MOST PROBABLE NUMBER-POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION-BASED QUANTIFICATION OF ENTEROTOXIGENIC ESCHERICHIA COLI FROM RAW MEATS IN SOUTHERN THAILAND

Supawadi Phetkhajorn^{1*}, Siriwan Sirikaew^{2*}, Pattamarat Rattanachuay³ and Pharanai Sukhumungoon²

¹Department of Biology, ²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla; ³Department of Pre-clinic, Faculty of Science and Technology, Prince of Songkla University, Pattani campus, Thailand

Abstract. The detection of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) in food, especially raw meat, has rarely been documented in Thailand, although the presence of this bacterial pathogen is considered of important public health concern. The quantity of ETEC in 150 meat samples collected from fresh food markets in southern Thailand were determined using a most probable number (MPN)-PCR-based quantification approach. ETEC contamination of raw chicken, pork and beef samples was 42%, 25% and 12%, respectively (a significant difference between chicken and beef, *p*<0.05). The maximum MPN/g value for enterotoxin gene *est*-positive ETEC from pork and *elt*-positive ETEC from chicken were > 1,100 MPN/g, but the range of MPN/g values was greater for ETEC from chicken than from pork or beef. ETEC from raw chicken meat contained significantly more *elt*- than *est*-positives (*p*<0.05). Thus, a significant proportion of raw meat, in particular chicken, sold in fresh food markets in southern Thailand harbors ETEC and poses a potential threat to consumer health.

Keywords: enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, meat, most probable number PCR, Thailand

INTRODUCTION

Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* plays a role as one of the causative agents of gastrointestinal illnesses. Among the six categories of *E. coli, viz* enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*

Tel: 66 (0) 74 288322; Fax: 66 (0) 74 446661 E-mail: pharanai82@gmail.com

*Contributed equally to the work.

(EHEC), enteroinvasive *E. coli* (EIEC), enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) and diffusely adherent *E. coli* (DAEC), ETEC is the most common especially in developing countries (Anonymous, 1999). ETEC is associated with morbidity and mortality in children under five years of age, as well as travelers to the endemic areas (Subekti *et al*, 2003; Anonymous, 2006). After the first report of ETEC in Calcutta, India, in 1956 (De *et al*, 1956), a gradual increase of ETEC cases has been documented, with approximately 800,000 cases of death attributed to ETEC annually (Turner *et al*, 2006).

Correspondence: Pharanai Sukhumungoon, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90112, Thailand.

Pathology caused by ETEC is due to the heat-labile (LT) or heat-stable enterotoxin (ST) or both (Stacy-Phipps et al. 1995). Genes encoding LT (*elt*) and ST (*est*) are located on a transmissible plasmid. LT is a 84 kDa oligomeric protein composed of one A-subunit and five B-subunits (Spangler, 1992), and is structurally, functionally and immunologically similar to the closely related cholera toxin (CT) produced by Vibrio cholerae O1. LT induces a watery diarrhea via irreversibly binding to GM1 ganglioside, resulting in an increase of intracellular cyclic AMP level followed by an imbalance of the bowel's absorptive capacity. On the other hand, ST is a low molecular weight, non-antigenic protein of 72 amino acids. Its cysteine-rich pre-propeptide is processed during export to form a mature active toxin of 18 to 19 amino acids (So and McCarthy, 1980). ST binds reversibly to guanylate cyclase, leading to increased levels of cyclic GMP and, as with LT, ST engenders outpouring of diarrheal stool through a similar mechanism as LT (Qadri et al, 2005).

In addition to the enterotoxins, the presence of colonization factors (CFs) is also important for ETEC pathogenesis. To effectively engender illness, ETEC establishes adherence to the epithelium of the small intestine by means of CFs prior to attacking the cells by secreting enterotoxins. More than 23 different human ETEC CFs are currently known (Gaastra and Svennerholm, 1996), but additional CFs may exist (Nazarian et al, 2014). A wide variety of CF antigens (CFAs), coli surface antigens (CSs) and putative CFs (PCFs) have been reported (all nomenclatures except CFAs are currently designated as CS) (Qadri et al, 2005), the most common are CFA/I, CS1-6 and CS21 (Subekti et al, 2003; Isidean et al, 2011; Rodas et al, 2011; Svennerholm and Lundgren, 2012).

The presence of enterotoxins and various CFs of ETEC are crucial for human illness. In southern Thailand, Kalnauwakul et al (2007) have reported the presence of ETEC in 13 of 530 (2.5%) diarrheal stool samples collected at Songklanagarind Hospital, Hat Yai City, Songkhla Province. Of these 13 isolates, 7 are *est*-positive and 5 *elt*-positive ETEC, and one carries both genes. In addition, of 9 isolates subjected for susceptibility to antimicrobial agents. all isolates exhibited resistance to 6 of 10 common antimicrobial agents tested. The diarrheal patients undoubtedly obtained ETEC by consumption of contaminated water or food (Blanco et al, 1995; Daniel et al, 2000; Huerta et al, 2000), and one of the potential sources of ETEC is raw meat (Lee et al, 2009; Kagambèga et al, 2012).

However, data regarding ETEC quantity in raw meat in Thailand are insufficient. Thus, this study quantified the natural contamination of ETEC in meat sold in retail outlets in southern Thailand.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

A total of 182 meat samples comprising of chicken (MPN-PCR, n=64; isolation process, n=16), pork (MPN-PCR, n=36; isolation process, n=8) and beef (MPN-PCR, n=50; isolation process, n=8), were purchased from various fresh food markets in Hat Yai City, Songkhla Province, Thailand during March to June 2014. All samples were processed within 2 hours after purchased.

Isolation of ETEC from meat samples

Ten grams of meat from each sample were homogenized with 90 ml of tryptic soy broth (TSB) (Difco, Detroit, MI) and sedimented. The supernatant was incubated at 37°C for 6 hours without shaking and 1 ml aliquot was centrifuged at 5,000g for 1 minute. The pellet (bacterial cells) was suspended in 100 μ l of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (PBS). One loopful of 10⁻²-diluted (in PBS) suspension was streaked on eosin-methylene blue agar (EMB) and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. Ten colonies (with metallic sheen character) were selected randomly for detection of virulence genes as described below.

Most probable number (MPN)-PCR-based quantification of ETEC

MPN-PCR was performed as described previously (Pannuch et al, 2014). In brief, 1 ml aliquots of 10-fold, 100-fold and 1,000-fold diluted homogenized meat supernatant were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, then boiled for 10 minutes and placed on ice for 10 minutes prior to centrifugation at 11,000g for 5 minutes. A 10-fold diluted (in sterile deionized water) aliquot of the supernatant was used as PCR template. Oligonucleotide primers used for amplification of elt and est and their annealing temperatures are shown in Table 1. PCR amplification was carried out in 25 µl reaction mixture comprising 1X GoTag Flexi Green buffer, 3.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM dNTPs, 0.4 µM each primer pair, 0.5 U GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI) and 2 µl of DNA template. After 35 amplification cycles (Table 1), amplicons were analyzed by 1.0% agarose gel-electrophoresis and staining with ethidium bromide and visualized in an ATTO darkroom WSE-5200 Printpraph 2M gel imaging system (Tokyo, Japan). Each experiment was conducted in triplicate. ETEC strains PSU192 and PSU237 was used as positive strain for amplification of est (190 bp) and elt (450 bp) respectively. MPN value was computerized by MPN software, VB6 version (http://www. i2workout.com/mcuriale/mpr/).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows software, version 11.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Pearson chi-square (X^2) was used to compare the relationship between the presence of ETEC and type of meat, and Mann-Whitney *U* test was employed to determine the difference in ETEC existence between groups. Student's *t*-test was applied to determine the difference between *est*- and *elt*-positive ETEC. Level of significance is set at a *p*<0.05.

RESULTS

In order to quantify the amounts of ETEC in raw meat, the MPN-PCR approach was applied to 150 meat samples (chicken, pork and beef). ETEC was found in 27/64 (42%) of chicken meat samples, which is insignificantly higher than that in pork (9/36, 25%) (p>0.05). However, it was found significantly higher than in beef which exhibited only 12.0% (6 of 50 samples) (p<0.05) (Table 2).

Focusing on each type of meat, in chicken, 7/64 (11%) of ETEC-positive samples carried est, with the highest MPN/g value of 93, while *elt*-positive ETEC was detected in 20/64 (31%) samples, with the highest MPN/g value of >1,100 (Table 2). For pork samples, est-positive ETEC was found in 4/36 (11%) samples, with the highest MPN/g value of > 1,100(found in one sample), and 5/36 (14%) eltpositive ETEC were detected, with maximum MPN/g value of 9.2. As for the beef samples, est-positive ETEC was not found, but *elt*-positive ETEC was present in 6/50 samples (12%), with the highest MPN/g value of 150. However, for ETEC isolation performed in late June, 2014, additional 320 isolates were recovered from 32 meat samples (chicken, n = 16; pork, n = 8; and beef, n = 8). Among 320 ETEC isolates

| CONTRACT ACLAN | I TROP MED | PUBLIC HEALTH |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| J OUTHEAST ASIAN | J I ROP IVIED | LOBPIC LEVELIL |

(from 32 samples), no enterotoxin genes were detected (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Although ETEC, one of diarrheagenic pathogens, has been studied in humans in Thailand for at least three decades (Rasrinaul et al, 1988; Echeverria et al, 1994; Ratchtrachenchai et al, 2004), nonetheless, the presence of ETEC in food samples were less reported particularly in raw meat. In this study, we found that over 25% of raw meat sold in fresh food markets in southern Thailand were contaminated with ETEC. This high rate of ETEC detection in our survey is at odds with previous reports from other regions of Thailand. Of 390 raw meat samples collected in Bangkok, located in central Thailand, using Y-1 adrenal cell and suckling mouse assay, ETEC was detected in 2% and 8% of pork and beef, respectively (Rasrinaul et al, 1988). Echeverria et al (1994), using the same procedure, reported the presence of ETEC in 0.7% of 135 beef samples and none in 133 pork or 131 chicken samples from Ratchaburi Province, western Thailand. In these two studies, only 1 g of each meat sample (compared with 10 g in our study) was analyzed and thus may provide an under estimation of the actual level of ETEC contamination in those meat samples.

Even though raw meat is a potential source of ETEC infection (Lee et al, 2009; Bonyadian et al, 2011), reports of the presence in such a source have varied depending on countries where the investigations were conducted. A study from Korea reported 14% prevalence of pathogenic E. coli in fresh meat collected in several provinces, with ETEC constituting 43.6% (Lee et al, 2009). On the other hand, in Bogota, Colombia, no ETEC was detected in

| Oligonucleotide primers used in the study. | Name Sequence (5' to 3') PCR condition Temp ^o C (time in minute) Amplicon | Pre- Denaturation Annealing Extension Finalization (bp) heat | TW20 GGCGACAGATTATACCGTGC 95 (3) 94 (1) 50 (1) 72 (1) 72 (5) 450 | JW14 ATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--|
| | Name | | TW20 | JW14 JW7 | |
| | Target | Berlie | elt | est | |

Table 1

| Type of meat | Pathotype | No. of positive sample/total sample (%) | Percentage ETEC positive sample | MPN/g | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | | | min ^a | med | max | | | |
| Beef (<i>n</i> =50) | est-positive ETEC | 0/50 (0) | 12 ^b | <3 | <3 | <3 | | | |
| | elt-positive ETEC | 6/50 (12.0) | | <3 | 3 to 36 | 150 | | | |
| Pork (<i>n</i> =36) | <i>est</i> -positive ETEC | 4/36 (11) ^b | 25 ^{B,b} | <3 | 3 to 15 | >1,100 | | | |
| | <i>elt</i> -positive ETEC | 5/36 (14) ^b | | <3 | 3 to 9.2 | 9.2 | | | |
| Chicken (<i>n</i> =64) | <i>est</i> -positive ETEC | 7/64 (11) ^b | 42 ^B | <3 | 3 to 15 | 93 | | | |
| | <i>elt</i> -positive ETEC | 20/64 (31) ^B | | <3 | 3 to 460 | >1,100 | | | |
| Total (<i>n</i> =150) | - | 42°/150 (28) | 28 | | | | | | |

Table 2 MPN/g of enterotoxigenic *E. coli* in retail meats from southern Thailand, March-June, 2014.

^amin, minimum MPN/g value; med, MPN/g values between min and max; max, maximum MPN/g value. ^{B,b}p< 0.05 compared to beef sample. ^CTwo samples (1 pork and 1 chicken) carried both *est* and *elt*.

76 food samples (Rúgeles *et al*, 2010). This could be due to the relatively cold climate in that city and that the samples were collected from supermarkets where it is more hygienic than open-air fresh food markets. The occurrence of ETEC infection has been reported to depend on the seasonality, with the peaks of detection in the warmer periods of the year (Qadri *et al*, 2000; Rao *et al*, 2003). Temperatures in southern Thailand ranged from 31.7°C to 36.8°C in the middle of April, 2014 (TMD, 2014).

In the ETEC isolation processes, although we could not isolate ETEC from 32 meat samples collected in late June, 2014 when the environmental temperature was dramatically decreased, possibly because of frequent rains in that time period, this current study clearly demonstrated the existence of the core ETEC virulence genes, *elt* and *est*, belonging to STI type, which is toxic to humans (Moseley *et al*, 1983). Subsequent clinical characteristics of ETEC ingestion may involve abdominal pain, fever, nausea, vomiting and a sudden watery diarrhea as striking features, leading to a dehydration and shock in the most severe forms (Qadri *et al*, 2005).

One of the recommendations issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA (CDC, 2005) to travelers entering ETEC endemic areas is to avoid the consumption of undercooked meat, including poultry as the latter is more prone to ETEC contamination, shown in this and other studies (Lee *et al*, 2009; Bonyadian *et al*, 2011). The presence of ETEC in raw or undercooked meat remains an important public health issue.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported mainly by the Faculty of Science Research Fund, Prince of Songkla University, fiscal year 2014. SP is a recipient of the Science Achievement Scholarship of Thailand (SAST)-Research Professional Development Project, Mahidol University.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. Future directions for research on enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* vaccines for developing countries. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* 2006; 81: 97-104.
- Anonymous. New frontiers in the development of vaccines against enterotoxigenic (ETEC) and enterohaemorrhagic (EHEC) *E. coli* infections. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* 1999; 13: 98-100.
- Blanco JE, Blanco M, Blanco J. Enterotoxigenic, verotoxigenic, and necrotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in food and clinical samples. Role of animals as reservoirs of strains pathogenic for humans. *Microbiologia* 1995; 11: 97-110.
- Bonyadian M, Moshtaghi H, Nematalahi A, Rahimi E, Akhavan Taheri M, Karami S. Isolation of enterotoxigenic and enteroaggregative strains of *Escherichia coli* from chicken carcasses by PCR. *Iran J Vet Res* 2011; 12: 252-5.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC). Atlanta: CDC, 2005. [Cited 2014 Jun 24]. Available from: URL: <u>http://www. cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/diseaseinfo/etec_g.</u> htm
- Daniels NA, Neimann J, Karpati A, *et al.* Traveler's diarrhea at sea: three outbreaks of waterborne enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* on cruise ships. *J Infect Dis* 2000; 181: 1491-5.
- De SN, Bhattacharya K, Sarkar JK. A study of the pathogenicity of strains of *Bacterium coli* from acute and chronic enteritis. *J Pathol Bacteriol* 1956; 71: 201-9.
- Echeverria P, Piyaphong S, Bodhidatta L, Hoge CH, Tungsen C. Bacterial enteric pathogens in uncooked foods in Thai markets. *J Travel Med* 1994; 1: 63-7.
- Gaastra W, Svennerholm AM. Colonization factors of human enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC). *Trends Microbiol* 1996; 4: 444-52.
- Huerta M, Grotto I, Gdalevich M, et al. A waterborne outbreak of gastroenteritis in

the Golan Heights due to enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli. Infection* 2000; 28: 267-71.

- Isidean SD, Riddle MS, Savarino SJ, Porter CK. A systematic review of ETEC epidemiology focusing on colonization factor and toxin expression. *Vaccine* 2011; 29: 6167-78.
- Kagambèga A, Martikainen O, Lienemann T, *et al.* Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* detected by 16-plex PCR in raw meat and beef intestines sold at local markets in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2012; 153: 154-8.
- Kalnauwakul S, Phengmak M, Kongmuang U, Nakaguchi Y, Nishibuchi M. Examination of diarrheal stools in Hat-Yai city, south Thailand, for *Escherichia coli* O157 and other diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* using immunomagnetic separation and PCR method. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2007; 38: 871-80.
- Lee GY, Jang HI, Hwang IG, Rhee MS. Prevalence and classification of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from fresh beef, poultry, and pork in Korea. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2009; 134: 196-200.
- Moseley SL, Hardy JW, imdadul MH, Echeverria P, Falkow S. Isolation and nucleotide sequence determination of a gene encoding a heat-stable enterotoxin of *Escherichia coli*. *Infect Immun* 1983; 39: 1167-74.
- Nazarian S, Gargari SLM, Rasooli I, Alerasol M, Bagheri S, Alipoor SD. Prevalent phenotypic and genotypic profile of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* among Iranian children. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2014; 67: 78-85.
- Pannuch M, Sirikaew S, Nakaguchi Y, Nishibuchi M, Sukhumungoon P. Quantification of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* from retailed meats. *Int Food Res J* 2014; 21: 547-51.
- Qadri F, Das SK, Faruque AS, *et al.* Prevalence of toxin types and colonization factors in enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* isolated during a 2-year period from diarrheal patients in Bangladesh. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000; 38: 27-31.
- Qadri F, Svennerholm AM, Faruque ASG, Sack RB. Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli

in developing countries: epidemiology, microbiology, clinical features, treatment, and prevention. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2005; 18: 465-83.

- Rao MR, Abu-Elyazeed R, Savarino SJ, *et al.* High disease burden of diarrhea due to enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* among rural Egyptian infants and young children. *J Clin Microbiol* 2003; 41: 4862-4.
- Rasrinaul L, Suthienkul O, Echeverria PD, *et al.* Foods as a source of enteropathogens causing childhood diarrhea in Thailand. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1988; 39: 97-102.
- Ratchtrachenchai O, Subpasu S, Hayashi H, Ba-Thein W. Prevalence of childhood diarrhea-associated *Escherichia coli* in Thailand. *J Med Microbiol* 2004; 53: 237-43.
- Rodas C, Mamani R, Blanco J, *et al*. Enterotoxins, colonization factors, serotypes and antimicrobial resistance of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) strains isolated from hospitalized children with diarrhea in Bolivia. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2011; 15: 132-7.
- Rúgeles LC, Bai J, Martínez AJ, Vanegas MC, Gómez-Duarte OG. Molecular characterization of diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* strains from stools samples and food products in Colombia. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2010; 138: 282-6.
- So M, McCarthy BJ. Nucleotide sequence of

transposon Tn*1681* encoding a heat-stable toxin (ST) and its identification in enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* strains. *PNAS* 1980; 77: 4011-5.

- Spangler BD. Structure and function of cholera toxin and the related *Escherichia coli* heatlabile enterotoxin. *Microbiol Rev* 1992; 56: 622-47.
- Stacy-Phipps S, Mecca JJ, Weiss JB. Multiplex PCR assay and simple preparation method for stool specimens detect enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* DNA during course of infection. J Clin Microbiol 1995; 33: 1054-9.
- Subekti DS, Lesmana M, Tjaniadi P, *et al.* Prevalence of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) in hospitalized acute diarrhea patients in Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2003; 47: 399-405.
- Svennerholm AM, Lundgren A. Recent progress toward an enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* vaccine. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2012; 11: 495-507.
- Thai Meteorological Department (TMD). 2014. [Cited 2014 May 20]. Available from : URL: http://www.tmd.go.th
- Turner SM, Scott-Tucker A, Cooper LM, Henderson IR. Weapons of mass destruction: virulence factors of the global killer enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2006; 263: 10-20.