## ANTIBIOGRAM, ANTIBIOTIC AND DISINFECTANT RESISTANCE GENES, BIOFILM-PRODUCING AND -ASSOCIATED GENES, AND GENOTYPE OF METHICILLIN-RESISTANT *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* CLINICAL ISOLATES FROM NORTHERN THAILAND

Rathanin Seng<sup>1</sup>, Thawatchai Kitti<sup>2</sup>, Rapee Thummeepak<sup>3</sup>, Autchasai Siriprayong<sup>3</sup>, Thitipan Phukao<sup>3</sup>, Phattaraporn Kongthai<sup>3</sup>, Thanyasiri Jindayok<sup>4</sup>, Kwanjai Ketwong<sup>5</sup>, Chalermchai Boonlao<sup>6</sup> and Sutthirat Sitthisak<sup>3,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok; <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Oriental Medicine, Chiang Rai College, Chiang Rai; <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Medical Science, <sup>4</sup>Division of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok; <sup>5</sup>Sawanpracharak Hospital, Amphoe Meuang, Nakhon Sawan; <sup>6</sup>Chiang Rai Prachanukroh Hospital, Amphoe Meuang, Chiang Rai; <sup>7</sup>Centre of Excellence in Medical Biotechnology, Faculty of Medical Science, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok, Thailand

Abstract. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) causes a variety of infectious diseases in both hospital and community. The study determined prevalence of antibiotic resistance and associated genes, biofilm-producing phenotype and associated genes, SCCmec types, and clonal subtype ST239 of MRSA clinical isolates obtained from three hospitals in northern Thailand during January 2013 to October 2015. Some 95% of MRSA isolates were multidrug resistant, with 82%, 60% and 47% harboring *erm*A, *erm*B and *qac*AB, respectively. Although all MRSA isolates were positive for slime (biofilm) production on Congo red agar, quantitative measurement of biofilm generation using microtiter plate assay (MTP) indicated 60% were low biofilm producers, with prevalence of biofilm-associated genes, bab, cna, fnbA, and icaAD, ranging from 50% to 100%. MRSA SCCmec type III was predominant, but the presence of SCCmec type IV and type V (albeit at low frequency) indicated acquisition of community-acquired infection. Clonal subtype ST239 was detected in 29% of MRSA isolates in hospitals located in the lower and upper northern regions. The information provided by this study should be useful for future active surveillance of MRSA and in the development of the strategies to lower prevalence and to control the spread of this virulent staphylococcal infection in hospitals and the community at large.

**Keywords:** *Staphylococcus aureus*, antibiogram, biofilm, SCC*mec* type, MRSA, Thailand

Correspondence: Dr Sutthirat Sitthisak, Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Medical Science, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand. Tel: +66 (0) 55 964626, +66 (0) 84 5734203; Fax: +66 (0) 55 964770 E-mail: sutthirats@nu.ac.th

#### INTRODUCTION

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a gram-positive bacterium causing infection in both community and hospital. Most strains of MRSA have developed resistance to most of the other beta-lactam antibiotics (Stefani et al, 2012). Resistance to methicillin and other beta-lactam antibiotics is caused by an acquisition of mecA located within staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec element (SCCmec), with SCCmec types I, II and III carried by hospital-acquired (HA)-MRSA, rendering resistance to non-beta-lactam antibiotic, while SCCmec types IV, V and VI (encoding beta-lactam resistance factors) are carried by community-acquired (CA)-MRSA (encoding betalactam resistance (Tulinski et al, 2012; Eed et al, 2015). Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) has allowed characterization of approximately 90% of HA-MRSA in nine Southeast Asian countries into a single clonal subgroup ST239 (Chongtrakool et al, 2006). However, there is little information of MRSA SCCmec types as well as their clonal distribution in Thailand.

The majority of MRSA possess an ability to generate biofilm, a crucial property for intracellular persistence and virulence involved in pathogenesis (Oyama et al, 2016). Four major genes play key roles in biofilm development and adhesion, namely, bap (encoding biofilm-associated protein; BAP), cna (encoding collagen adhesin), fnbA (encoding fibronectinbinding protein FnbA), and icaAD (located within the intracellular adhesion (*ica*) operon and encoding polysaccharide intracellular adhesin PIA). Bap is involved in the initial attachment and intracellular adhesion (Cucarella et al, 2004); PIA mediates cell-to-cell adhesion (Becker et al, 2014); FnbA mediates biofilm formation accumulation phase through forming homophilic interactions or through binding of proteins to surface receptors on adjacent cells (Herman-Bausier et al, 2015); and collagen adhesin is a virulence factor associated with S. aureus adhesion (Saei, 2012). Limited research has been conducted on S. aureus biofilm formation in Thailand. From 73% to 95% of Thai S. aureus isolates form biofilm (Indrawattana et al, 2013; Tangchaisuriya et al, 2014; Daoda et al, 2015), but 44.4% of clinical MRSA isolates only demonstrated weak biofilm production and there is no association between biofilm formation and MRSA genotypes (Boontha et al, 2015). Study of biofilm generation in clinical MRSA isolates from different hospitals in Thailand is necessary to broaden our understanding of biofilm formation.

In this study, phenotypic properties of biofilm and biofilm-associated genes of clinical MRSA isolates from three hospitals in Thailand were characterized, including prevalence of SCCmec type, clonal subgroup ST239, antibiogram profile, resistance to disinfectants and carriage of antibiotic and disinfectant resistance genes. Understanding virulence properties, such as biofilm formation, in such pathogenic microbes can provide insightful information towards development of new strategies to ameliorate severity and prevalence of infectious diseases. Molecular characteristics, such as clonal distribution, should be useful for future active surveillance aimed at controlling the spread of existing antimicrobial resistant bacteria such as MRSA.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Isolation and identification of MRSA isolates

A total of 38 MRSA isolates were col-

lected from three hospitals in Thailand, namely, Chiangrai Prachanukroh Hospital (HA), a large tertiary hospital located in the northern region, Naresuan University Hospital (HB) and Sawanpracharak Hospital (HC) located in the lower northern region (see Fig 2). Samples from HA (n =23) and HB (n = 9) were collected from November 2014 to October 2015 and from HC (n = 6) in January 2013. Isolates were collected from multiple sites, such as blood, pus, sputum, urine and other body fluids, and identified as S. aureus using a coagulase test, detection of 16S rDNA and nuc by PCR amplification (Sasaki et al, 2010) and as MRSA using a cefoxitin disk (30 µg) (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) diffusion test on Mueller-Hinton agar (Hi-Media, Mumbai, India) and PCR-based detection of mecA (Kitti et al, 2011).

### Antibiogram determination

Antibiotic susceptibilities to chloramphenicol (C; 30 µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP; 5 µg), clindamycin (DA; 2 µg), erythromycin (E; 15 µg), fusidic acid (FD; 10 µg), gentamicin (CN; 10 µg), linezolid (LZD; 30 µg), mupirocin (MUP; 5 µg), novobiocin (NV; 5 µg), oxacillin (OX, 1 µg), penicillin (P; 10 units), rifampicin (RD; 5 µg), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (SXT; 1.25/23.75 µg), and vancomycin (VA; 30 µg) (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) were determined using a disk diffusion method (CLSI, 2014). An isolate is categorized as multidrug resistant (MDR) if resistant to at least three classes of antibiotics.

#### Detection of SCCmec types and clonal subgroup ST239

SCC*mec* types were determined by multi- and uniplex PCR according to a method modified from a previous study (Zhang *et al*, 2005). In brief, amplification was performed in a total volume of 25 µl containing 3 µl of 10X buffer (RBC Bioscience corp, Taipei, Taiwan) containing 15 mM Mg<sup>2+</sup>, 2.5  $\mu$ l of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.2  $\mu$ l of 5 U *Taq* polymerase (RBC Bioscience), various concentrations of each primer (Zhang *et al*, 2005) and 3  $\mu$ l of DNA template. Thermocycling (GeneMate thermal cycler, Hangzhou, China) was conducted as follows: 94°C for 4 minutes; followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 20 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 30 minutes; and a final step of 72°C for 5 minutes.

Clonal subgroup ST239 was identified by a PCR method using primer sets and conditions as described previously (Feil *et al*, 2008). In short, a total volume of 25 μl containing 1X PCR buffer (RBC Bioscience), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.7 µM each of the four primers, 1.25 U Taq polymerase (RBC Bioscience), and 2.5 µl of DNA was amplified with the following thermocycling (GeneMate thermal cycler, Hangzhou, China) conditions: 95°C for 15 minutes; followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 30 seconds; and a final step of 72°C for 7 minutes. Amplicons were analyzed by 1% agarose gel-electrophoresis and staining with ethidium bromide.

### Detection of biofilm-associated, antibiotic and disinfectant resistance genes in MRSA isolates

Primers employed in detection of MRSA biofilm-associated genes bab, *fnb*A and *ica*AD, *cna* and antibiotic and disinfectant resistance genes *erm*A, *erm*B, *erm*C, and *qac*AB are listed in Table 1. Bacteria were grown on tryptone soya agar (Hi-Media, Mumbai, India) and DNA was extracted using a boiling method. For bab, *fnb*A, *ica*AD and *cna*, PCR mixture (20 µl) contained 2 µl of 10X PCR buffer, 0.2 µl of 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 µl of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.2 µl of 10 µM each primer, 0.15 µl of 5 U Taq polymerase (RBC Bioscience), and 2 µl of DNA. Thermocycling of each gene

Target genePrimerAmplionTimKeteret $mA$ $size (bp)$ $(C)$ $size (bp)$ $(C)$ $mA$ $R: TrGGCAAATCCCTTCTGGAT19056.754.7mBR: TAATCGTGAATTCCTGCATGT14255.9Chaieb et almCR: TAATCGTGAATTCCTGCATGT14255.9Chaieb et almCR: AATCGTCAATTCCTGCATGT2996254.754.06667176mCR: AATCGTGAATTCCTGCAGTTGG36157.327.3057.32mCR: CAGATCGTCGATGTGGAAACGGGTTGG36157.327.3057.32mCR: CAGATCGTCGACGGAGAGTGG36157.327.3057.32mCR: CAGATCGCGACGGAAACAAT220537.41(20)mAD (MSSA)R: CAGTGTGAGGGGTGGG97158Cucarella et almAD (MRSA)R: CGTGTTGGAGGTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG$		Primer used in th	e study.	1	
F: AAGGGGTAAACCCCTCTGA 190 56.7 Strommeng   F: TTCGCAAATCCCTTCTAAC 142 55.9 Chaibe et al   F: AATCGTCAATTCCTGCGGTTTG 142 55.9 Chaibe et al   F: AATCGTCAATTCCTGCGGTTTG 299 62 Strommeng   F: AATCGTCGAATCGCTGGAGTTG 299 62 Strommeng   B F: GCAGTCAATCGTGGAGAAAT 484 57 Feil et al (20   95T 30 F: TCGCACTCTGAGAAAAT 484 57 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCGCTTCGACAAAAT 220 53 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCCTTTAAATCGACGAAAAT 220 53 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCCTTTAAATCGACGAAAAT 220 53 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCCTTTAAATCGACGAAAAT 220 53 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCCTTTAAATCGACGAAAAT 220 53 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCCTTTAAATCGACGAAATCAAATCGAAAT 220 53 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCCTTTAAATCGACGAATCAATCGAAAAT 220 53 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCCTATTAATCGAAGGTTGACGAAAT 220 53 Feil et al (20   95T 8 F: CCCTATTAATCGAAGGTTGACGAAAT 220 58 Cucarella et al (20	Target gene	Primer	Amplicon size (bp)	Tm (°C)	Reference
Image: Second Struct Second	1	F: AAGCGGTAAACCCCTCTGA R: TTCGCAAATCCCTTCTCAAC	190	56.7	Strommenger et al (2003)
C   F: AATCGTCAATTCCTGCATGT   299   62   Strommeng     AB   F: TAATCGTGG AATACGGGTTTG   361   57.3   Zhang et al     B   F: GCAGAAAGTGCAGGGTTGG   361   57.3   Zhang et al     9/5T 30   F: TCGCACTCCGTGGAAACA   484   57   Feil et al (20     3/5T 30   F: TCGCACTCGCTGGAAACA   484   57   Feil et al (20     3/5T 30   F: TCGCACTCGCTGGACAAACA   484   57   Feil et al (20     3/5T 8   F: TCGCACTCGGACGAAAAT   220   53   Feil et al (20     3/5T 8   F: TCGAAAATGAGTGTGGAAAAT   220   53   Feil et al (20     3/5T 8   F: TCGAAACTGAGGTGGTGG   971   58   Cucarella et     0/MRSA)   F: GCTTGAAGGTGGTGGG   191   55   Arciola et al     0/MRSA)   F: GATACAAACCGGGTGGTGG   191   55   Smith et al     0/MRSA)   F: GATACAAACCATGCATTGCA   191   55   Smith et al     0/MRSA)   F: GATACAAACCGGTGGTGG   191   55   Smith et al     0/MRSA)   F: GATACAACCTAGGTGGTGG   191   55   Smith et al <td< td=""><td>8</td><td>F: AATCGTCAATTCCTGCATGT R: TAATCGTGG AATACGGGTTTG</td><td>142</td><td>55.9</td><td>Chaieb et al (2007)</td></td<>	8	F: AATCGTCAATTCCTGCATGT R: TAATCGTGG AATACGGGTTTG	142	55.9	Chaieb et al (2007)
MB   F: GCAGAAGTGCAGAGTTCG   361   57.3   Zhang et al     39/ST 30   F: TCGCACTCTCGTTGAACA   484   57   Feil et al (20     39/ST 30   F: TCGCACTCTCGTTGAACAAT   484   57   Feil et al (20     39/ST 8   F: CACTTTAAATACTGACGAAAAT   220   53   Feil et al (20     39/ST 8   F: CACTTTAAATACTGACGAAAAT   220   53   Feil et al (20     39/ST 8   F: CACTTTAAATACTGACGAAAAT   220   53   Feil et al (20     39/ST 8   F: CACTTTAAATGCGGTGGTGGAAAAT   220   53   Feil et al (20     39/ST 8   F: CACTTTAAATGCGGTGGTGGAAAAT   220   53   Feil et al (20     (MRSA)   F: CACTTGAAGGTGGTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	C	F: AATCGTCAATTCCTGCATGT R: TAATCGTGG AATACGGGTTTG	299	62	Strommenger et al (2003)
39/ST 30F: TCGCACTCTCGTTGAACA48457Feil et al (20)39/ST 8R: AAATCCGCTTCGACAAACATT48457Feil et al (20)39/ST 8F: CACTTTAAATACTGACGAAAAT22053Feil et al (20)39/ST 8F: CACTTTAAATACTGACGAAAAT22053Feil et al (20)39/ST 8F: CACTTAAATCGACGCAGCGCGCAAAAT22053Feil et al (20)39/ST 8F: CACTTAAATACTGACGTAGCAAT22053Feil et al (20)39/ST 8F: CCTATATCGAAGCTGCAGCAGTAGCAC97158Cucarella et30/MRSA)F: GATACAAACCTGGCTGGCAGGTGGGG19155Arciola et al30/MRSA)F: AATGGCTGGCAGGATACTAT1,21661This study30/MRSA)F: AATGGCTGGCTGGGGGGAAT19255Smith et al (20)31F: AAAGCGTTGGCTGGGGAAT90163Seng et al (20)31F: GGGGCAAGCAGGAAAT90163Seng et al (20)33F: GGGCCAAGCCAGCAAGCTGCGCAAAAGC42258Seng et al (20)34M.R-CoNS)F: CCTCTTGGTTAGCGC42258Seng et al (20)34M.R-CoNS)F: GGGGCAAGGCAAGGCAAAAGC3155Seng et al (20)34M.R-CoNS)F: GGGGCAAGGCAAAAGC32155Seng et al (20)35F: GGGGCAAGGCAAAAGC21155Seng et al (20)36F: GGGGCAAGGCAGCAAAAGC21155Seng et al (20)36F: GGGGCAAGGCAGAAAAG21155Seng et al (20)36F: GGGGCAAGGCAGCAGAAAAG </td <td>AB</td> <td>F: GCAGAAAGTGCAGAGTTCG R: CCAGTCCAATCATGCCTG</td> <td>361</td> <td>57.3</td> <td>Zhang et al (2011)</td>	AB	F: GCAGAAAGTGCAGAGTTCG R: CCAGTCCAATCATGCCTG	361	57.3	Zhang et al (2011)
39/ST 8F: CACTTTAAATACTGACGAAAT22053Feil et al (2039/ST 8R: TTGAAATTGATCATTCAGCAA22053Feil et al (20(MRSA)F: CCCTATATCGAAGGTGTAGAATTGCAC97158Cucarella etR: GCTGTTGAAGTTAATACTGTACCTGC19155Arciola et alA(MRSA)F: GATACAAACCCAGGTGGTGG19155Arciola et alR: GCTGTTGACCATGCATGCTTC1,21661This studyA(MRSA)F: AATGGCTTGCATGCATGTAC1,21661This studyR: GAATCGTCATGGCATGCAGGAGA19255Smith et al (20MRSA)F: AAAGCGTTGCCTAGTGGAGAA19255Smith et al (20(MRSA)F: AAAGCGTTGCCTAGTGGGAGAA19255Smith et al (20(MR-CoNS)F: GGCGCAAGCAGGCAGGAATTA90163Seng et al (20A(MR-CoNS)F: CCCTTTGGTTGGC42258Seng et al (20A(MR-CoNS)F: CCCTTTGGTTGGC21155Seng et al (20D (MR-CoNS)F: GACGGCGAAGGCAAAAA21155Seng et al (20D (MR-CoNS)F: GACGGCGAAAAAA21155Seng et al (20D (MR-CONS)F: GACG	39/ST 30	F: TCGCACTCTCGTTGAACA R: AAATCCGCTTCGACAAACATT	484	57	Feil <i>et al</i> (2008)
(MISA)F: CCCTATATCGAAGGTGTAGAATTGCAC97158Cucarella etA(MISA)R: GGTGTTGAAGTTAATACTGTACCTGC97158Cucarella et alA(MISA)F: GATACAACCCAGGTGGTGG19155Arciola et alD (MISA)F: AATGTGCTTGGATGCATTCT1,21661This studyMISA)F: AATGTGCTTGGATGCAGTTGCA19255Smith et al (MISA)F: AAGGGTTGCTAGTGGAGAA19255Smith et al ((MISA)F: AAGGGTTGCCAAGCAGTATT90163Seng et al (2(MR-CoNS)F: CCTCTTCGTTATTCAGCC42258Seng et al (2D (MR-CoNS)F: CCTCTTCGTAGTGGAAAAG21155Seng et al (2D (MR-CoNS)F: GACGCTACGCTAAAGCTTGC42258Seng et al (2D (MR-CoNS)F: GACGTGCTACGTAAAAGCTTGC21155Seng et al (2	39/ST 8	F: CACTTTAAATACTGACGAAAAT R: TTGAAATTGATCATTCAGCAA	220	53	Feil <i>et al</i> (2008)
A(MISA)F: GATACA ACCCAGGTGGTGG19155Arciola et alR: TGTGCTTGACCATGCTCTTCR: TGTGCTTGACCATGCTCTTC19155Arciola et alLD (MISA)F: AATGTGCTTGGATGCATTTGCA1,21661This studyR: GAATCGTCATCTGCATTTGCA19255Smith et al ((MISA)F: AAAGCGTTGCCTAGTGGAGA19255Smith et al ((MRSA)F: AAAGCGTTGCCTAGTGGGAGA19255Smith et al ((MR-CoNS)F: GGCGCAGGCAGAATTA90163Seng et al (2A(MR-CoNS)F: CCCTTTGGTTGTGGC42258Seng et al (2A(MR-CoNS)F: CGGGGAGGGCAAGGTGGTGG21155Seng et al (2D (MR-CoNS)F: GACGTGGCTACGAAAG21155Seng et al (2	(MRSA)	F: CCCTATATCGAAGGTGTAGAATTGCAC R: GCTGTTGAAGTTAATACTGTACCTGC	971	58	Cucarella <i>et al</i> (2001)
LD (MRSA)F: AATGTGCTTGGATGCAGATACTAT C1,21661This studyR: GAATCGTCATCTGCATTGCAR: GAATCGTTGCATTGCA19255Smith et al ((MRSA)F: AAGGCTTGCCTAGTGGAGA19255Smith et al ((MRSA)F: AGTGCCTTCCCAAACCTTTT90163Seng et al (2(MR-CoNS)F: GGCGCAAGCAGCAGTGGTGC42258Seng et al (2A(MR-CoNS)F: CCCTCTTCGTTATTCAGCC42258Seng et al (2A(MR-CoNS)F: CAGGAGGCAAGTCACCTTG21155Seng et al (2	A (MRSA)	F: GATACA AACCCAGGTGGGGGGG R: TGTGCTTGACCATGCTCTTC	191	55	Arciola <i>et al</i> (2005)
(MRSA)F: AAAGCGTTGCCTAGTGGAGA19255Smith et al (R: AGTGCCTTCCCAAACCTTTR: AGTGCCTTCCCAAACCTTT90163Seng et al (2(MR-CoNS)F: GGCGCAAGCAGGAGTTA90163Seng et al (2A(MR-CoNS)F: CCCTTTGTTATTCAGCC42258Seng et al (2R: CAGGAGGCAAGTTGCTTGCTR: CAGGAGGCAAAGTTGC42258Seng et al (2D (MR-CoNS)F: GACAGTCGCTAACAAAG21155Seng et al (2	(D (MRSA)	F: AATGTGCTTGGATGCAGATACTAT C R: GAATCGTCATCTGCATTTGCA	1,216	61	This study
(MR-CoNS)F: GGCGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGATTA90163Seng et al (2)R: CATAGTTCTTTGTGGTGTTGCR: CATAGTTCTTTGTGGTGTTGC42258Seng et al (2)A (MR-CoNS)F: CCCTCTTCGTTATTCAGCC42258Seng et al (2)D (MR-CoNS)F: GACAGTCGCTACGAAAAG21155Seng et al (2)	(MRSA)	F: AAAGCGTTGCCTAGTGGAGA R: AGTGCCTTCCCAAACCTTTT	192	55	Smith et al (2010)
A (MR-CoNS) F: CCCTCTTCGTTATTCAGCC 422 58 Seng et al (2   R: CAGGAGGCAAGTCACCTTG 422 58 Seng et al (2   AD (MR-CoNS) F: GACAGTCGCTACGAAAAG 211 55 Seng et al (2	(MR-CoNS)	F: GGCGCAAGCAGCAGAATTA R: CATAGTTCTTTGTGGTGTTGC	106	63	Seng <i>et al</i> (2017)
D (MR-CoNS) F: GACAGTCGCTACGAAAAG D. AATA ACCTTCATA ACTA	A (MR-CoNS)	F: CCCTCTTCGTTATTCAGCC R: CAGGAGGCAAGTCACCTTG	422	58	Seng <i>et al</i> (2017)
N. AALAAGUUULAAUA	D (MR-CoNS)	F: GACAGTCGCTACGAAAAG R: AATAAGCTCCTAACTA	211	55	Seng <i>et al</i> (2017)

-Table 1 was conducted in DNA thermal cycler (GeneMate thermal cycler) individually as previously described (Table 1) and amplicons were analyzed as described above.

The other antibiotic and disinfectant resistance genes, ermA, ermB, ermC, and gacAB were detected by PCR modified as previously described (Ardic et al, 2005; Zhang et al, 2011; Youn et al, 2014). In brief, PCR mixture (20 µl) consisted of 2.4 µl of 10X PCR buffer containing 15 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, (RBC Biosience) 2 µl of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.2 µl of 10 µM each primer, 0.2 µl of 5 U HotStart Taq DNA polymerase (RBC Biosience), and 2 µl of DNA. Thermocycling was performed in a GeneMate thermal cycler as follows: 95°C for 5 minutes; followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 25 seconds, 57°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 30 seconds; with a final step of 72°C for 5 minutes. Amplicons from representative isolates were purified from gels using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and directly sequenced by Macrogen (Seoul, Korea).

#### **Detection of biofilm formation**

Biofilm formation of MRSA isolates was detected on Congo red agar (CRA) plates as previously described (Seng et al, 2017). In brief, MRSA isolate was streaked on CRA plate (Hi-Media) and incubated at 35°C under aerobic conditions for 24-48 hours. Slime (biofilm)-producing MRSA formed black (indicated by a darkening of colony with an absence of dry crystalline colonial morphology) or very black (indicated by a darkening of colony with presence of dry crystalline colonial morphology) colonies while non-slime (biofilm) producer strain formed red colonies. Qauntification of biofilm formation was performed by a microtiter plate assay (MTP) (Bekir et al, 2011). In short, MRSA isolates were cultured in trypticase soy broth (Hi-Media) supplemented

with 0.25% glucose in 96-well polystyrene tissue culture microtiter plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) overnight at 37°C, each experiment being conducted in triplicate. Culture medium then was removed and adherent cells were treated with 95% ethanol and stained with 1% crystal violet. A<sub>570 nm</sub> was measured and data interpreted as follows: highly positive (A<sub>570 nm</sub> <1), low grade positive (0.1 $\leq$  A<sub>570 nm</sub> <1) and negative (A<sub>570 nm</sub> <0.1).

#### RESULTS

#### Antibiogram profile

Based on a disc diffusion assay, 76-96% of the MRSA isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, erythromycin, gentamicin, and penicillin; <10% resistant to chloramphenicol, mupirocin and rifampicin; and none resistant to fusidic acid, linezolid, novobiocin, and vancomycin (Fig 1). MDR-MRSA constituted 95% of the isolates.

# Prevalence of antibiotic and disinfectant resistance genes

Employing PCR to identify the target genes, prevalence of *erm*A, *erm*B, *erm*C, and *qac*AB among the MRSA isolates was 82, 60, 8 and 47%, respectively (Table 2).

# SCC*mec* types and prevalence of clonal subgroup ST239

Using multi- and uniplex PCR, MRSA isolates were characterized as SCC*mec* type I (n = 10; 26%), type II (n = 10; 26%), type III (n = 15; 39%), type IV (n = 2; 5%), and type V (n = 1; 3%) (Fig 2). Clonal subgroup ST239 was detected in 11 (29%) isolates, 10 from HA and 1 from HB.

# Biofilm formation and biofilm-associated genes

Observation of colony phenotypes on CRA plates, 7 (18%) isolates formed very black colonies, 31 (82%) black colonies and none red colonies, indicating that all

Antibiotic/disinfectant resistance gene	MRSA from HA Number (%) (n = 23)	MRSA from HB Number (%) (n = 9)	MRSA from HC Number (%) (n = 6)	Total (%) ( <i>n</i> = 38)
ermA	18 (78)	8 (89)	5 (83)	31(82)
ermB	17 (74)	1 (11)	5 (83)	23(60)
ermC	1 (4)	1 (11)	1 (17)	3(8)
qacAB	6 (26)	7 (78)	5 (83)	18(47)
SCCmec I	6 (26)	2 (22)	2 (33)	10(26)
SCCmec II	1 (4)	5 (56)	4 (67)	10(26)
SCCmec III	13 (56)	2 (22)	0 (0)	15(39)
SCCmec IV	2 (9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2(5)
SCCmec V	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1(3)
ST239	10 (43)	1 (11)	0 (0)	11 (29)

Table 2

Prevalence of antibiotic and disinfectant resistance genes of clinical methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates from three hospitals (HA, HB and HC), Thailand, January 2013 - October 2015.

isolates were slime (biofilm) producers. However, quantification of biofilm formation using a MTP assay showed 23 isolates (60%) were low producers and the remaining non-biofilm producers (Table 3). Only 1 isolate (11%) of MRSA isolated from HB was a non-biofilm producer, while almost 50% of isolates from HA and HC were negative for biofilm production.

Two sets of primers including the primers designed from MRSA and methicillin-resistant coagulase negative Staphylococcus (MR-CoNS) were used to amplify bab, fnbA and icaAD. Only a pair of primers was used to detect cna. PCR amplification of target genes using MRSAbased primers showed prevalence of bab, fnbA, icaAD, and cna was 100%, 63%, 53% and 50%, respectively; and employing MR-CoNS-based primers, prevalence of bab, fnbA and icaAD was 100%, 81% and 100%, respectively (Table 4). It is worth noting that the frequencies of *fnbA* and icaAD detected using MR-CoNS-primers were higher than those of MRSA-based primers.

### DISCUSSION

MRSA is a pervasive pathogen recognized as a major health threat worldwide that possesses a variety of virulence factors involved in pathogenesis such as biofilm formation (Oyama *et al*, 2016). In order to strengthen an understanding of MRSA antibiotic resistance, dissemination and virulence, presence, phenotypic and genotypic antibiotic resistance, and biofilm formation were examined in 38 MRSA isolates from three different hospitals in northern Thailand.

High rates of MRSA resistance to ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, erythromycin, and gentamicin in this study were similar to other reports in middle region of Thailand (Phokhaphan *et al*, 2017) and Asia (Song *et al*, 2011), but the rate was approximately 40-50% higher than clinical MRSA isolated in India (Pai *et al*, 2010). A recent study found only 48% and 29% of MRSA isolated from Oman were resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin, respecSoutheast Asian J Trop Med Public Health

	MDR	Ciprofloxacin	Clindamycin	Erythromycin	Rifampicin	Chloramphenicol	Vancomycin	Linezolid	Novobiocin	Fusidic acid	Cefoxitin	Mupirocin	Sulfamethoxazole/ Trimethoprim	Gentamicin	Penicillin
HB	100	89	100	100	11	11	0	0	0	0	100	0	22	56	89
НС	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	17	100	100
НА	97	78	87	87	9	4	0	0	0	0	100	13	57	78	100
Total	95	84	92	92	8	5	0	0	0	0	100	8	42	76	97
1	-										(%)	e rate	sistanc	Res	
										100	80	60	40	20	ò

Fig 1 - Antibiogram profiles of clinical methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates from three hospitals (HA, HB and HC), Thailand, January 2013 - October 2015. Antibiotic susceptibility was determined using a disc diffusion assay. Number of isolates from HA, HB and HC was 23, 9 and 6, respectively. MDR, multidrug resistant.



Fig 2 - Location of the three hospitals (HA, HB and HC) in northern Thailand, and frequency of SCC*mec* types and number of clonal subtype ST239 of clinical methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates at each hospital, January 2013 - October 2015. SCC*mec* types clonal subtype ST239 were identified using PCR-based methods.

Tal	ble	3

Biofilm formation using microtiter plate (MPT) and Congo red agar (CRA) assays of clinical methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates from three hospitals (HA, HB and HC), Thailand, January 2013 - October 2015.

Assay	MRSA from HA Number (%) (n = 23)	MRSA from HB Number (%) (n = 9)	MRSA from HC Number (%) (n = 6)	Total (%) ( <i>n</i> = 38)
CRA				
Very black	5 (22)	2 (22)	0 (0)	7(18)
Black	18 (78)	7 (78)	6 (100)	31(82)
Red	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0(0)
MTP				
Strong biofilm produc	cer 0 (0.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0(0)
Low biofilm produces	12 (52)	8 (81)	3 (50)	23(60.5)
Non-biofilm produce	r 11 (48)	1 (11)	3 (50)	15(39.5)

Table 4

Biofilm-associated genes of clinical methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates from three hospitals (HA, HB and HC), Thailand, January 2013 - October 2015.

Biofilm-associated gene	MRSA from HA Number (%) ( <i>n</i> = 23)	MRSA from HB Number (%) (n = 9)	MRSA from HC Number (%) (n = 6)	Total (%) $(n = 38)$
MRSA-based primers				
bap	23 (100)	9 (100)	6 (100)	38(100)
fnbA	23 (100)	0 (0)	1 (17)	24(63)
icaAD	14 (61)	3 (33)	3 (50)	20(53)
спа	6 (26)	7 (78)	6 (100)	19(50)
MR-CoNS-based primer	S			
bap	23 (100)	9 (100)	6 (100)	38(100)
fnbA	20 (87)	7 (78)	4 (67)	31(82)
icaAD	23 (100)	9 (100)	6 (100)	38(100)

MR-CoNS, methicillin resistant coagulase negative *Staphylococcus*.

tively (Pathare *et al*, 2016). Therefore, resistance to erythromycin and clindamycin in MRSA are likely related to collection period, source and geographical region.

Consistent with the high frequency of erythromycin resistance, high carriage rates of *erm*A and *erm*B (encoding erythro-

mycin ribosomal methylase A and B) were detected, in agreement with studies of *S*. *aureus* from patients in Malaysia (Lim *et al*, 2012). Reduced susceptibility to disinfectant chlorhexidine is associated with the carriage of *qac*AB (encoding *Qac*A protein) (Horner *et al*, 2012). Although susceptibility of MRSA isolates to chlorhexidine was not determined, the proportion of isolates harboring *qac*AB was similar to that in West African (Conceição *et al*, 2016). MRSA carrying *qac*AB is more often diagnosed in patients with nosocomial and catheter-related blood than bone and soft-tissue infections (Cho *et al*, 2017). MRSA with *qac*AB also is associated with resistance to ciprofloxacin, clindamycin and mupirocin resistance (Lee *et al*, 2013). Thus, the presence of *qac*AB may play a role in the virulence of MRSA.

MRSA isolates collected from Thai hospitals previously were demonstrated to carry only SCCmec type II and III (Lulitanond et al, 2010), while SCCmec type IV and V were also detected in this study, suggesting the spread of CA-MRSA infection into hospitals. The invasive MRSA clonal subtype ST239 causes a wide range of infections across the world, particularly in Asia (Feil et al, 2008). Nearly 50% of ST 239 isolates were biofilm producers. Biofilm producing- ST 239 has been reported to resistant to ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, gentamicin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (Shahsavan et *al*, 2011; Aparecida *et al*, 2012). Some 30% of MRSA isolates in this study were ST239, almost all obtained from hospitals located in both the upper and lower northern regions of the country. It is imperative to determine how widespread this MRSA strain in hospitals is across the country.

Biofilm formation is one of the virulence factors of staphylococci that decrease the treatment effectiveness by reducing sensitivity to antibiotics and immune response (Stewart and Costerton, 2001). All MRSA isolates exhibited phenotypic slime (biofilm) production by the presence of black or very black colonies on CRA plates as has been reported in Iran where 97.5% of MRSA isolates are slime (biofilm) producers (Moghadam et al, 2014). However, quantitative biofilm assay indicated no MRSA isolates were strong biofilm producers and less than two thirds were low biofilm producer, consisted with that (44%) reported by Boontha et al (2015), but much lower than other findings (73-95%) in Thailand (Indrawattana et al, 2013; Tangchaisuriya et al, 2014; Daoda et al, 2015), suggesting a possible decline in biofilm-producing MRSA in the country. Although CRA method is easy and takes little time to perform, the poor agreement between CRA and MTP methods was found in the present study and this phenomenon also was reported by previous studies (Darwish and Asfour, 2013; Mathur et al, 2013). Therefore, CRA alone could not be used to detect the biofilm formation. It is worth pointing out the majority of biofilm producers were SCCmec types I and II, indicators of HA-MRSA.

S. aureus adherence to a biotic or an abiotic surface is mediated by a number of microbial surface components-recognizing adhesive matrix compounds and the expression of such matrix-attachment molecules has received increasing attention following the discovery of biofilm associated genes (Otto, 2008). We detected four major biofilm associated genes (bab, fnbA, icaAD and cna) using two sets of primers (MRSA and MR-CoNS primers). Using MRSA-based primers, high prevalence of biofilm associated genes bab, cna, fnbA, and icaAD has been observed, ranging from 50% to 100%. Daoda et al (2015) reported prevalence of *fnbA* and *icaAD* of MSSA was equal to those of MRSA. Tangchaisuriya et al (2014) previously observed 93%, 92% and 61% of S. aureus carry *fnbA*, *ica*AD and *cna*, higher than the current study. In addition, the presence of icaAD, amplified using MRSA-based primers, was approximately half of that detected using MR-CoNS primers while

the frequency of other genes detected was unchanged. This difference may be due to cross-hybridization of the MR-CoNS primers.

In conculsion, this study reveals 95% of MRSA isolates from three hospitals in northern Thailand were MDR and carried a variety of SCCmec types, including those associated with community-acquired infection, and virulence genes. MRSA clonal subtype ST239 presented in two hospitals located in the lower and higher northern regions suggesting dissemination of this clone in northern Thailand. High prevalence of phenotypic and genotypic biofilm formation also were detected. These data are essential in the development new strategies to lower the severity and prevalence of infectious diseases caused by pathogenic MRSA.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the microbiology staff, Chiangrai Prachanukroh Hospital, Naresuan University Hospital and Sawanpracharak Hospital for specimen collections, and Ms. Khundaw Modla for assistance in performing antimicrobial susceptibility tests.

#### REFERENCES

- Aparecida Guimarães M, Rocchetto Coelho L, Rodrigues Souza R, Ferreira-Carvalho BT, Marie Sá Figueiredo A. Impact of biocides on biofilm formation by methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (ST239-SCC*mec*III) isolates. *Microbiol Immunol* 2012; 56: 203-7.
- Arciola CR, Campoccia D, Gamberini S, Baldassarri L, Montanaro L. Prevalence of *cna, fnbA* and *fnbB* adhesin genes among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from orthopedic infections associated to different types of implant. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2005; 246: 81-6.

- Ardic N, Ozyurt M, Sareyyupoglu B, Haznedaroglu T. Investigation of erythromycin and tetracycline resistance genes in methicillinresistant staphylococci. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2005; 26: 213-8.
- Becker K, Heilmann C, Peters G. Coagulasenegative staphylococci. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2014; 27: 870-926.
- Bekir K, Ben Abdallah F, Ellafi A, *et al.* Adherence assays and slime production of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains after their incubation in seawater microcosms. *Ann Microbiol* 2011; 61: 819-23.
- Beleir K, Abdallah FB, Ellafi A, Bakhrouf A. Adherence assays and slime production of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains after their incubation in seawater microcosms. *Ann Microbiol* 2011; 61: 819-23.
- Boontha W, Wilailuckana C, Charoensri N, et al. Biofilm formation and surface protein encoding genes in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from patients in Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kaen province. J Med Tech Phy Ther 2015; 26: 212-21.
- Chaieb K, Zmantar T, Chehab O, *et al.* Antibiotic resistance genes detected by multiplex PCR assays in *Staphylococcus epidermidis strains* isolated from dialysis fluid and needles in a dialysis service. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2007; 60: 183.
- Cho OH, Park KH, Moon SM, Bae IG. Prevalence and characteristics of *qacA*/B-positive methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) bloodstream infection isolates in a tertiary hospital. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2017; 4, 640.
- Chongtrakool P, Ito T, Ma XX, *et al.* Staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated in 11 Asian countries: a proposal for a new nomenclature for SCC*mec* elements. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006; 50: 1001-12.
- Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement: CLSI document M100-S24, Wayne: CLSI, 2014.

- Conceição T, Coelho C, de Lencastre H, Airesde-Sousa M. High prevalence of biocide resistance determinants in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from three African countries. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2016; 60: 678-81.
- Cucarella C, Tormo MÁ, Ubeda C, *et al.* Role of biofilm-associated protein *bap* in the pathogenesis of bovine *Staphylococcus aureus. Infect Immun* 2004; 72: 2177-85.
- Daoda N, Utarapichata B, Niyasom C. Adhesin genes and biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from community, livestock and hospital settings in Phatthalung province, Thailand. *Proc Burapha Univ Int Conf* 2015; 18: 831-8.
- Darwish SF, Asfour HA. Investigation of biofilm forming ability in Staphylococci causing bovine mastitis using phenotypic and genotypic assays. *Scient World J* 2013; 2: 1-9.
- Eed EM, Ghonaim MM, Hussein YM, Saber TM, Khalifa AS. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of HA-MRSA in Taif hospitals, Saudi Arabia. J Infect Dev Ctries 2015; 9: 298-303.
- Feil EJ, Nickerson EK, Chantratita N, et al. Rapid detection of the pandemic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clone ST 239, a dominant strain in Asian hospitals. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008; 46: 1520-2.
- Herman-Bausier P, El-Kirat-Chatel S, Foster TJ, Geoghegan JA, Dufrêne YF. *Staphylococcus aureus* fibronectin-binding protein A mediates cell-cell adhesion through lowaffinity homophilic bonds. *MBio* 2015; 6: e00413-15.
- Horner C, Mawer D, Wilcox M. Reduced susceptibility to chlorhexidine in staphylococci: is it increasing and does it matter? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; 67: 2547-59.
- Indrawattana N, Sungkhachat O, Sookrung N, et al. Staphylococcus aureus clinical isolates: antibiotic susceptibility, molecular characteristics and ability to form biofilm. Biomed Res Int 2013; 2013: 1-11.
- Kitti T, Boonyonying K, Sitthisak S. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*

*aureus* among university students in Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2011; 42: 1498-504.

- Lee H, Lim H, Bae IK, *et al.* Coexistence of mupirocin and antiseptic resistance in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from Korea. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2013; 75: 308-12.
- Lim K, Hanifah Y, Yusof M, Thong K. *erm*A, *erm*C, *tet*M and *tet*K are essential for erythromycin and tetracycline resistance among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from a tertiary hospital in Malaysia. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2012; 30: 203.
- Lulitanond A, Chanawong A, Sribenjalux P, et al. Preliminary report of SCCmec-types and antimicrobial susceptibilities of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus au*reus isolates from a university hospital in Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2010; 41: 920-7.
- Mathur T, Singhal S, Khan S, Upadhyay DJ, Fatma T, Rattan A. Detection of biofilm formation among the clinical isolates of staphylococci: an evaluation of three different screening methods. *Indian J M Microbiol* 2006; 24: 25.
- Moghadam SO, Pourmand MR, Aminharati F. Biofilm formation and antimicrobial resistance in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from burn patients, Iran. J Infect Dev Ctries 2014; 8: 1511-7.
- Otto M. Staphylococcal biofilms. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2008; 322:207-28.
- Oyama T, Miyazaki M, Yoshimura M, Takata T, Ohjimi H, Jimi S. Biofilm-forming methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* survive in Kupffer cells and exhibit high virulence in mice. *Toxins* 2016; 8: 198.
- Pai V, Rao VI, Rao SP. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* [MRSA] isolates at a tertiary care hospital in Mangalore, South India. *J Lab Physicians* 2010; 2: 82.

- Pathare NA, Asogan H, Tejani S, *et al*. Prevalence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* [MRSA] colonization or carriage among health-care workers. *J Infect Public Health* 2016; 9: 571-6.
- Phokhaphan P, Tingpej P, Apisarnthanarak A, Kondo S. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibilty of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, collected at Thamasat University Hospital, Thailand, August 2012-July 2015. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2017; 48: 351-9.
- Saei HD. Distribution of collagen adhesin gene among various types of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains associated with bovine mammary gland. *Comp Clin Path* 2012; 21: 571-6.
- Sasaki T, Tsubakishita S, Tanaka Y, et al. Multiplex-PCR method for species identification of coagulase-positive staphylococci. J Clin Microbiol 2010; 48: 765-9.
- Seng R, Kitti T, Thummeepak R, *et al.* Biofilm formation of methicillin-resistant coagulase negative staphylococci (MR-CoNS) isolated from community and hospital environments. *PLOS One* 2017; 12: e0184172.
- Shahsavan S, Jabalameli L, Maleknejad P, *et al.* Molecular analysis and antimicrobial susceptibility of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in one of the hospitals of Tehran University of Medical Sciences: high prevalence of sequence type 239 (ST239) clone. *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung* 2011; 58: 31-9.
- Smith K, Gould KA, Ramage G, Gemmell CG, Hinds J, Lang S. Influence of tigecycline on expression of virulence factors in biofilmassociated cells of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; 54: 380-7.
- Song JH, Hsueh PR, Chung DR, *et al.* Spread of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* between the community and the hospitals in Asian countries: an ANSORP study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; 66: 1061-9.
- Stefani S, Chung DR, Lindsay JA, et al. Meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA):

global epidemiology and harmonisation of typing methods. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2012; 39: 273-2.

- Stewart PS, Costerton JW. Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms. *Lancet* 2001; 358(9276):135-8.
- Strommenger B, Kettlitz C, Werner G, *et al*, Multiplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection of nine clinically relevant antibiotic resistance genes in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 4089-94
- Tangchaisuriya U, Yotpanya W, Kitti T, Sitthisak S. Distribution among Thai children of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* lacking *cna*, *fnbA* and icaAD. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2014; 45: 149-56.
- Tulinski P, Fluit AC, Wagenaar JA, Mevius D, van de Vijver L, Duim B. Methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci on pig farms as a reservoir of heterogeneous staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* elements. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012; 78: 299-304.
- Youn JH, Park YH, Hang'ombe B, Sugimoto C. Prevalence and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolated from companion animals and environment in the veterinary teaching hospital in Zambia, Africa. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis* 2014; 37: 123-30.
- Zhang K, McClure JA, Elsayed S, Louie T, Conly JM. Novel multiplex PCR assay for characterization and concomitant subtyping of staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* types I to V in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol* 2005; 43: 5026-33.
- Zhang M, O'Donoghue MM, Ito T, Hiramatsu K, Boost MV. Prevalence of antiseptic-resistance genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci colonising nurses and the general population in Hong Kong. *J Hosp Infect* 2011; 78: 113-7.