MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF VIBRIO VULNIFICUS ISOLATED FROM COCKLES AND PATIENTS IN THAILAND

Wanida Mala¹, Chariya Chomvarin¹, Munirul Alam², Shah M Rashed², Kiatichai Faksri¹ and Sunpetch Angkititrakul³

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand; ²International Center for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,B), Dhaka, Bangladesh; ³Research Group for Preventive Technology in Livestock, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

Abstract. Vibrio vulnificus can cause septicemia, wound infection and gastroenteritis. The most severe infections are related to consumption of raw or undercooked seafood. Virulence genes, biomarkers, antimicrobial resistance, and genetic relationships among V. vulnificus isolated from clinical and environmental sources in Thailand have not hitherto been investigated. ViuB encoding vulnibactin siderophore was detected in 33% and 50% of clinical and environmental (cockle) V. vulnificus isolates, respectively, and capsular polysaccharide allele 1 in 67% and 75% of clinical and environmental isolates, respectively. Analysis of the 16 S rDNA gene revealed that type B was the most frequent in both clinical and environmental isolates (67%) whereas the non type-able (30%) was detected only in environmental isolates. The virulence-correlated gene (vcg) with both type C and E together was the most frequently found among the clinical (67%) and environmental (72%) isolates. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis differentiated V. vulnificus into 2 clusters; most cockle samples (83%) and all clinical isolates grouped into cluster II, indicating a possible clonal relationship between V. vulnificus isolated from patients and cockles. Only 20% of environmental isolates were resistant to ampicillin. These studies suggest that V. vulnificus isolated from cockles has virulence genes similar to those in clinical isolates and thus may have the potential of causing disease.

Keywords: *Vibrio vulnificus,* antibiotic susceptibility, virulence genes, PFGE, Thailand

INTRODUCTION

Vibrio vulnificus is a gram-negative bacterium found in marine and estua-

Correspondence: Chariya Chomvarin, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand.

Tel: +66 (0) 43 363808; Fax: +66 (0) 43 348385 E-mail: chariya@kku.ac.th rine environments worldwide (Vickery *et al*, 2007). Consumption of raw or undercooked seafood or exposure of open wounds to contaminated water are the main causes of infection (Grau *et al*, 2008). A high mortality rate from septicemia caused by *V. vulnificus* is related to the consumption of oysters or seafood (Oliver, 2005; Jones and Oliver, 2009), especially in high risk populations, *ie*, those with liver

disease, diabetes and/or immunocompromising diseases (Hsueh *et al*, 2004).

V. vulnificus possesses several virulence factors; eg, capsular polysaccharide (CPS), lipopolysaccharide, hemolysin, siderophores, exotoxins, pili and flagella (Strom and Paranjpye, 2000; Gulig et al, 2005). Extracellular V. vulnificus hemolysin A (VvhA) is encoded by *vvhA* (Wright and Morris, 1991), having hemolytic activity and cytotoxicity (Gulig et al, 2005). VvhA is used as a species-specific gene marker for detection of V. vulnificus (Han and Ge, 2010), but V. vulnificus produces vulnibactin siderophore encoded by *viuB*, which is required for iron acquisition (Litwin et al, 1996) and detection of viuB is more strongly associated with clinical than environmental isolates (Panicker et al, 2004).

V. vulnificus subtypes can be differentiated using sequence variations of such highly correlated biomarkers as the virulence-correlated gene (vcg), 16 S rDNA and CPS operon (Nilsson et al, 2003; Rosche et al, 2005; Chatzidaki-Livanis et al, 2006). Vcg has been used for the differentiation of clinical (C type) and environmental (E type) isolates (Rosche *et al*, 2005). Among the 16 S rDNA sequences, almost all environmental isolates are of the A type, whereas clinical isolates are mostly the B type (Nilsson et al, 2003). CPS operon can also be used to distinguish between clinical isolates (CPS allele 1) and environmental isolates (CPS allele 2) (Chatzidaki-Livanis et al, 2006).

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) has been used to distinguish among strains from different sources (Jeong *et al*, 2011), has good discriminatory power for subtyping *V. parahaemolyticus* (Chowdhury *et al*, 2000; Martinez-Urtaza *et al*, 2004) and is used to identify genetic dissimilarities in

V. vulnificus (Jeong et al, 2011).

In Thailand, cockles are mostly raised in the south and distributed around the country (Kanjanasopa et al, 2011; Kiratisin et al, 2012). A study to elucidate the relationship between clinical and environmental isolates has not yet been conducted for V. vulnificus in Thailand. The objectives of this study were to examine whether V. vulnificus isolated from clinical and environmental sources carried the same virulence-associated genes, to compare the correlation of PFGE patterns of V. vulnificus isolates from different sources, and to determine the antimicrobial resistance of V. vulnificus isolated from different sources in Thailand.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolates and collection sources

A total of 44 isolates of V. vulnificus were examined, including 4 and 40 clinical and environmental isolates, respectively. The clinical isolates were from blood samples from Bangkok and Khon Kaen, Thailand, and V. vulnificus ATCC 27562 (the reference strain) was originally isolated from an American patient with a wound infection. The 40 environmental isolates were from 143 cockle samples collected from three cockle farms in Surat Thani (southern Thailand), 5 markets in Nong Khai (Northeast Thailand, bordering Lao PDR) and 5 markets in Khon Kaen (a primary hub in Northeast Thailand) (Senachai et al, 2013). This study was approved by institutional Human Ethics Committee (HE 551043).

Isolation and identification of V. vulnificus

In brief, 250 g of each cockle sample were cut into small pieces and suspended in 250 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), then 20 ml (10 g) of cockle suspension was added to 80 ml of alkaline peptone water (10X APW; Oxoid, Basingtroke, Hampshire, England). The cockle suspenion in APW was incubated at 37°C for 6 hours, and a 5 laliquot was streaked onto thiosulfate-citrate-bilesalt-sucrose (TCBS) agar (Eiken, Tokyo, Japan) and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. Suspected *V. vulnificus* colonies were identified using standard biochemical tests (Ramamurthy and Nair, 2007).

PCR analysis of V. vulnificus virulence genes

DNA was prepared using the boiling method according to Bilung et al (2005). In brief, V. vulnificus was grown overnight in brain heart infusion (BHI) with shaking at 37°C. Following sedimentation (4,000g for 5 minutes), the bacterial pellet was resuspended in sterile distilled water, boiled for 10 minutes, cooled on ice, sedimented (2,000g for 5 minutes) and the supernatant was used as a template for PCR assay. PCR conditions, primers and expected amplicon sizes are shown in Table 1. PCR (Veriti Thermal Cycler, Applied Biosystems, FosterCity, CA) was conducted in a total volume of 25 l containing 2.5 l of 1X PCR buffer, 200 M dNTPs, 0.3 M each primer, 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase and 300 ng of genomic DNA. Amplicons were separated by 1.5% agarose-gel electrophoresis and visualized under UV light (Bio-Rad GelTM Doc XR+ Imager) after ethidium bromide staining.

PFGE

PFGE was performed according to CDC protocol for *V. cholerae* (CDC, 2009). In brief, *V. vulnificus* DNA was digested with 40 U *Not*I (Promega, Southampton, UK) at 37°C for 4 hours. *Salmonella* Braenderup H9812 was used as a size standard marker. PFGE was performed in 1% SeaKem Gold agarose (FMC, Lonza, Rockland, ME) in 0.5X Tris-borate-EDTA buffer using a CHEF DRIII system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) at 6.0 V/cm. Pulse times were ramped in block 1 at 2-10 seconds for 13 hours and in block 2 at 20-25 seconds for 6 hours. Cluster analysis of PFGE patterns was performed using BioNumerics (Version 4.6) software. The genetic relationships were determined using Dice coefficient and dendrograms created using unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility of V. vulnificus was tested using disk diffusion method according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2009). The commercial disks (Oxoid, Unipath, Basingstoke, England) included ampicillin (10 g), cefotaxime (30 g), chloramphenicol (30 g), ciprofloxacin (5 g), gentamicin (10 g), nalidixic acid (30 g), norfloxacin (10 g), ofloxacin (5 g), tetracycline (30 g) and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 g). The control was Escherichia coli ATCC 25922. Diameter of inhibition zones was measured and interpreted according to CLSI requirements.

Statistical analysis

Correlations between PFGE clusters and collection sources, and PFGE clusters and virulence genes (16S rDNA and *vcg* types) were analyzed using chi-square or Fisher's exact test. A *p*-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Virulence and associated genes of *V. vul-nificus* isolated from patients and cockles

All clinical and environmental isolates possessed *vvh*A, confirming that all isolates were *V. vulnificus*. The *viuB*, CPS

Primers é	ınd amplicons used	Table 1 in PCR detection of species-specific and viru	llence genes of <i>V. vu</i>	lhificus.
Gene	Amplicon size (bp)	Primer sequence	PCR condition	Reference
vohA	205	F-5'- TTCCAACTTCAAACCGAACTATGAC -3' R-5'- ATTCCAGTCGATGCGAATACGTTG -3'	94°C, 30 sec; 60°C, 30 sec; 72°C, 45 sec (35 cycles)ª	Brasher <i>et al</i> , 1998
viuB	504	F-5'- GGTTGGGCACTAAAGGCAGATATA -3' R-5'-CGGCAGTGGACTAATACGCAGC -3'		Panicker et al, 2004
CPS allele1	342	F-5'-TTTGGGATTTGAAAGGCTTG -3' D 5' CTUCCTTTUCCC AATTTUC AT 3'	0100 1 5000	Han <i>et al</i> , 2009
CPS allele 2	152	F-5'-'TTCCATCAAACATCGCAGAA -3' R-5'-'CTTTTGTCCGGCTTCTATCG -3'	77 °C, 1 min, 20 °C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min (35 eveles) ^b	Han <i>et al</i> , 2009
16S rDNA type A	893	F-5'- AGCTTCGGCTCAAAGAGG -3' R-5'-CCAGCGTCCCAAAGAGG -3'		Han and Ge, 2010
16S rDNA type B	893	F-5'-GCCTACGGCCCAAGAGG -3' R-5'-CCTGCGTCTCCGCTGGCT -3'		Warner <i>et al</i> , 2008a
vcgC	66	F-5'- AGCTGCCGATAGCGATCT -3' R-5'-TGAGCTAACGCGAGTAGTGAG -3'		Modified from Warner <i>et al</i> , 2008b
vcgE	278	F-5'-CTCAATTGACAATGATCT -3' R-5'-CGCTTAGGATGATCGGTG -3'		Modified from Rosche <i>et al</i> , 2005
^a PCR condition for ^z	whA and viuB; ^b PCR o	ondition for CPS allele 1, CPS allele 2, vcgC, vcgE, 1	6S rRNA type A and ty	rpe B.

5 S S s 1

Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health



Fig 1–PCR amplicons of the virulence genes in *V. vulnificus*. Lane M, 1 kb size marker; lane 1, *vvh*A, a species-specific gene (205 bp); lane 2, *viuB* gene (504 bp); lane 3, CPS allele1 (342 bp); lane 4, CPS allele 2 (152 bp); lane 5, *vcgC* (99 bp); lane 6, *vcgE* (278 bp); lane 7, 16 S rDNA type A (839 bp); lane 8, 16 S rDNA type B (839 bp).

allele 1 and CPS allele 2 were detected by PCR in 33, 67 and 33% of the clinical isolates compared to 50, 75 and 22%, respectively in the environmental isolates (Fig 1). The 16 S rDNA analysis revealed that 67% of clinical isolates were type B while 33% were type AB, whereas 67% of environmental isolates were type B, 2% type AB and 30% un-type-able. The most prevalent type of *vcg* was type C and E, detected in 67% and 72% of the clinical and environmental isolates, respectively (Table 2).

PFGE of *V. vulnificus* isolated from patients and cockles

Twenty-two *V. vulnificus* isolates, including 4 clinical (from 3 Thai patients and 1 reference strain) and 18 environmental (from cockles from Surat Thani, Nong Khai and Khon Kaen) isolates, were selected for differentiation using PFGE, which comprised 12-21 bands with individual banding patterns (Fig 2). V. vulnificus isolates could be subdivided into 2 clusters (I and II) at 50% similarity level based on a cluster analysis (Fig 2). The majority of V. vulnificus isolates (86%) came from patients and cockles (cluster II) while 3 isolates (14%) came from cockles purchased at Khon Kaen market (cluster I). A significant association was revealed between PFGE clusters and collection sites (markets and provinces) (p = 0.023), but there is no association between V. vulnificus clusters and virulence factors (viuB and CPS).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

All *V. vulnificus* isolated from patients and the majority (80 %) of cockle isolates were sensitive to all antimicrobial agents, except 8 of the latter that were resistant to ampicillin.

DISCUSSION

We investigated 44 isolates of *V. vulnificus* in Thailand to understand its pathogenesis, antimicrobial resistance and genetic relationship between clinical and environmental sources (cockles collected from 3 cockle farms in Surat Thani, and samples from markets in Nong Khai and Khon Kaen).

One-third of the *V. vulnificus* clinical isolates and 50% of the environmental ones were positive for *viuB*, and detection of CPS allele 1 was slightly higher (75%) among environmental isolates than the clinical (67%) isolates. Although the number of clinical specimens was small, our results did not correspond to previous studies. In Japan *viuB* is more

				S		`		1					
		Species- specific gene (%)	Virulence gene (%)					Biomark	ers (%)				
Source	Number 2.f				Sdi		16S rRN	VA type			prcg	type	
	or isolates	олла	gnia	CPS1	CPS2	Α	р	AB	Non- typeable	υ	ш	Ğ	Non- ypeable
ATCC 27562 (<i>n</i> = 1)	-	+	+	1	+	+	1	1	1	1	+	1	Г
Clinical isolates	1	+	ı	ı	+	ı	+	ī	ı	ı	ı	+	ı
(n = 3)	1	+	+	ı	+	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	I	+	ı
	1	+	+	+	ı	ı	I	+	ı	+	ı	ı	ı
Total	Э	3 (100)	1 (33)	2 (67)	1 (33)	0	2 (67)	1 (33)	0	1 (33)	0	2 (67)	0
Environmental	6	+	+	+	I	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	I	+	ı
isolates $(n = 40)$	9	+	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	I	ı	ı	+	ı
	Ŋ	+	ı	+	+	ı	+	ı	I	ı	ı	+	ı
	2	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı
	2	+	ı	+	ı	ı	·	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	+
	2	+	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	+
	2	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	+
	2	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı
	2	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	+
	1	+	+	+	+	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+
	1	+	+	+	+	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+
	1	+	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı
	1	+	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı
	1	+	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı
	1	+	+	+	ı	ı	I	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı
	1	+	ı	ı	+	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı
	1	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı
Total	40	40 (100)	20 (50)	30 (75)	9 (22)	0	27 (67)	1 (2)	12 (30)	2 (5)	0	29 (72)	9 (22)

Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health



Fig 2–PFGE-*Not*I restriction patterns for *V. vulnificus* isolated from patients and cockles. Dendrogram designated by Bionumeric software illustrates the relationships between the isolates. The number following each place represents the market in that province.

commonly detected among clinical than environmental isolates (Yokochi *et al*, 2013). In our study, most of the environmental isolates possessed *viu*B and the CPS operon, supporting the hypothesis that human-pathogenic *V. vulnificus* and environmental *V. vulnificus* strains cannot be distinguished because some of the latter strains can become fully virulent in susceptible humans (Strom and Paranjpye, 2000).

The 16 S rDNA type is correlated with virulence and source (Nilsson *et al*, 2003). Our results revealed that 16 S rDNA type B predominated in both clinical (67%) and environmental (67%) isolates and non-typeable types were found in only environmental isolates. Type A 16 S rDNA was only found in *V. vulnificus* ATCC 27562.

Likewise, Kim and Jeong (2001) reported that 65% of environmental isolates were of 16 S rDNA. In our study, vcg type C and E together were the most common in both clinical and environmental isolates, while the E type alone was not found in environmental isolates. Our results are in disagreement with a previous study that reported 90% of clinical isolates are vcg type C, while 93% of environmental isolates vcg type E (Bogard and Oliver, 2007), indicating that V. vulnificus may transfer genes among clinical and environmental strains. There is no significant difference among genotypes isolated from clinical and environmental sources, corresponding to a previous study that suggested the discrepancies in genotypes may be due to differences in geographic regions, even though from the same country (Yokochi *et al*, 2013).

Although our results showed that both clinical and environmental isolates possessed many virulence genes however, diseases caused by *V. vulnificus* may depend on other factors, such as dose of infection and host factors, rather than simply on the specific types of bacterial isolates (Jones and Oliver, 2009). Additionally, there are no unique virulent biomarkers to differentiate among clinical and environmental isolates because those isolates showed equal virulence in animals and cell culture models (DePaola *et al*, 2003).

PFGE distinguished the 4 clinical and 18 environmental isolates of V. vulnificus into 2 clusters. All isolates showed individual PFGE profiles with only 50% PFGE similarity, indicating that there is a high genetic diversity within the subgroups of V. vulnificus (Tamplin et al, 1996). PFGE cluster I was found in 3 cockle isolates purchased from 2 Khon Kaen markets (Khon Kaen markets 1 and 5) whereas PFGE cluster II was associated with the remaining isolates. The significant association between PFGE clusters and collection sites (markets and provinces) indicated that the 3 isolates of PFGE in cluster I might have come from the same farm at the same time. Similarly, as most of the cockle isolates (15/18 samples; 83%) and all the clinical isolates (3 Thai isolates and 1 American strain) were in cluster II, this indicates that they may have originated from a related clone.

In Thailand, several bacteria including the genus *Vibrio* have become resistant to antimicrobial agents (Chomvarin *et al*, 2013). *V. vulnificus*, as shown in this and previous studies (Han *et al*, 2007), is still susceptible *in vitro* to many antimicrobial agents. Thus, the current antimicrobial drugs used are effective for the treatment of *V. vulnificus* infection. As the most common diseases caused by *V. vulnificus* are septicemia and wound infection (Jones and Oliver, 2009), prompt antimicrobial treatment is recommended (Bross *et al*, 2007; Han *et al*, 2007). Ceftazidime in combination with doxycycline and ciprofloxacin with cefotaxime has also been effective (Bross *et al*, 2007; Horseman and Surani, 2011).

In summary, *V. vulnificus* isolated from cockles in Thailand possess many virulence genes that have disease-causing potential. There is a genetic relationship between *V. vulnificus* isolated from patients and cockles. The current antimicrobial agents in routine use are reassuringly still effective.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a grant from the National Research University Project (NRU), Khon Kaen University, Thailand. The authors thank Professor Yukifumi Nawa for suggestions in the preparation of the manuscript, staff of the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory Unit, Srinagarind Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University and Khon Kaen Hospital for collecting the clinical bacterial isolates and Mr Bryan Roderick Hamman for assistance with the English of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Bilung LM, Radu S, Bahaman AR, *et al.* Detection of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in cockle (*Anadara granosa*) by PCR. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2005; 252: 85-8.
- Bogard RW, Oliver JD. Role of iron in human serum resistance of the clinical and envi-

ronmental *Vibrio vulnificus* genotypes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007; 73: 7501-5.

- Brasher CW, DePaola A, Jones DD, Bej AK. Detection of microbial pathogens in shellfish with multiplex PCR. *Curr Microbiol* 1998; 37: 101-7.
- Bross MH, Soch K, Morales R, Mitchell RB. *Vibrio vulnificus* infection: diagnosis and treatment. *Am Fam Physician* 2007; 76: 539-44.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Rapid standardized laboratory protocol for molecular subtyping of *Vibrio cholerae* by pulsed- field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Bethesda: CDC, 2009. [Cited 2013 Jan 12]. Available from: URL: <u>http:// www.pulsenet-china.net/pdf/Vibrio%20</u> <u>cholerae-PFGE.pdf</u>
- Chatzidaki-Livanis M, Jones MK, Wright AC. Genetic variation in the *Vibrio vulnificus* group 1 capsular polysaccharide operon. *J Bacteriol* 2006; 188: 1987-98.
- Chomvarin C, Johura FT, Mannan SB JW, *et al.* Drug response and genetic properties of *Vibrio cholerae* associated with endemic cholera in north-eastern Thailand, 2003-2011. J Med Microbiol 2013; 62: 599-609.
- Chowdhury NR, Chakraborty S, Ramamurthy T, et al. Molecular evidence of clonal Vibrio parahaemolyticus pandemic strains. Emerg Infect Dis 2000; 6: 631-6.
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; nineteenth information supplement (M100-S19). Wayne: CLSI, 2009.
- DePaola A, Nordstrom JL, Dalsgaard A, et al. Analysis of Vibrio vulnificus from market oysters and septicemia cases for virulence markers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003; 69: 4006-11.
- Grau BL, Henk MC, Garrison KL, *et al.* Further characterization of *Vibrio vulnificus* rugose variants and identification of a capsular and rugose exopolysaccharide gene cluster. *Infect Immun* 2008; 76: 1485-97.
- Gulig PA, Bourdage KL, Starks AM. Molecular

pathogenesis of *Vibrio vulnificus*. J Microbiol 2005; 43 (no. S): 118-31.

- Han F, Ge B. Multiplex PCR assays for simultaneous detection and characterization of *Vibrio vulnificus* strains. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2010; 51: 234-40.
- Han F, Pu S, Hou A, Ge B. Characterization of clinical and environmental types of *Vibrio vulnificus* isolates from Louisiana oysters. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2009; 6: 1251-8.
- Han F, Walker RD, Janes ME, Prinyawiwatkul W, Ge B. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *Vibrio vulnificus* isolates from Louisiana Gulf and retail raw oysters. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007; 73: 7096-8.
- Horseman MA, Surani S. A comprehensive review of *Vibrio vulnificus*: an important cause of severe sepsis and skin and softtissue infection. *Int J Infect Dis* 2011; 15: e157-66.
- Hsueh PR, Lin CY, Tang HJ, et al. Vibrio vulnificus in Taiwan. Emerg Infect Dis 2004; 10: 1363-8.
- Jeong HS, Kim JY, Jeon SM, Park MS, Kim SH. Genotypic characterization of *Vibrio vulnificus* clinical isolates in Korea. *Public Health Res Perspect* 2011; 2: 8-14.
- Jones MK, Oliver JD. *Vibrio vulnificus*: disease and pathogenesis. *Infect Immun* 2009; 77: 1723-33.
- Kanjanasopa D, Pimpa B, Chowpongpang S. Occurrence of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in cockle (*Anadara granosa*) harvested from the south coast of Thailand. *Songklanakarin J Sci Technol* 2011; 33: 295-300.
- Kim MS, Jeong HD. Development of 16S rRNA targeted PCR methods for the detection and differentiation of *Vibrio vulnificus* in marine environments. *Aquaculture* 2001; 193: 199-211.
- Kiratisin P, Leelaporna A, Songruchi T. *Vibrio vulnificus* septicemia in Thailand: A 12-year case series and report of two fatal massive rhabdomyolysis cases. *Asian Biomed* 2012; 6: 495-502.
- Litwin CM, Rayback TW, Skinner J. Role of

catechol siderophore synthesis in *Vibrio vulnificus* virulence. *Infect Immun* 1996; 64: 2834-8.

- Martinez-Urtaza J, Lozano-Leon A, DePaola A, et al. Characterization of pathogenic Vibrio parahaemolyticus isolates from clinical sources in Spain and comparison with Asian and North American pandemic isolates. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42: 4672-8.
- Nilsson WB, Paranjype RN, DePaola A, Strom MS. Sequence polymorphism of the *16S rRNA* gene of *Vibrio vulnificus* is a possible indicator of strain virulence. *J Clin Microbiol* 2003; 41: 442-6.
- Oliver JD. Wound infections caused by *Vibrio vulnificus* and other marine bacteria. *Epi- demiol Infect* 2005; 133: 383-91.
- Panicker G, Vickery MC, Bej AK. Multiplex PCR detection of clinical and environmental strains of *Vibrio vulnificus* in shellfish. *Can J Microbiol* 2004; 50: 911-22.
- Ramamurthy T, Nair GB. Foodborne pathogenic *Vibrios*. In: Simjee S, ed. Foodborne diseases. Totowa: Humana Press, 2007: 115-56.
- Rosche TM, Yano Y, Oliver JD. A rapid and simple PCR analysis indicates there are two subgroups of *Vibrio vulnificus* which correlate with clinical or environmental isolation. *Microbiol Immunol* 2005; 49: 381-9.
- Senachai P, Chomvarin C, Namwat W, et al. Application of tetraplex PCR for detection of Vibrio cholerae, V. parahaemolyticus, V. vulnificus and V. mimicus in cockle. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2013; 44: 249-58.
- Strom MS, Paranjpye RN. Epidemiology and

pathogenesis of *Vibrio vulnificus*. *Microbes Infect* 2000; 2: 177-88.

- Tamplin ML, Jackson JK, Buchrieser C, *et al.* Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and ribotype profiles of clinical and environmental *Vibrio vulnificus* isolates. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1996; 62: 3572-80.
- Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV. How to select and interpret molecular strain typing methods for epidemiological studies of bacterial infections: a review for healthcare epidemiologists. Molecular Typing Working Group of the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1997; 18: 426-39.
- Vickery MC, Nilsson WB, Strom MS, Nordstrom JL, DePaola A. A real-time PCR assay for the rapid determination of 16S rRNA genotype in *Vibrio vulnificus*. J Microbiol Methods 2007; 68: 376-84.
- Warner E, Oliver JD. Population structures of two genotypes of *Vibrio vulnificus* in oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) and seawater. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008a; 74: 80-5.
- Warner EB, Oliver JD. Multiplex PCR assay for detection and simultaneous differentiation of genotypes of *Vibrio vulnificus* biotype 1. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2008b; 5: 691–3.
- Wright AC, Morris JG. The extracellular cytolysin of *Vibrio vulnificus*: inactivation and relationship to virulence in mice. *Infect Immun* 1991; 59: 192-7.
- Yokochi N, Tanaka S, Matsumoto K, *et al.* Distribution of virulence markers among *Vibrio vulnificus* isolates of clinical and environmental origin and regional characteristics in Japan. *PLos One* 2013; 8: 847-56.