

# SCREENING FOR LARVICIDAL ACTIVITY IN SOME THAI PLANTS AGAINST FOUR MOSQUITO VECTOR SPECIES

Narumon Komalamisra, Yuwadee Trongtokit, Yupha Rongsriyam and Chamnarn Apiwathnasorn

Department of Medical Entomology, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

**Abstract.** Ninety-six ethanolic extracts from various parts of 84 Thai plant species were tested for their larvicidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. Extracts from *Rhinacanthus nasutus*, *Derris elliptica*, *Trigonostemon reidioides*, *Homalomena aromatica*, *Stemona tuberosa* and *Acorus calamus* possessed high larvicidal activity, with LC<sub>50</sub> values between 16.0 and 48.2 mg/l. Petroleum ether (PE) and methanol (MeOH) extracts were tested for their larvicidal activity against 4 mosquito vector species. The PE extract of *R. nasutus* exhibited larvicidal effects against *Ae. aegypti*, *Culex quinquefasciatus*, *Anopheles dirus* and *Mansonia uniformis* with LC<sub>50</sub> values between 3.9 and 11.5 mg/l, while the MeOH extract gave LC<sub>50</sub> values of between 8.1 and 14.7 mg/l. *D. elliptica* PE extract showed LC<sub>50</sub> values of between 11.2 and 18.84 mg/l and the MeOH extract exhibited LC<sub>50</sub> values between 13.2 and 45.2 mg/l.

## INTRODUCTION

Mosquito-borne diseases, such as malaria, filariasis and dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) are still major public health problems in the South-east Asian countries because of their tropical or subtropical climate, the frequently poor drainage system especially during rainy seasons, and the presence of many fish ponds, irrigation ditches and rice fields which provide abundant mosquito breeding places. Although chemical vector control programs have been carried on for long time, these mosquito-vector diseases remain because of the refusal by householders to house spraying with synthetic insecticides, of changes in biting habits of vectors, and evolution of mosquito resistance to conventional insecticides (Nontananda, 1972; Ismail *et al*, 1978; Curtis and Pasteur, 1980; Wattal *et al*, 1981; WHO, 1992) and even to biopesticides such as *Bacillus sphaericus* (Rodcharoen and Mulla, 1994; Tabashnik, 1994).

Searching for new control agents from natu-

ral products such as plant secondary metabolites has gained popularity among researchers in countries with a strong herbal tradition and large numbers of plants have been reported to possess insecticidal activity (Yang and Tang, 1988; Curtis *et al*, 1989; Sukumar *et al*, 1991). Before the discovery of synthetic insecticides, natural insecticides such as pyrethrum, rotenone, nicotine, sabadilla, ryania, among others, have been extensively used for insect control (Baladrin, 1985; Pedigo, 1996). Currently, limonoids such as azadirachtin and gedunin, present in species of Meliaceae and Rutaceae, are recognized for their toxic effects on insects and are used in several insecticide formulations in many part of the world (Nagpal *et al*, 1996). Azadirachtin from *Azadirachto indica* has been reported to affect the neuro-endocrine control system in mosquito immature stages. Its mode of action by controlling insect hormones, its favorable toxicology and selective properties from the ecological perspective, provides a basis for emergence of a promising phytochemical for mosquito control (Zebitz, 1984).

Most of the problems of mosquito vector borne diseases occur in low-income tropical communities but these communities have the advantage of access to thousands of species of plants which may contain useful phytochemicals for control of both agriculturally and medically

---

Correspondence: Narumon Komalamisra, Department of Medical Entomology, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, 420/6 Rajvithi Road, Bangkok 10400, Thailand.

Tel: +66 (0) 2354-9100-19 (ext 1573); Fax: +66 (0) 2643-5582

E-mail: tmnkm@mahidol.ac.th

important insects. For example, in Argentina, 8 of 11 screened plant extracts showed toxicity against *Aedes aegypti* larvae with  $LC_{50} < 500$  mg/l, and among 8 extracts the dichloromethane extract of *Abuta grandifolia* and *Minthostachys setosa* demonstrated high larvicidal activity with  $LC_{50}$  of 2.6 and 9.2 mg/l, respectively (Ciccia *et al*, 2000). In Thailand, 10 species of plants which have been reported to possess carminative properties, were screened for larvicidal potential against early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Marked larvicidal effects were seen with *Kaempferia galanga*, *Illicium vernum* and *Spilanthes acmella* having  $LC_{50}$  values of 50.5, 54.1 and 61.4 mg/l, respectively (Pitasawat *et al*, 1998).

This present study was aimed to discover cost-effective alternatives for the control of mosquito vectors by evaluating the larvicidal activity of crude ethanolic extracts of 84 plant species from local Thai plants against late 3<sup>rd</sup>-early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes. The promising plants, showing  $LC_{50} < 50$  mg/l, were further selected for extraction with petroleum ether (PE) and methanol (MeOH) and tested for larvicidal activity against *Ae. aegypti*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *Anopheles dirus* and *Mansonia uniformis* larvae.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Mosquitoes

The mosquito species used for the tests were *Aedes aegypti*, *Culex quinquefasciatus*, *Anopheles dirus*, and *Mansonia uniformis*. These mosquitoes were uninfected laboratory strains and were reared for over 10 generations in the insectary of the Department of Medical Entomology, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Thailand. The method for mass rearing was slightly modified from the procedure previously reported (Limsuwan *et al*, 1987).

Late 3<sup>rd</sup>-early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti* were used to screen the larvicidal activity of ethanolic extracts obtained from 84 candidate plants, while the larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *An. dirus*, and *Ma. uniformis* were further evaluated with PE or MeOH extracts obtained from those plants whose ethanolic extracts showed

$LC_{50}$  values of less than 50 mg/l.

### Plant collection

Plants of 84 species belonging to several families are shown in Table 1. Wild specimens of these plants were collected throughout Thailand, and as far as possible from cultivated areas to exclude possible contamination with insecticides. Some plants were purchased from medicinal plant shops in Bangkok. The identifications of the specimens were checked by Miss Nantawan Supuntee, Forest Herbarium National Park, Wildlife and Plant Conservation Department, Bangkok, Thailand.

### Preparation of extracts

The aerial parts of 84 plants were dried in the shade, cut up and then ground in a homogenizer. The powder material from each species was macerated with 75% ethanol at room temperature for 3 days and then suction filtered through a Buchner funnel. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation under reduced pressure at a temperature below 45°C. The resulting crude ethanolic extracts were kept at -20°C until screening for their larvicidal activity against *Ae. aegypti*.

The powder from each plant which showed an  $LC_{50}$  value of less than 50 mg/l was extracted in a Soxhlet extractor with PE, and then with MeOH. The solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure, below 45°C, to dryness. The resulting crude PE and MeOH extracts were kept at -20°C until testing for their larvicidal property against *Ae. aegypti*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *An. dirus*, and *Ma. uniformis*.

### Larvicidal test

Methods for testing larvicidal action of the crude extracts were slightly modified from those of World Health Organization (WHO, 1996). A stock solution was prepared by dissolving a known amount of the crude extract in an appropriate solvent and stored in a refrigerator at 15°C. Twenty healthy, late 3<sup>rd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae were introduced into each testing cup (sterilized plastic drinking cup of 150 ml capacity), which contained 100 ml of dechlorinated tap water. A measured volume of stock solution was added to obtain the desired concentrations. Experiments were carried out with a series of seven concen-

Table 1  
Plants studied for their larvicidal effectiveness against *Ae. aegypti*.

Family and scientific name	Common name	Thai name
ACANTHACEAE		
<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> N.	-	Fa thalai chon
<i>Rhinacanthus nasutus</i> L.	-	Thong phan chang
AMARYLLIDACEAE		
<i>Crinum asiaticum</i> L.	cape lily, crinum lily	Phlap phlueng
ANACARDIACEAE		
<i>Melanorrhoea usitata</i> Wall	red zebra wood, vanish tree	Rak yai
ANNONACEAE		
<i>Annona muricata</i> L.	sour sop	Thurian thet
<i>A. squamosa</i> L.	custard apple, sugar apple,	Noina
APIACEAE		
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	Hoary basil	Maeng lak
APOCYNACEAE		
<i>Allamanda cathartica</i> L.	-	Banburi lueang
<i>Cerbera odollum</i> Gaertn.	-	Tin pet nam
APOCYNACEAE (cont)		
<i>Cerbera peruviana</i> Pers.	Trumpet flower	Ram phoei
<i>Nerium oleander</i> L.	Oleander	Yi tho
<i>Strophanthus scaudatus</i> L.	-	Yang nong kruea
ARACEAE		
<i>Acorus calamus</i> L.	myrtle grass	Wan nam
<i>Dieffenbachia picta</i> Schott.	-	Aai bai
<i>Homalomena aromatica</i> Schott.	-	Tao kiat
<i>H. rubescens</i> Kunth.	-	Sa ne chan daeng
<i>Lasia spinosa</i> L.	-	Phak hnam
ARISTOLOCHACEAE		
<i>Aristolochia indica</i> L.	-	Kra chao sree da
ASCLEPIADACEAE		
<i>Calotropis gigantea</i> L.	crown flower, giant Indian	Rak dok
ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE)		
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.	Billy-goat weed, goat weed	Sap raeng sap ka
<i>Artemisia annua</i> L.	-	Kot chula lampha
<i>Cosmos sulphureus</i> Cav.	-	Dao krachai
<i>Chromolaena odoratum</i> L.	-	Sap suea
<i>Melampodium divaricatum</i> DC.	-	Kradum thong
<i>Sphaeranthus africanus</i>	-	Phak khart hua waen
<i>Tagetes patula</i> L.	marigold	Dao rueang noi
<i>Thithonia diversifolia</i> Grat.	Mexican sunflower weed	Bua tong
CAPPARIDACEAE		
<i>Cleome viscosa</i> L.	-	Phak sian phi
COMBRETACEAE		
<i>Combretum quadrangulare</i> L.	-	Sakae na
CUCURBITACEAE		
<i>Luffa acutangula</i> L.	angled loofah	Buap liam
<i>Momordica cochinchinensis</i> L.	-	Fak khao
<i>Trichosanthes tricuspidata</i> L.	-	Khi ka daeng
CYPERACEAE		
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.	nut grass	Ya hao mu

Table 1 (continued).

Family and scientific name	Common name	Thai name
EUPHORBIACEAE		
<i>Baccaurea ramiflora</i> L.	croton oil plant	Mafia
<i>Croton tiglium</i> L.	Malayan spurge tree	Salot
<i>Euphorbia antiquorum</i> L.	Painted spurge	Salat dai pa
<i>E. heterophylla</i> L.	Poinsettia	Luk khoei tai mae yai tham sop
<i>E. pulcherrima</i> L.	-	Khritsamat
<i>E. tirucalli</i> L.	-	Phaya roi bai
<i>Excoecaria cochinchinensis</i> L.	-	Kra bue chet tua
<i>Jatropha gossypifolia</i> L.	-	Sabu lueat
<i>J. multifida</i> L.	Caston bean	Fin ton
<i>Ricinus communis</i> L.	-	Lahung
<i>Trigonostemon reidioides</i> Craib.	-	Lot thanong
FABACEAE		
<i>Abrus precatorius</i> L.	crab's eye vine	Maklam tanu
<i>Acacia concinna</i> Dc.	-	Sompoi
<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i> L.	red sandalwood tree	Ma klam ta chang
<i>Cassia fistula</i> L.	common pink cassia	Chaiya phruet
<i>C. siamea</i> Lam.	Thai copper pod	Khi lek
<i>Derris elliptica</i> Benth.	Tuba root, derris	Hang lai daeng
<i>D. scandens</i> Benth.	-	Thao wan priang
<i>Phyllodium longipes</i> Craib & Schindl	-	Klet lin yai
<i>Pueraria candollei</i> Graham.	-	Kwao khrueta
ICACINACEAE		
<i>Stemonurus secundiflorus</i> Bl.	-	Ai bao phru
LAURACEAE		
<i>Cinnamomum rhyncophyllum</i> Miq.	-	Tae yor
<i>Persea membranacea</i> Kosterm	-	Kra thang thu
MALVACEAE		
<i>Urena lobata</i> L.	-	Khi khrok
MELIACEAE		
<i>Aglaia rubiginosa</i> Hein.	-	Chomphu samet
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss.	neem	Sadao India
<i>Melia azedarach</i> L.	bastard cedar	Lian
MENISPEMACEAE		
<i>Stephania venosa</i> Spreng.	-	Bora phet phung chang
<i>Tinospora crispa</i> Beume.	-	Bora phet
PALACEAE		
<i>Caryota bacsonensis</i>	Jaggery palm	Tao rang
PIPERACEAE		
<i>Piper betle</i> L.	Betel pepper	Phlu
PLUMBAGINACEAE		
<i>Plumbago indica</i> L.	-	Chetta mun phloeng daeng
POACEAE		
<i>Cymbopogon nardus</i> L. Rendle.	citronella grass	Ta khrai hom
<i>Vetiveria zizanioides</i> Nash.	vetiver	Ya faek hom
RUBIACEAE		
<i>Ixora ebarbata</i> Craib.	-	Khem khao
<i>Morinda citrifolia</i> L.	-	Yor
<i>M. coreia</i> Ham.	-	Yor pa
<i>Clausena excavata</i>	-	Mui yai

Table 1 (continued).

Family and scientific name	Common name	Thai name
SAPINDACEAE		
<i>Nephelium longan</i> L. Hook.	Longan	Lamai pa
<i>N. hypoleucum</i> Kurz.	-	Kho laen
<i>Sapindus rarak</i> Dc.	soap nut tree	Ma khamdi khwai
<i>Zollingeria dongnaiensis</i> Pierre.	-	Khi non
STEMINACEAE		
<i>Cardiospermum halicacabum</i> L.	wiwi, balloon vine	Khok kra om
<i>Stemona tuberosa</i> L.	-	Non tai yak
VERBENACEAE		
<i>Duranta repens</i> L.	golden dewdrop	Thian yot
ZINGIBERACEAE		
<i>Boesenbergia pandurata</i> Schltr.	-	Kra chai
<i>Curcuma longa</i> L.	tumeric	Khamin chan
<i>C. zedoaria</i> Roscoe.	-	Khamin oi
<i>Hedyclium coronarium</i> Roem	butterfly lily	Mahahong
<i>Zingiber amaricans</i> Blume	-	Kra thue
<i>Z. officinale</i> Roscoe.	ginger	Khing
<i>Z. purpureum</i> Roscoe.	-	phlai

trations, each with 5 replicates, with a final total number of 100 larvae for each concentration. Each batch of replicates contained one control of 100 ml of water alone and another of 100 ml of water containing a volume of solvent corresponding to the maximum volume of extract tested. As very few larvae succumbed within 24 hours of exposure to the test solutions, mortality was recorded after 48 hour of exposure, during which no food was offered to the larvae. The mortalities of mosquito larvae were recorded if moribund larvae were incapable of rising to the surface or of showing the characteristic diving reaction when the water was disturbed or they showed discoloration, unnatural position or rigor. The  $LC_{50}$  was determined by a Probit analysis program (Finney, 1971). Control mortality was accounted for by the formula of Abbott (1925).

## RESULTS

### Screening for larvicidal activity of ethanolic extracts against *Ae. aegypti*

From 96 ethanolic extracts, 44 extracts provided  $LC_{50}$  values < 750 mg/l and were considered effective against the larvae of *Ae. aegypti* (Table 2). Among these, only those from

*Rhinacanthus nasutus* (roots), *Derris elliptica*, *Homalomena aromatica*, *Trigonostemon reidioides*, *Stemona tuberosa*, and *Acorus calamus* were found to have high larvicidal activity with 48 hours  $LC_{50}$  values of between 16 and 48 mg/l. Seven other extracts showed moderate larvicidal activity with  $LC_{50}$  ranging from 50 to 100 mg/l whereas 31 of the ethanolic extracts showed  $LC_{50}$  ranging from 100 to 800 mg/l and 52 showed no activity at doses as high as 1,600 mg/l.

### Screening for larvicidal activity of PE and MeOH extracts against *Ae. aegypti*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *An. dirus* and *Ma. uniformis*

The 48-hour  $LC_{50}$  and  $LC_{90}$  values of PE and MeOH extracts of the 6 most active plants against *Ae. aegypti*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *An. dirus* and *Ma. uniformis* are shown in Tables 3-6. The PE extract of *R. nasutus* exhibited the highest activity against *Ae. aegypti*, *An. dirus* and *Ma. uniformis* while the PE extract of *D. elliptica* showed high activity against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and *An. dirus*.

## DISCUSSION

Much research has been conducted on

Table 2  
 LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of 75% ethanol plant extracts against late 3<sup>rd</sup>- early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti*.

Plant	LC values (mg/l)			
	LC <sub>50</sub>	95% CI of LC <sub>50</sub>	LC <sub>90</sub>	95% CI of LC <sub>90</sub>
<i>R. nasutus</i> (roots)	16.04	11.47 -22.42	47.08	27.91 -80.72
<i>D. elliptica</i>	20.49	18.55 -22.64	47.49	41.35 -56.35
<i>H. aromatica</i>	38.10	28.48 -51.02	131.5	82.01 -215.23
<i>T. reidioides</i>	40.89	36.92 -45.29	98.84	85.69 -117.87
<i>S. tuberosa</i>	43.48	38.98 -48.53	119.12	101.73 -144.62
<i>A. calamus</i>	48.24	43.42 -53.64	123.42	106.12 -148.73
<i>P. membranacea</i>	53.72	37.91 -76.13	191.33	109.98 -337.39
<i>C. tigilium</i>	60.87	43.03 -86.16	263.66	147.34 -481.23
<i>Z. purpureum</i>	64.02	39.31 -104.27	199.61	91.86 -437.48
<i>M. azadarach</i> (seed)	76.69	51.24 -114.83	260.89	134.83 -510.07
<i>S. rarak</i>	88.08	59.04 -131.63	375.98	185.22 -776.51
<i>C. zedoaria</i>	93.38	69.27 -125.92	152.92	98.32 -250.46
<i>C. odollum</i> (leaves)	96.16	86.90 -106.47	229.9	199.26 -274.39
<i>A. squamosa</i>	101.96	54.42 -191.34	557.02	164.29 -1,912.43
<i>C. odollum</i> (unripe fruits)	102.23	71.93 -145.45	312.42	174.37 -570.16
<i>C. longa</i>	106.38	78.31 -144.57	258.87	159.99 -423.67
<i>D. scandens</i>	122.90	75.73 -199.84	494.93	201.99 -1,229.63
<i>C. peruviana</i>	150.33	128.72 -178.62	757.92	562.95 -1,120.28
<i>C. bacsonensis</i>	155.65	115.47 -211.63	562.96	322.63 -1,036.50
<i>A. concinna</i>	162.59	140.86 -190.53	682.28	523.54 -964.00
<i>P. betle</i>	177.62	131.76 -239.66	557.06	343.07 -927.67
<i>C. asiaticum</i>	177.76	121.72 -259.89	664.02	347.71 -1,287.36
<i>C. gigantean</i>	183.07	151.84 -227.76	1,241.08	850.99 -2,047.38
<i>Z. amaricans</i>	188.08	147.17 -240.36	340.32	236.21 -502.98
<i>C. rhyncophyllum</i>	188.64	165.58 -217.91	635.49	501.12 -872.16
<i>R. nasutus</i> (leave and stem)	190.29	162.15 -228.74	914.07	668.48 -1,388.40
<i>N. oleander</i> (leaves)	197.97	165.89 -243.78	1,131.89	789.42 -1,845.57
<i>P. indica</i>	202.21	147.97 -276.87	717.55	420.66 -1,251.19
<i>H. coronarium</i>	241.73	219.03 -267.02	550.96	478.79 -656.72
<i>S. africanus</i>	260.66	188.30 -361.37	667.93	392.71 -1,171.84
<i>Z. officinale</i>	270.60	218.23 -335.52	427.47	311.09 -602.47
<i>P. candollei</i>	272.38	189.62 -391.54	635.72	355.28 -1,160.83
<i>E. tirucalli</i>	310.56	277.51 -349.44	864.88	722.01 -1,089.09
<i>T. diversifolia</i>	326.87	292.84 -365.17	912.08	778.42 -1,107.00
<i>A. muricata</i>	330.51	301.12 -363.30	685.74	599.61 -814.30
<i>V. zizanioides</i>	380.73	294.39 -492.96	1,106.88	735.54 -1,716.73
<i>E. odoratum</i>	433.88	392.68 -479.71	1,009.29	876.32 -1,203.16
<i>R. communis</i>	523.13	405.76 -675.00	1,146.81	777.38 -1,753.02
<i>H. rubescens</i>	542.88	501.83 -587.27	913.37	821.85 -1,048.30
<i>C. halicacabum</i>	543.19	396.23 -744.67	967.70	599.14 -1,586.57
<i>E. pulcherrima</i>	548.94	419.93 -718.38	1,316.51	856.01 -2,071.06
<i>S. caudatus</i>	573.80	524.26 -628.34	1,143.44	1,008.27 -1,341.63
<i>E. antiquorum</i>	599.74	538.97 -669.63	1,536.49	1,302.84 -1,896.08
<i>C. quadrangulare</i>	722.79	518.36 -1,014.40	1,991.22	1,092.81 -3,810.441

LC<sub>50</sub> = median lethal concentration; CI= confidence interval; LC<sub>90</sub> = 90% lethal concentration.

Table 3  
 LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of 6 promising larvicidal plants against the late 3<sup>rd</sup>- early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti*.

Plant and extract	LC values (mg/l)			
	LC <sub>50</sub>	95% CI of LC <sub>50</sub>	LC <sub>90</sub>	95%CI of LC <sub>90</sub>
<i>R. nasutus</i> (roots):				
PE extract	3.93	3.05-4.77	18.51	14.99-24.59
MeOH extract	8.11	7.33-8.96	18.46	16.09-21.96
<i>D. elliptica</i> :				
PE extract	11.17	10.06-12.40	27.74	23.93-33.36
MeOH extract	13.17	11.88-14.60	32.22	27.92-38.42
<i>H. aromatica</i> :				
PE extract	40.36	23.56-69.18	97.35	39.01-245.65
MeOH extract	34.15	30.96-37.67	77.21	67.43-91.30
<i>T. reidioides</i> :				
PE extract	116.95	76.96-178.03	206.91	105.17-422.07
MeOH extract	36.38	32.98-40.13	82.48	72.00-97.58
<i>S. tuberosa</i> :				
PE extract	25.26	19.43-32.83	52.18	35.22-79.43
MeOH extract	41.50	37.39-46.08	104.41	90.11-125.15
<i>A. calamus</i> :				
PE extract	29.33	26.33-32.67	78.68	67.64-94.56
MeOH extract	29.29	22.85-37.53	73.01	50.27-108.50

LC<sub>50</sub> = median lethal concentration; CI= confidence interval; LC<sub>90</sub> = 90% lethal concentration.

Table 4  
 LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of 6 promising larvicidal plants against the late 3<sup>rd</sup>- early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*.

Plant and extract	LC values (mg/l)			
	LC <sub>50</sub>	95% CI of LC <sub>50</sub>	LC <sub>90</sub>	95%CI of LC <sub>90</sub>
<i>R. nasutus</i> (roots):				
PE extract	9.98	5.44-18.28	43.08	16.76-111.99
MeOH extract	8.57	7.57-9.63	25.85	21.82-32.03
<i>D. elliptica</i> :				
PE extract	4.61	3.87-5.33	15.42	12.93-19.42
MeOH extract	18.53	14.22-24.15	54.4	36.05-84.33
<i>H. aromatica</i> :				
PE extract	22.87	20.29-25.75	75.32	63.54-92.47
MeOH extract	27.81	25.00-30.93	72.85	62.78-87.31
<i>T. reidioides</i> :				
PE extract	46.08	26.22-81.02	97.6	38.08-252.18
MeOH extract	40.61	36.75-44.88	94.78	82.47-112.55
<i>S. tuberosa</i> :				
PE extract	35.80	26.77-47.91	112.23	70.90-180.71
MeOH extract	15.15	11.58-19.81	36.34	24.21-55.55
<i>A. calamus</i> :				
PE extract	45.67	40.64-51.42	142.75	119.81-176.97
MeOH extract	58.57	38.29-90.28	282.89	110.63-760.41

LC<sub>50</sub> = median lethal concentration; CI= confidence interval; LC<sub>90</sub> = 90% lethal concentration.

Table 5  
 LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of 6 promising larvicidal plants against the late 3<sup>rd</sup>- early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *An. dirus*.

Plant and extract	LC values (mg/l)			
	LC <sub>50</sub>	95% CI of LC <sub>50</sub>	LC <sub>90</sub>	95% CI of LC <sub>90</sub>
<i>R. nasutus</i> (roots):				
PE extract	7.91	5.30-11.73	30.11	16.97-54.69
MeOH extract	14.51	13.13-16.02	33.64	29.31-39.88
<i>D. elliptica</i> :				
PE extract	8.07	5.40-12.05	15.77	8.54-29.47
MeOH extract	16.17	14.31-18.26	54.23	45.37-67.51
<i>H. aromatica</i> :				
PE extract	43.32	25.89-72.50	168.51	68.29-421.34
MeOH extract	18.35	16.44-20.47	50.42	43.13-61.04
<i>T. reidioides</i> :				
PE extract	43.02	31.50-58.86	167.99	98.69-292.84
MeOH extract	36.67	18.31-73.48	249.77	63.36-996.84
<i>S. tuberosa</i> :				
PE extract	36.09	24.91-52.29	111.14	61.55-203.70
MeOH extract	174.45	102.34-305.30	749.34	243.96-2489.92
<i>A. calamus</i> :				
PE extract	30.36	27.39-33.64	74.29	64.38-88.58
MeOH extract	33.23	20.59-53.64	125.32	55.76-283.85

LC<sub>50</sub> = median lethal concentration; CI= confidence interval; LC<sub>90</sub> = 90% lethal concentration.

Table 6  
 LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of 6 promising larvicidal plants against the late 3<sup>rd</sup>- early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Ma. uniformis*.

Plant and extract	LC values (mg/l)			
	LC <sub>50</sub>	95% CI of LC <sub>50</sub>	LC <sub>90</sub>	95% CI of LC <sub>90</sub>
<i>R. nasutus</i> (roots):				
PE extract	11.52	10.45-12.71	25.88	22.61-30.61
MeOH extract	14.73	13.36-16.25	33.21	29.01-39.26
<i>D. elliptica</i> :				
PE extract	18.84	16.99-20.91	46.95	40.59-56.15
MeOH extract	45.16	40.14-50.88	142.75	119.52-177.74
<i>H. aromatica</i> :				
PE extract	74.55	66.43-84.15	217.81	180.73-276.20
MeOH extract	60.22	53.67-67.80	179.65	150.21-224.75
<i>T. reidioides</i> :				
PE extract	51.06	34.99-74.55	137.53	74.94-255.50
MeOH extract	49.94	45.27-55.14	113.75	99.10-134.99
<i>S. tuberosa</i> :				
PE extract	27.56	25.10-30.27	57.79	50.78-67.97
MeOH extract	201.88	162.44-272.78	919.4	586.56-1827.00
<i>A. calamus</i> :				
PE extract	126.13	109.67-418.66	416.99	319.69-600.64
MeOH extract	51.82	46.30-58.10	149.55	126.35-184.28

LC<sub>50</sub> = median lethal concentration; CI= confidence interval; LC<sub>90</sub> = 90% lethal concentration.



plant derived chemicals which are non-toxic to man and domestic animals and serve as useful basis for the development of safer and more selective mosquito insecticides (Sukumar *et al*, 1991). Our screening showed that among 96 ethanolic extracts, 6 extracts [*R. nasutus* (roots), *D. elliptica*, *H. aromatica*, *T. redioides*, *S. tuberosa*, and *A. calamus*] gave high potency with low critical lethal concentrations ( $LC_{50}$  <50 mg/l) against late 3<sup>rd</sup>-early 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti* (Table 2).

After subsequent extraction with PE and MeOH, our results showed that the PE extracts were always more effective than the MeOH and ethanol extracts. Similar effects were obtained by other researchers. The PE extract of *Allium sativum* was found to be highly effective with an  $LC_{50}$  of 12.4 mg/l while water and ethanolic extracts gave  $LC_{50}$  of 388.3 and 548.51 mg/l, respectively against *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* (Satoto, 1993). However, with *Ervatamia coronaria* the ethanolic extracts was highly active against 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti* whereas the PE extracts had no larvicidal activity (Qureshi *et al*, 1986).

We found that the PE extract of *R. nasutus* gave an  $LC_{50}$  value of 10 mg/l while Pushpalatha and Muthukrishnan (1999) found that PE extract of *R. nasutus* leaf gave an  $LC_{50}$  value of 13.2 mg/l against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* larvae. In addition, the PE extract from leaf of *R. nasutus* has been reported to decrease the fecundity of mosquitoes and the hatchability of their eggs (Pushpalatha and Muthukrishnan, 1999; Muthukrishnan and Pushpalatha, 2001).

When roots of *D. elliptica* were extracted with water, PE, acetone or ethanol, the latter extract produced the highest mortality in *Ae. aegypti* (Ameen *et al*, 1985). With a different species of *Derris*, our results showed that the MeOH extract of *D. elliptica* gave  $LC_{50}$  value of 18.5 mg/l, similar to that of the ethanolic extract of *D. urucu* root with an  $LC_{50}$  value of 17.6 mg/l against 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae *Ae. aegypti*.

No previous studies on the larvicidal activity of *H. aromatum* have been reported. However, our results showed the PE extract of this plant was the third most effective among those tested against *Ae. aegypti*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*,

*An. dirus* and *Ma. uniformis*. *H. cordata* has been reported to reduce larval and adult emergence rates by approximately half when this plant was experimentally grown in freshwater swamp forest with the aim of controlling the *Brugia* filariasis vectors *Ma. bonneae* and *Ma. dives* (Chang *et al*, 1988; Seng *et al*, 1991).

There is no previous report of the mosquito-larvicidal activity of *T. redioides*; however, dichloromethane extracts from the roots of this species have shown a strong inhibition of feeding of agricultural pests (Chavasiri *et al*, 1999). The extracts of *T. redioides* have been used in traditional medicine as an expectorant and a laxative and in treatment of skin diseases (Smitinand, 1980).

Our results confirmed the larvicidal activity of *S. tuberosa* reported in a study in Malaysia (Lee and Chiang, 1994). For other species of *Stemona*, the crude aqueous extract of *S. collinsae* has an  $LC_{50}$  of 104 mg/l against *Ae. aegypti* larvae (Virachat, 1985), but our results with the PE extract showed an even higher effect. *S. tuberosa* has remarkable repellency against the agricultural pest *Spodoptera littoralis*, the tub erostemonine being the dominant alkaloid in the roots (Brem *et al*, 2002). In addition, these stemona alkaloids demonstrated antitussive activity in guinea pigs after induction of coughing by citric acid aerosol stimulation (Chung *et al*, 2003).

In agreement with our findings, the best result against mosquito larvae was obtained with the PE extract of *A. calamus* (Chavan *et al*, 1979; Sujatha *et al*, 1988). High mosquito-larvicidal activity has been observed in both the steam distillate and PE extract of *A. calamus* (Ranaweera, 1996). Phytochemical study with the rhizome extract of *A. calamus* reported the presence of phenyl indane derivative, 2,3-dihydro-4,5,7-trimethoxy-1-ethyl-2-methyl-3-(2',4',5'-trimethoxyphenyl) indane (Saxena and Sumithra, 1985). Insecticidal activity obtained by distillation of the PE extract of *A. calamus* appears to be due to the *trans*-isomer of asarone (Dixit *et al*, 1956).

Indigenous plants are perennially available in large quantities and the cost involved in the preparation of these extracts is minimal. More-

over, solvents used for the extraction can be recovered and recycled. The high larvicidal activity of *R. nasutus* and *D. elliptica* and the abundant availability of these plants in tropical and subtropical countries may make them economical for field use in mosquito control programs. Although these plant extracts are less toxic than the synthetic insecticides DDT and temephos, they may eventually replace the conventional synthetic materials if they can be shown to be less polluting to the environment and do not disrupt the balance of the ecosystem.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was funded by the Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University and the Thailand Research Fund (TRF) from The Royal Golden Jubilee PhD Program under the supervision of Assoc Prof Dr Yupha Rongsriyam. We thank Prof Chris Curtis for very valuable comments on the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbott WS. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J Econ Entomol* 1925; 18: 265-7.
- Ameen M-U, Sahajahan RM, Khan HR, Chowdhry AKA. Larvicidal effect of indigenous *Derris elliptica* root on *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Int J Entomol* 1985; 1: 39-43.
- Balandrin M. Natural plant chemicals: sources of industrial and medicinal material. *Science* 1985; 228: 1154-60.
- Brem B, Seger C, Pacher T, Hofer O, Vajrodya S, Greger H. Feeding deterrence and contact toxicity of *Stemona* alkaloids a source of potent natural insecticides. *J Agri Food Chem* 2002; 50: 6383-8.
- Chang MS, Jute N, Lah J. Breeding-site productivity of the swamp forest mosquitoes *Mansonia bonnae* and *Ma. dives* in Sarawak, east Malaysia. *Med Vet Entomol* 1988; 2: 19-28.
- Chavan SR, Desmukh PB, Renapurkar DM. Investigation of indigenous plants for larvicidal activity. *Bull Haffkine Inst* 1979; 10: 21-2.
- Chavasiri W, Kokpol U, Wang-amnauyporn T. Insect antifeedant from *Trigonostemon reidioides* Craib [Abstract]. Bangkok, Thailand: The Fourth Princess Chulabhorn International Science Congress: Chemical in the 21 Century. 1999.
- Chung HS, Hon PM, Lin G, But PP, Dong H. Antitussive activity of *Stemona* alkaloids from *Stemona tuberosa*. *Planta Med* 2003; 69: 914-20.
- Ciccia G, Coussio J, Mongelli E. Insecticidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* larvae of some medicinal South American plants. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2000; 72: 185-9.
- Curtis CF, Lines JD, Lu B, Renz A. Natural and synthetic repellents. In: Curtis CF, ed. *Appropriate technology in vector control*. Florida: CRC Press, 1989: 76-89.
- Curtis CF, Pasteur N. Organophosphate resistance in vector population of the *Culex quinquefasciatus* complex. Geneva: World Health Organization Mineographed Document Series. 1980; 782.
- Dixit RS, Perti SL, Ranganathan SK. Evaluation of *Acorus calamus* Linn.-an insecticidal plant of India. *J Sci Industr Res* 1956; 15: 16-22.
- Finney DJ. *Probit analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- Ismail IAH, Phinchpongse S, Boonrasri P. Responses of *Anopheles minimus* to DDT residual spraying in a cleared forested foothill area in central Thailand. *Acta Trop* 1978; 35: 69-82.
- Lee HL, Chiang YF. Insecticidal activity of herbal plant, *Stemona tuberosa* Lour to mosquito larvae. *Trop Biomed* 1994; 11: 87-90.
- Limsuwan S, Rongsriyam Y, Kerdpibule V, Apiwathnasorn C, Chiang GL, Cheong WH. Rearing techniques for mosquitoes. In: Supavej S, ed. *Entomology, malaria and filariasis-practical entomology malaria and filariasis*. Bangkok: Museum and Reference Center, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, 1987.
- Muthukrishnan J, Pushpalatha E. Effects of plant extracts on fecundity and fertility of mosquitoes. *J Appl Entomol* 2001; 125: 31-5.
- Nagpal BN, Srivastava A, Sharma VP. Control of mosquito breeding using scrapings treated with neem oil. *Indian J Malariol* 1996; 32: 64-9.
- Nontananda V. Problems facing in the interruption of malaria transmission in Thailand. Vector control in Southeast Asia. Singapore: The First SEAMEO Workshop. 1972: 15-6.
- Pedigo LP. *Entomology and pest management*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1996.
- Pitasawat B, Choochote W, Kanjanapothi D, Panthong A, Jitpakdi A, Chaithong U. Screening for larvicidal activity of ten carminative plants. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 1998; 29: 660-2.

- Pushpalatha E, Muthukrishnan J. Efficacy of two tropical plant extracts for the control of mosquitoes. *J Appl Entomol* 1999; 123: 369-73.
- Qureshi SA, Mohiuddin S, Fatima B, Badar Y. Laboratory studies on some plant extracts as mosquito larvicides. *Pakistan J Sci Ind Res* 1986; 29: 361-5.
- Ranaweera SS. Mosquito-larvicidal activity of some Sri Lankan plants. *J Nat Sci Coun Sri Lanka* 1996; 24: 63-70.
- Rodcharoen J, Mulla MS. Resistance development in *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) to the microbial agent *Bacillus sphaericus*. *J Econ Entomol* 1994; 87: 1133-40.
- Satoto TBT. A laboratory study of the biological effects of some medicinal plants on *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* species. Bangkok, Thailand: Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, 1993: 121.
- Saxena SC, Sumithra L. Laboratory evaluation of leaf extract of a new plant to suppress the population of malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi*. *Liston. Curr Sci* 1985; 54: 201-2.
- Seng CM, Chuan HB, Lok CK. Colonization of *Mansonia dives* Schiner in a field insectary. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 1991; 22: 229-34.
- Smitinand T. Thai plant names. Bangkok: Fanny Publishing, 1980.
- Sujatha CH, Vasuki V, Mariappan T, Kalyanasundaram M, Das PK. Evaluation of plant extracts for biological activity against mosquitoes. *Int Pest Control* 1988; 30: 122-4.
- Sukumar K, Perich JM, Boobar RL. Botanical derivatives in mosquito control: A review. *J Am Mosq Cont Assoc* 1991; 7: 210-37.
- Tabashnik BE. Evaluation of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Annu Rev Entomol* 1994; 39: 47-79.
- Virachat N. Effect of *Stemona collinsae* Craib crude extract on some aquatic organisms. Environmental Science. Bangkok, Thailand: Kasetsart University, 1985: 46.
- Wattal BL, Joshi GC, Das M. Roll of agricultural insecticides in precipitating vector resistance. *J Comm Dis* 1981; 13: 71-3.
- WHO. Vector resistance for pesticides. *WHO Tech Rep Ser* 1992; 818.
- WHO. Report of the WHO informal consultation on the evaluation and testing of insecticides. Geneva: WHO, 1996.
- Yang RZ, Tang CS. Plants used for pest control in China: a literature review. *Econ Bot* 1988; 42: 376-406.
- Zebitz CPW. Effect of some crude and azadirachtin-enriched neem (*Azadirachta indica*) seed kernel extracts on larvae of *Aedes aegypti*. *Exp Appl* 1984; 35: 11-6.