## **RESEARCH NOTE**

# MOLECULAR GENETICS ANALYSIS FOR CO-INFECTION OF BRUGIA MALAYI AND BRUGIA PAHANGI IN CAT RESERVOIRS BASED ON INTERNAL TRANSCRIBED SPACER REGION 1

Supatra Areekit, Sintawee Khuchareontaworn, Pornpimon Kanjanavas, Thayat Sriyapai, Arda Pakpitchareon, Paisarn Khawsak, and Kosum Chansiri

#### Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Srinakharinwirot University, Bangkok, Thailand

**Abstract.** This study described the diagnosis of a mixed infection of *Brugia malayi* and *Brugia pahangi* in a single domestic cat using the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) region. Following polymerase chain reaction amplification of the ITS1 region, the 580 bp amplicon was cloned, and 29 white colonies were randomly selected for DNA sequencing and phylogenetic tree construction. A DNA parsimony tree generated two groups of *Brugia* spp with one group containing 6 clones corresponding to *B. pahangi* and the other 23 clones corresponding to *B. malayi*. This indicated that mixed infection of the two *Brugia* spp. *B. pahangi* and *B. malayi*, had occurred in a single host.

#### INTRODUCTION

Lymphatic filaria, *Brugia malayi*, is mainly distributed in many Asian countries. It has been reported that *B. malayi* can infect not only humans but also animals such as cats, monkeys, and dogs (Laing *et al*, 1960; Mak *et al*, 1982; Mak, 1984). These animal reservoirs play an important role as disease carriers and lead to problems with eradication in endemic areas.

Basically, *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* microfilaria cannot be distinguished morphologically by using traditional Giemsa staining. Although acid phosphatase staining is

effective but it is not reproducible and the procedure is complicated (Yen and Mak, 1978). This makes it difficult for diagnosis of mixed infection of *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* in a single cat reservoir. Molecular methods based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) have been introduced for discrimination of *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* (Thanomsub *et al*, 2000; Chansiri *et al*, 2002; Fischer *et al*, 2002; Nuchprayoon *et al*, 2005; Rishniw *et al*, 2006). However, because there is more than 95% homology in nucleotide sequences of various genes in the two species, it is difficult for their application in diagnosis of mixed infection of these two closely related species.

In this study, molecular phylogenetic analysis for identification of mixed *Brugia* spp infection based on internal transcribed spacer region 1 (ITS1) was explored in a single cat reservoir. ITS region has been routinely used for investigation of closely related species of

Correspondence: Dr Kosum Chansiri, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Srinakharinwirot University, Sukhumvit 23, Bangkok 10110, Thailand.

Tel: 66 (0) 2260 2122 Ext 4605; Fax: 66 (0) 2260 0125 E-mail: kosum@swu.ac.th

a wide range of organisms, particularly within groups with controversial taxonomy (Chilton *et al*, 2001; Subbotin *et al*, 2001; Weekers *et al*, 2001; de Rojas *et al*, 2002). The phylogenetic trees inferred from ITS1 nucleotide sequences were analyzed and clearly indicated a mixed infection of *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* in a single host.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Blood samples**

A blood sample was taken from a cat naturally infected with *Brugia* from *B. malayi* endemic area of Narathiwas, Thailand. The blood sample was screened by traditional blood smear technique prior to parasite isolation.

### Parasite isolation

Five ml of microfilaria-infected blood were collected in an EDTA-containing (7 mg/ ml) tube. Blood sample was diluted with an equal volume of phosphate buffered-saline, pH 7.0 (PBS) and filtered through a 5  $\mu$ m polycarbonate membrane (Minipore). Microfilariae were then resuspended in PBS and centrifuged at 6,300g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The pellet containing microfilariae was washed three times with PBS prior to storage at -70°C until use.

### **PCR** amplification

DNA was extracted and purified from the filarial parasites using a commercial DNA purification kit (Gentra) according to manufacture's instructions. PCR amplification of ITS1 was achieved using primers designed from 3´ end of 18S rDNA (AY621466), ITS1F (5´ GGT GAA CCT GCG GAA GGA TC 3´), and 5´ end of 5.8S rDNA (AY621466), ITS1R (5´ AAC CCA ATG GTG CAA TGT GC 3´). The reaction was conducted in 25 µl volume containing 50 ng of genomic DNA in 10x PCR buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.4, 500 mM KCl), 1 µM each of the primers, 100 µM each of dATP, dTTP, dCTP and dGTP, 1.5 mM  $MgCl_2$  and 1.5 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Invitrogen). PCR was performed using a DNA thermal cycler (MJ Research PTC-200 Peltier thermal cycler) for 30 cycles. Each cycle consisted of 94°C for 1 minute, 46°C for 1 minute 72°C for 1 minute. PCR amplicon was analyzed by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel at 110 V for approximately 30 minutes prior to staining in 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml of ethidium bromide solution and observation under ultraviolet light.

### **Cloning and DNA sequencing**

PCR fragment was eluted from the gel and purified using the QIAGEN® Purification system prior to cloning. The amplicon was inserted into pGEM® -T Easy Vector (Invitrogen, Promega) and transfected into *E. coli* strain DH5 $\alpha$  competent cells using electroporation (BIO-RAD MicroPulser<sup>™</sup>). Transformants were selected on LB-ampicillin agar plates containing X-gal (Sigma) and isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Sigma) for 17 hours at 37°C. Twentynine white colonies were randomly selected and recombinant DNA was extracted and purified using QIAGEN® QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit prior to DNA sequencing at Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) using the Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing procedure.

### Phylogenetic tree construction

Complete nucleotide sequences of ITS1 (Table 1) were aligned against those of *B. malayi* (accession numbers AY499507, AY621464, AY621465, AY621466, AY621467 and AY621468), *B. pahangi* (accession numbers AY621469, AY621470, AY621471 and AY621472) and *D. immitis* (accession number AF 217800) using the Clustal X software version 1.83 (multiple sequence alignment) program (Thompson *et al*, 1997) and refined using the manual method. Alignment was further analyzed using PAUP version 4.0b10 for construction of phylogenetic trees based on DNA parsimony (DNA PARS) (Swofford, 2002) using *D. immitis* as the outgroup. Trees were created via stepwise addition by means of maximum likelihood and maximum parsimony methods using a heuristic search setting. Bootstrap values were replicated 1,000 times and computed using PAUP program version 4.0b10.

#### RESULTS

Upon PCR amplification of the complete ITS1 region from *Brugia* genomic DNA, a 580 bp amplicon was obtained (Fig 1). The nucleotide sequences of 29 randomly selected clones containing the ITS1 region were identified using BLAST program, which revealed that they all were *Brugia* spp (Table 1). The ITS1 tree demonstrated that two groups of *Brugia* spp were clearly separated (Fig 2). Group 1 contained six clones of *Brugia* ITS, and *B. pahangi* (accession numbers AY621469, AY621470, AY621471 and AY621472) with a bootstrap value of 86%. Group 2 consisted of 23 clones of *Brugia* ITS,



Fig 1–Agarose gel-electrophoresis profile of PCR amplification of ITS1 region from *Brugia* spp DNA using ITS1 specific primers, ITS1F and ITS1R. Lane M and lane 1 represent 100 bp DNA ladder marker and 580 bp PCR product amplified from *Brugia* spp ITS1 region, respectively.

Parasite name	Specific host/lab host	Regions	Origin	GenBank accession numbers
<i>B. malayi</i> clone No. 55, 53, 26, 22, 37, 55, 39, 63, 32, 62, 40, 49, 50, 57, 58, 64, 60, 66, 20, 51, 33, 65, 17	Cat	ITS1	Narathiwas,Thailand	EU419325- EU419347
<i>B. pahangi</i> No. 61, 43, 36, 46,38, 34	Cat	ITS1	Narathiwas, Thailand	EU419348- EU419353
B. malayi No. AY499507Bm	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY499507
B. malayi No. AY621464Bm	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621464
B. malayi No. AY621465Bm	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621465
B. malayi No. AY621466Bm	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621466
B. malayi No. AY621467Bm	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621467
B. malayi No. AY621468Bm	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621468
B. pahangi No. AY621469Bp	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621469
B. pahangi No. AY621470Bp	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621470
B. pahangi No. AY621471Bp	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621471
B. pahangi No. AY621472Bp	Unknown	ITS1	Thailand	AY621472
D. immitis No. AF217800Di	Unknown	ITS	Taipei, Taiwan	AF217800

Table1 GenBank accession numbers of ITS regions of *Brugia* spp.



Fig 2–DNA PAR tree of ITS1 region from feline *Brugia* spp against *B. malayi*, *B. pahangi*, *B. timori*, and *D. immitis* (outgroup). Trees were produced by heuristic search using PAUP version 4.0b10 and inferred from the complete ITS1 region using a bootstrap re-sampling of the data set. Number nodes represent percentage of times the group occurred out of 100 trees. Branches with less than 50% bootstrap support were collapsed. No significance is placed on the lengths of the branches connecting the species.

and *B. malayi* (accession numbers AY499507, AY621464, AY621465, AY621466, AY621467 and AY621468) with a bootstrap value of 73%.

#### DISCUSSION

This study applied molecular phylogenetic analysis of ITS1 nucleotide sequences for differentiation of Brugia spp in a single cat reservoir from an area endemic for B. malayi. It is known that B. malayi and B. pahangi are very similar morphologically. Species identification by Giemsa staining is not sufficient (Yen and Mak, 1978). In addition, most of the coding and non-coding genes of the two species show a high degree of homology (Williams et al, 1988; Harasawa et al, 1997). Our preliminary study of the 18S rDNA sequences of both species showed that they were more than 95% homologous, and the data failed to generate a phylogenetic tree (data not shown). Similarly, other genes, such as those encoding the cuticle, sheath protein, heat shock protein, trans-spliced exon 1, Hhal repetitive region, and glutathione showed little differences in nucleotide sequences between the two Brugia species (data not shown).

This study exploited the ITS region because it has been reported to be informative for intra-species discrimination or differentiation of very closely related species. The tree inferred from the ITS1 data was able to differentiate between *B*.

*malayi* and *B. pahangi* and indicated the presence of mixed infection in a single cat. This method could be applicable for study of the dynamics of these parasites as well as their epidemiology, control, and eradication in endemic areas.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Mr Sirichai Phantana, Ministry of Public Health, Bangkok, Thailand, for advice regarding sample collection. This project was supported by Srinakharinwirot University (grant number 042/2549).

#### REFERENCES

- Chansiri K, Tejangkura T, Kwaosak P, Sarataphan N, Phantana S, Sukhumsirichart W. PCR based method for identification of zoonostic *Brugia malayi* microfilariae in domestic cats. *Mol Cell Probes* 2002; 16: 129-35.
- Chilton NB, Newton LA, Beveridge I, Gasser RB. Evolutionary relationships of trichostrongyloid nematodes (Strongylida) inferred from ribosomal DNA sequence data. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2001; 19: 367-86.
- de Rojas M, Mora MD, Ubeda JM, Cutillas C, Navajas M, Guevara DC. Phylogenetic relationships in rhinonyssid mites (Acari: Rhinonyssidae) based on ribosomal DNA sequences: insights for the discrimination of closely related species. *Parasitol Res* 2002; 88: 675-81.
- Fischer P, Wibowo H, Pischke S, *et al.* PCR-based detection and identification of the filarial parasite *Brugia timori* from Alor Island, Indonesia. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 2002; 96: 809-21.
- Harasawa R, Maeda R, Nogami S, *et al.* Characteristics of nucleotide sequences flanking the trans-spliced leader SL1 exon in *Dirofilaria immitis, Brugia malayi,* and *Brugia pahangi. J Vet Med Sci* 1997; 59: 1149-52.
- Laing AB, Edeson JF, Wharton RH. Studies on filariasis in Malaya: the vertebrate hosts of *Brugia malayi* and *B. pahangi. Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 1960; 54: 92-9.
- Mak JW. Zoonotic filariasis in Malaysia. *Malays Vet J* 1984; 8: 9-12.
- Mak JW, Cheong WH, Yen PK, Lim PK, Chan WC.

Studies on the epidemiology of subperiodic *Brugia malayi* in Malaysia: problems in its control. *Acta Trop* 1982; 39: 237-45.

- Nuchprayoon S, Junpee A, Poovorawan Y, Scott AL. Detection and differentiation of filarial parasites by universal primers and polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2005; 73: 895-900.
- Rishniw M, Barr SC, Simpson KW, *et al.* Discrimination between six species of canine microfilariae by a single polymerase chain reaction. *Vet Parasitol* 2006; 18; 135: 303-14.
- Subbotin SA, Vierstraete A, De Ley P, *et al.* Phylogenetic relationships within the cyst-forming nematodes (Nematoda, Heteroderidae) based on analysis of sequences from the ITS regions of ribosomal DNA. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2001; 21: 1-16.
- Swofford DL. PAUP\*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods). 4.0b10 ed. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates, 2002.
- Thanomsub BW, Chansiri K, Sarataphan N, Phantana S. Differential diagnosis of human lymphatic filariasis using PCR-RFLP. *Mol Cell Probes* 2000; 14: 41-6.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG. The CLUSTAL\_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1997; 15; 25: 4876-82.
- Weekers PH, De Jonckheere JF, Dumont HJ. Phylogenetic relationships inferred from ribosomal ITS sequences and biogeographic patterns in representatives of the genus *Calopteryx* (Insecta: Odonata) of the West Mediterranean and adjacent West European zone. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2001; 20: 89-99.
- Williams SA, DeSimone SM, McReynolds LA. Species-specific oligonucleotide probes for the identification of human filarial parasites. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 1988; 28: 163-9.
- Yen PK, Mak JW. Histochemical differentiation of Brugia, Wuchereria, Dirofilaria and Breinlia microfilariae. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 1978; 72: 157-62.