
Hepatitis C+ G	1 (2%)	3 (4.5%)	2 (4.5%)	0	6 (3.5%)
Hepatitis C+TT	4 (7.5%)	0	2 (4.5%)	0	6 (3.5%)
Hepatitis B + C +G	0	1 (1.5%)	0	0	1 (0.5%)
Hepatitis B+C+TT	1 (2%)	0	0	0	1 (0.5%)
No viral marker	2 (4%)	18 (28%)	11 (25.5%)	6 (86%)	37 (22%)

The percentages given are relative to the respective patient group indicated on top.

distant past, yet with the mostly fractionated viral DNA left integrated in the hepatocyte DNA (Liang et al, 1993). Alternatively, they could be due to changes in the viral nucleotide sequence leading to an altered immunological profile (Kremsdorf et al, 1993).

In contrast, cases with only HBsAg, but no HBV DNA detectable - approximately 35% of the hepatitis B patients in our study - clearly indicate the respective individuals' ability to eliminate the virus. Regarding HCV infection, there were approximately 30% of cases only positive for anti-HCV, yet negative for HCV RNA. On the contrary, about 20% of the patients were serologically negative for anti-HCV but had HCV RNA detectable in their sera, which indicates active viral replication, but due to the genotype variations of HCV and the limited number of serotypes traceable with the diagnostic kits presently available some serotypes escape detection (Songsivilai et al, 1996).

Considering HBV-HCV coinfections, other studies have shown that patients with concurrent infections have more severe liver disease than those in-

fected with only one virus (Fong et al, 1991). Furthermore, dual infections with HBV-HCV may imply an increased risk for the development of HCC (Kew et al, 1997). Coinfection with HBV and HCV usually results in the suppression of one of the two viruses with HCV, however, exhibiting a tendency towards dominance over HBV (Fattovich et al, 1991; Liaw, 1995). In our study, HBV-HCV coinfections were detected in approximately 4% of the patients, a similar prevalence to that reported from other Asian countries (Panigrahi et al, 1997). Of those seven patients, four were positive for HCV RNA, while three harbored HBV DNA.

In contrast to HBV-HCV coinfection, hepatitis virus G has little or no impact on the course of hepatitis C infection. The clinical picture of liver disease in the patients with HCV-HGV coinfection was not different from that observed in those infected only with HCV (Tanaka et al, 1996). In addition, recent data have demonstrated HGV coinfection to have no impact on the clinical course of acute hepatitis A, B, or C (Alter et al, 1997). Thus the clinical significance of hepatitis virus G

remains unclear, as it rather appears to represent an opportunistic virus predominantly detected in individuals at risk for blood-borne transmission. Due to the very recent discovery of hepatitis TT virus, presently there are neither data available regarding its impact on HBV and/or HCV infections, nor with respect to its overall clinical significance.

In the present study, HCV-HGV and HCV-TTV coinfections both amounted to 3.5%, HBV-HGV and HBV-TTV coinfections to 4% and 5%, respectively, cases in which HCV or HBV may well play the dominant role as the etiologic agent of chronic liver disease and HCC. Interestingly, one case of our cirrhosis patients harbored triple hepatitis virus infections with HBV, HCV and HGV, and in one of our chronic hepatitis patients triple infections with HBV, HCV and TTV were detected.

Contrasting HCC, cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is usually not associated with underlying cirrhosis, but occurs predominantly in males at an average age at presentation of approximately 60 years, while it is rarely found in individuals below the age of 40 years (Okuda et al, 1997). As CCA is endemic in northeastern Thailand, where infestation with the liver fluke, Opisthorchis viverrini, is common (Kurathong et al, 1985), and as no association with viral hepatitis or aflatoxin exposure has been reported (Srivatanakul et al, 1991), the predominantly negative results for all the viral markers obtained with our CCA group are not surprising. In any event, among chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and HCC patients, after careful exclusion of other potential causes of chronic liver disease, such as alcohol, drugs and autoimmune disorders, there remains a small percentage of patients (5-10%) negative for all the known viral hepatitis markers. It seems probable that, in these cases, as yet unidentified viral agents may trigger the processes leading to chronicity.

In conclusion, in Thailand, chronic HBV infection and, to a lesser extent, chronic HCV infection are the two most common causes of hepatitis which can proceed towards chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. The clinical significance of the rather novel hepatitis G virus, as well as that of the very recently characterized hepatitis TT virus with respect to actual liver disease is still uncertain. In the present study, coinfections with distinct hepatitis viruses were not as rare as previously assumed, but their respective impact on the clinical outcome remains to be further elucidated. Finally, the search for novel hepatitis viruses harboring the potential to trigger the development of chronic liver disease should continue.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude to the entire staff of the Viral Hepatitis Research Unit, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand, for their tireless efforts in this project. We also would like to thank the staff of the outpatient clinic, and of the Gastrointestinal Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, for providing us with the specimens. This work was supported by Molecular Biology Research Project, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and Thailand Research Fund, Senior Research Scholar.

REFERENCES

- Alter MJ, Gallagher M, Morris TT, et al. Acute non A-E hepatitis in the United States and the role of hepatitis G infection. Sentinel Counties Viral Hepatitis Study Team. N Engl J Med 1997; 336: 741-6.
- Beasley RP. Hepatitis B virus. The major etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer* 1988;61:1942-56.
- Brechot C, Degos F, Lugassay C, et al. Hepatitis B virus DNA in patients with chronic liver disease and negative tests for hepatitis B surface antigen N Engl J Med 1985; 312: 270-6.
- Cha TA, Kolberg J, Irvine B, et al. Use of a signature nucleotide sequence of hepatitis C virus for detection of viral RNA in human serum and plasma. J Clin Microbiol 1991; 29: 2528-34.
- Cheung RC, Keeffe EB, Greenberg HB. Hepatitis G virus: is it a hepatitis virus? West J Med 1997;167: 23-33.
- Chuang WL, Chang WY, Lu SN, et al. The role of hepatitis B and C viruses in hepatocellular carcinoma in a hepatitis B endemic area. A case-control study. Cancer 1992; 69: 2052-4.
- Colombo M, Kuo G, Choo QL, et al. Prevalence of antibodies to hepatitis C virus in Italian patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Lancet 1989; 2: 1006-8.
- Di Bisceglie AM, Simpson LH, Lotze MT, Hoofnagle JH.

 Development of hepatocellar carcinoma among patients with chronic liver disease due to hepatitis C viral infection. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1994; 19: 222-6.
- Di Bisceglie AM. Hepatitis G virus infection: a work in progress. Ann Intern Med 1996; 125: 772-3.
- Fattovich G, Tagger A, Brollo L, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection in chronic hepatitis B virus carriers. J In-

- fect Dis 1991; 163: 400-2.
- Fong TL, Di Bisceglie AM, Waggoner JG, et al. The significance of antibody to hepatitis C virus in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 1991; 14: 64-7.
- Jarvis LM, Davidson F, Hanley JP, et al. Infection with hepatitis G virus among recipients of plasma products. Lancet 1996; 348: 1352-5.
- Kaneko S, Feinstone SM, Miller RH. Rapid and sensitive method for the detection of serum hepatitis B virus DNA using the polymerase chain reaction technique. J Clin Microbiol 1989; 27: 1930-3.
- Kew MC, Yu MC, Keddy M, et al. The relative roles of hepatitis B and C viruses in the etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in Southern African blacks. Gastroenterology 1997; 112: 184-7.
- Kim BS, Park YM. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus related to liver disease in Korea. Gastroenterol Jpn 1993; 28 (suppl): 17-22.
- Kremsdorf D, Garreau F, Duclos H, et al. Complete nucleotide sequence and viral envelope protein expression of a hepatitis B virus DNA derived from a hepatitis B surface antigen-negative patient. J Hepatol 1993; 18: 244-50.
- Kurathong S, Lerdverasirikul P, Wongpaitoon V, et al. Opisthorchis viverrini infection and cholangiocarcinoma. A propective, case-controlled study. Gastroenterology 1985; 89: 151-6.
- Kwok S, Higuchi R. Avoiding false positives with PCR. Nature 1989; 339: 237-8.
- Lee WM. Hepatitis B virus infection. N Engl J Med 1997; 337: 1733-45.
- Liang TJ, Jeffers LJ, Reddy KR, et al. Viral pathogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States. Hepatology 1993; 18: 1326-33.
- Liaw YF. Role of hepatitis C virus in dual and triple hepatitis virus infection. *Hepatology* 1995; 22: 1101-8.
- Linnen J, Wages J Jr, Zhang-Keck ZY, et al. Molecular cloning and disease association of hepatitis G virus: a transfusion-transmissible agent. Science 1996; 271: 505-8.
- Lisitsyn N, Lisitsyn N, Wigler M. Cloning the differences between two complex genomes. Science 1993; 259: 946-51.
- Nishizawa T, Okamoto H, Konishi K, et al. A novel DNA virus (TTV) associated with elevated transaminase levels in post-transfusion hepatitis of unknown etiology. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1997;241:92-7.
- Okamoto H, Nishizawa T, Kato N, et al. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel DNA virus (TTV) associated with post-transfusion hepatitis of unknown

- etiology. Hepatol Res 1998; 10: 1-6.
- Okuda K, Kubo Y, Okazaki N, et al. Clinical aspects of intrahepatic bile duct carcinoma including hilar carcinoma: a study of 57 autopsy-proven cases. Cancer 1977; 39: 232-46.
- Panigrahi AK, Panda SK, Dixit RK, et al. Magnitude of hepatitis C virus infection in India: prevalence in healthy blood donors, acute and chronic liver diseases. J Med Virol 1997; 51: 167-74.
- Poovorawan Y, Theamboonlers A, Chongsrisawat V, Jantaradsamee P. Prevalence of infection with hepatitis G virus among various groups in Thailand. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 1998; 1: 89-95.
- Popper H, Shafritz DA, Hoofnagle JH. Relation of the hepatitis B virus carrier state to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 1987; 7: 764-72.
- Ruiz J, Sangro B, Cuende JI, et al. Hepatitis B and C viral infections in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 1992; 16: 637-41.
- Saito I, Miyamura T, Ohyabashi A, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection is associated with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990; 87: 6547-9.
- Simons JN, Leary TP, Dawson GJ, et al. Isolation of novel virus-like sequences associated with human hepatitis. Nature Med 1995; 1: 564-9.
- Songsivilai S, Dharakul T, Kanistanon D. Hepatitis C virus genotypes in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma in Thailand. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1996; 90: 505-7.
- Srivatanakul P, Parkin DM, Jiang YZ, et al. The role of infection by Opisthorchis viverrini, hepatitis B virus, and aflatoxin exposure in liver cancer in Thailand. A correlation study. Cancer 1991; 68: 2411-7.
- Suga M, Senota A, Arima K, et al. Prevalence of HBV and HCV infection in Japanese patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatogastroenterol 1994; 41: 438-41.
- Tanaka E, Alter HJ, Nakatsuji Y, et al. Effect of hepatitis G virus infection on chronic hepatitis C. Ann Intern Med 1996; 125: 740-3.
- Thiers V, Nakajima E, Kremsdorf D, et al. Transmission of hepatitis B from hepatitis B-seronegative subjects. Lancet 1988; 2: 1273-6.
- Tong MJ, El-Farra NS, Reikes AR, Co RL. Clinical outcomes after transfusion-associated hepatitis C. N Engl J Med 1995; 332: 1463-6.
- Wang JT, Tsai FC, Lee CZ, et al. A prospective study of transfusion-transmitted GB virus C infection: similar frequency but different clinical presentation compared with hepatitis C virus. Blood 1996; 88: 1881-6.